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INTRODUCTION

F&S Reviews® publishes both systematic and comprehensive, authoritative review articles spanning reproductive medicine or science. F&S Reviews® will consider reviews that inform clinical practice based on emerging and established evidence from clinical research as well as reviews that advance our understanding of human reproduction based on contemporary studies in the reproductive sciences.

Types of articles
Systematic reviews Meta-analyses Comprehensive reviews Critical analytic reviews of published literature

Types of articles not accepted
Surveys Editorials Original research Case reports

Only those manuscripts which are original, have not been published elsewhere, and are not currently being considered for inclusion in another publication will be considered for publication in F&S Reviews®. Authors are advised to keep a copy of all manuscripts submitted.

All manuscripts will be evaluated by peer reviewers who will remain anonymous. Selection of the peer reviewers is at the sole discretion of the F&S Reviews® editors. The editors and reviewers do not disclose any information about a manuscript or its review. If revisions are required, authors are asked to return the revised manuscripts within 40 days for the first revision, and within 30 days for any subsequent revisions. Please notify the editorial office if additional time is needed or if you choose not to submit a revision.

Requirements for specific article types

Comprehensive reviews should address topics of interest to reproductive experts and other scientists. The manuscript should provide general background about the focal topic, followed by contemporary updates and perspectives on the future.

Systematic reviews, with or without a meta-analysis, MUST be reported in accordance with PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. Authors must (i) provide a flow chart as a figure in the manuscript and (ii) attach the completed checklist. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses that determine diagnostic accuracy should be reported according to QUADAS (Quality Assessment of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy included in Systematic Reviews). It is recommended that all systematic reviews be registered a priori with PROSPERO.

Pre-submission proposals are highly recommended. Proposals for manuscripts should be completed prior to conducting the review and submitted to the editorial board by e-mail (fertstert@asrm.org). The proposal should include the following information: Title Authors Corresponding author with contact information Purpose (provide background information, justify the need for the review, discuss originality of the proposed review) Manuscript type (Comprehensive Review/ Systematic Review [choose one]) For Comprehensive Reviews (provide an outline and list the 10 key papers) For Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (provide the research question, search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and analysis plan) Conflict of interests (please list any potential conflicts of interest for all authors)

Deadline for submission (should be within 15 weeks of submission of proposal). Members of the editorial board will review the proposal, provide feedback, and provide a recommendation as to whether to submit to F&S Reviews®. Submitting a proposal does not assure that the manuscript will be accepted.

Contact information
All correspondence regarding submitted manuscripts will be handled via e-mail through EM. Send all other correspondence to:

F&S Reviews®
fertstert@asrm.org
BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing

Authors

Conditions of Authorship: Authors should have made significant conceptual, intellectual, experimental, and analytical contributions to the review, as well as having participated in writing and revising the manuscript. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for its content. Honorary authorship (i.e., not adhering to the conditions of authorship and, none-the-less, being granted authorship) is not permitted. All authors must sign the Statement of Authorship (typed or printed name is not acceptable) and include the form on initial submission.

Responsibilities of Authors: Authors must describe the review findings in sufficient detail such that others could repeat it. Authors should cite publications in the literature that are relevant and should including publications by others, as well as of their own research group.

Scientific Misconduct. Definition. The DHHS Office of Research Integrity defines plagiarism, fabrication, and falsification.

The ASRM accepts these definitions and considers them to constitute scientific misconduct. Additional unethical behavior that comprises scientific misconduct includes: submission of results from animal or clinical research that was conducted without appropriate approval and written, informed consent; duplicate publication; and honorary authorship. Research misconduct occurs when results are falsified, fabricated or plagiarized. The actions are willful or intentional, although the actual definition of misconduct varies somewhat by country. This can occur at various times during the process of proposing, performing or reviewing research. Differences of opinion or honest errors do not constitute misconduct.

Fabrication: Data, results or recording or reporting information that does not exist.

Falsification: Changing research materials, equipment or processes; omission of data or results. As a consequence, the research is not accurately represented in the research records.

Plagiarism: Using another person's words, ideas, results, and processes without giving credit to them. Plagiarism includes the theft of intellectual property, ideas or methods such as the use of information gained by personal communication, or during a grant or manuscript review. Plagiarism also includes the direct textual copying of another person's work. Direct copying of 100-250 words constitutes plagiarism. Authorship disputes are not included in this definition. Citation plagiarism is the failure to credit others with prior discoveries and is extremely common. Self-plagiarism can include multiple publications of the same manuscript in different journals or books.

Duplicate Publication. Duplicate publication can take several forms: Duplicate Publication with other journals. On occasion ASRM journals may decide to publish an article simultaneously with another journal, e.g., with consensus statements from consensus conferences. Such intention on the part of the Editor-In-Chief should be discussed with the Publications Committee immediately after the two journals have had discussion so that Publications Committee members can assess the appropriateness of such joint publications and approve or disapprove.

