DESCRIPTION

*Trends in Anaesthesia and Critical Care* is an international peer reviewed journal, which provides reviews, opinion articles, lessons from clinical practice, and letters to the editor in anaesthesiology and critical care medicine on highly topical subjects and the latest breakthroughs in basic, clinical and translational research. TACC also welcomes original research articles about all areas of airway management.

The journal's objective is to provide a platform for discussion, analysis and debate of topics across a diverse, multidisciplinary audience of basic scientists and clinicians, who share the common goal of understanding clinical practice of *anaesthesiology, critical care*, aspects of related *emergency medicine and resuscitation*, as well as *airway management* with a view to new clinical practice. Communicating emerging concepts and ideas will be facilitated with opinion articles or letters to the editor and help to progress the exciting and evolving field of anaesthesia and critical care. If you are interested in suggesting an article for consideration, please consult the guide for authors of TACC. Authors should note that all articles are subject to peer review.
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GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Submission checklist
You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:
- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:
Manuscript:
- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print
Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)
Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations
- Manuscript has been ‘spell checked’ and ‘grammar checked’
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our Support Center.

Article Types

Lessons from Clinical Practice. These are an opportunity to publish an interesting case or case series which you have encountered. They should not be regarded as the traditional 'case report' because they are more detailed than that. Authors are asked to submit an interesting case or clinical lesson for consideration. Each will be considered individually and only those with a real interesting clinical lesson will be regarded as possibly suitable for publication. If it is accepted then it will be sent on to an expert who will write an accompanying piece providing a critique or further information concerning the situation.

Lessons should be interesting and novel. They should aim to tell the reader about an interesting case or situation from which real lessons can be learnt. Very rare cases would only be suitable for consideration if they illustrated an important lesson. The format should be to start with a brief introduction (contextual background) followed by an account of what happened or was observed. A discussion