Partial Publication. Partial presentation of data in another medium (e.g., on a website) does not necessarily preclude publication in F&S Reviews®, but acknowledgment of the previous presentation is required, along with identification of the source (e.g., the URL of the website). Content cannot be copied verbatim from the previous presentation, as that would constitute self-plagiarism, but must be rewritten to comply with journal standards.

Investigation of Scientific Misconduct

All cases of suspected misconduct will be investigated initially by the Editor-In-Chief and the Publications Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine to determine if the evidence of misconduct is sufficient to proceed with a formal inquiry. If so, the author will be notified in writing of the allegations and will be asked to provide information useful to the investigation, including access to all original data, notes, and copies of prior publications. The author's institution may be contacted, as well. Processing and publication of the manuscript will be delayed while the matter is resolved. Confidentiality will be maintained, and care taken to protect the rights and reputations of all concerned. The final decision on disposition of the paper and any sanctions against the author will be made by the Editor-In-Chief in concert with the Publications Committee.
Potential sanctions include but may not be limited to: rejection of a manuscript in process; a letter of reprimand to the author, copied to the author's institution; and correction or retraction of the manuscript, including a statement in the print issue detailing the nature of the misconduct.

Declaration of competing interest
All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors should complete the declaration of competing interest statement using this template and upload to the submission system at the Attach/Upload Files step. **Note: Please do not convert the .docx template to another file type. Author signatures are not required.** If there are no interests to declare, please choose the first option in the template. This statement will be published within the article if accepted. More information.

Submission declaration and verification
Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Crossref Similarity Check.

Use of inclusive language
Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

Authorship
To meet the criteria for authorship, in accordance with the F&S Reviews® instructions for authors, all authors should have made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of the review, or acquisition of data (literature), or analysis and interpretation of data (literature), AND (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, AND (3) final approval of the version to be submitted, AND (4) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Changes to authorship
Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.
**Copyright**
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete an 'Exclusive License Agreement' (see more information on this). Permitted third party reuse of open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license.

**Author rights**
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

**Role of the funding source**
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated.

**Funding body agreements and policies**
Elsevier has established a number of agreements with funding bodies which allow authors to comply with their funder's open access policies. Some funding bodies will reimburse the author for the gold open access publication fee. Details of existing agreements are available online. After acceptance, open access papers will be published under a noncommercial license. For authors requiring a commercial CC BY license, you can apply after your manuscript is accepted for publication.

**Open Access**
This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research:

**Subscription** Articles are made available to subscribers as well as developing countries and patient groups through our universal access programs. No open access publication fee payable by authors. The Author is entitled to post the accepted manuscript in their institution's repository and make this public after an embargo period (known as green Open Access). The published journal article cannot be shared publicly, for example on ResearchGate or Academia.edu, to ensure the sustainability of peer-reviewed research in journal publications. The embargo period for this journal can be found below.

**Gold Open Access** Articles are freely available to both subscribers and the wider public with permitted reuse. A gold open access publication fee is payable by authors or on their behalf, e.g. by their research funder or institution.

Regardless of how you choose to publish your article, the journal will apply the same peer review criteria and acceptance standards.

For gold open access articles, permitted third party (re)use is defined by the following Creative Commons user licenses.

**Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)**
For non-commercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article. The gold open access publication fee for this journal is USD 3500, excluding taxes. There is a 50% discount off the open access publication fee for members of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Learn more about Elsevier's pricing policy: https://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing.

**Green open access**
Authors can share their research in a variety of different ways and Elsevier has a number of green open access options available. We recommend authors see our open access page for further information. Authors can also self-archive their manuscripts immediately and enable public access from their institution’s repository after an embargo period. This is the version that has been accepted for publication and which typically includes author-incorporated changes suggested during submission, peer review and in editor-author communications. Embargo period: For subscription articles, an
appropriate amount of time is needed for journals to deliver value to subscribing customers before an article becomes freely available to the public. This is the embargo period and it begins from the date the article is formally published online in its final and fully citable form. Find out more.

This journal has an embargo period of 12 months.

Language (usage and editing services)
Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from Elsevier's Author Services.

Submission
Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article
Please submit your article via .

PREPARATION

Use of word processing software
It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.
To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

LaTeX
You are recommended to use the Elsevier article class elsarticle.cls to prepare your manuscript and BibTeX to generate your bibliography.
Our LaTeX site has detailed submission instructions, templates and other information.

Article structure

Title page
Running title. A short version of your title, consisting of 40 characters or less, including spaces. Title. Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible. Author names and affiliations. Where the family name may be ambiguous (e.g., a double name), please indicate this clearly. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author. Corresponding author. Only one corresponding author may be designated. Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. Ensure that phone numbers (with country and area code) are provided in addition to the e-mail address and the complete postal address. Contact details must be kept up to date by the corresponding author. Present/permanent address. If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes. Support. Any grants or fellowships supporting the writing of the paper. Disclosure summary. Please provide a disclosure statement as generated by the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.
Abstract

For Comprehensive Reviews: The abstract should be no longer than 250 words and should not contain subsections. The abstract should not refer directly to the text or references. It should be aimed to a general audience with specialized terminology kept to a minimum.

For Systematic Reviews (with or without meta-analyses) The abstract should be no longer than 500 words. It should contain the following subsections: **Objective:** Briefly (1-2 sentences) state the clinical question and its significance to clinical practice, and define the main objective for the review. **Evidence Review:** Include a concise summary of data sources. Specify inclusion and exclusion criteria used to identify and choose studies selected for the review among the relevant literature. Describe how the criteria were applied (blinded review, multiple reviewers, consensus) and describe methods for quality assessment. If the review includes a meta-analysis, specify the primary study outcomes and measurements. Stipulate whether random-effects or fixed-effect modeling was used for data-pooling. **Results:** Briefly summarize the number of articles reviewed, further classified by numbers for each type of study (eg, cohort studies, clinical trials), and number of study participants for each study. Provide an evidence-based, objective, and balanced summary of the main findings from the review, emphasizing the highest-quality evidence. Include quantitative data and present quantitative results with appropriate and relevant indicators of uncertainty (eg, confidence intervals), when possible. **Conclusion:** The conclusion should be based on findings described in the results and provide a clear answer to the questions posed (if applicable).

Graphical abstract

Authors of manuscripts selected for publication are expected to work with the publisher to develop a graphical abstract that clearly depicts the purpose and summarizes main findings of the review. The graphical abstract should use simple labels and employ text sparingly. Examples can be provided on request.

Key words

Immediately after the abstract, provide 3-5, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

Essential points

Please provide 3-5 bulleted statements highlighting the essential points in the review. Each point should be a single sentence.

Manuscript

**Comprehensive reviews**

Comprehensive review manuscripts are expected to consist of 4000-6000 words, excluding running title, title page, capsule, abstract, and references. The manuscript should also include 2-3 tables, 1-2 figures, and 100-200 references. Reviews should be clearly written in English with specific attention to American English spelling and conventions. Manuscripts should include an **introduction** and **summary or conclusion** section. The introduction should contextualize the work in historical perspective, explaining the intent for the review and its significance. Organization of the body of the paper is left to the authors but should be logical and readily comprehended by the reader. Authors are expected utilize subheadings to define subsections.

**Systematic reviews**

Systematic review manuscripts should be organized with the following headings; Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion. All systematic reviews must have a **flow chart** as a figure in the manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

**References**
**Citation in text**

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication.

**Web references**

As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

**Data references**

This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

**Reference style**

References follow style of Uniform Requirements ( ).

**Examples**


Reference list
References are numbered consecutively, with Arabic numerals, in the order of text citation, including citations in figure legends and tables at first mention in text. List up to six authors, followed by a comma and et al. Journal article titles are initial cap/lower case. Use lower case letter after colon in journal article title. Abbreviate journal names according to the current Index Medicus. Do not use an ampersand in journal names.

Tables
Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

Units
Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.

Artwork
Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. For further information on the preparation of electronic artwork, please see https://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

The following list will be useful during the final checking of an article prior to sending it to the journal for review.

Please consult this Guide for Authors for further details of any item.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details: E-mail address Full postal address Phone numbers

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain: Keywords All figure captions All tables (including title, description, footnotes) For Meta-Analyses and Systematic Reviews: Appropriate completed study-type checklist (i.e., PRISMA) Statement of Authorship Form ICMJE Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form for each author

Further considerations: Manuscript has been 'spell-checked' and 'grammar-checked' References are in the correct format for this journal All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Web). Provide copies of the permissions for journal records.

For any further information please visit our customer support site at .

Journal abbreviations source
Journal names should be abbreviated according to the List of Title Word Abbreviations.

AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Offprints
Offprints may be ordered from Elsevier Inc.
Standards for reporting research
Incomplete or inadequate reporting of research complicates the identification of the strengths and weaknesses of the studies reported in the medical literature. To solve this problem, several sets of guidelines have been developed in order to guarantee that the required information is available in a manuscript, to improve the accuracy and completeness of reporting of studies, and to allow readers to assess the potential for bias in the study (internal validity) and to evaluate its applicability to other environments (external validity).

Authors are strongly encouraged to consult the widely-accepted checklists below for each study type. Their application will increase the chances of acceptance by ensuring that important information will not be missed, producing a homogeneous and structured medical report, permitting fair and objective refereeing, and simplifying future corrections and revisions.

Systematic review/meta-analysis: PRISMA.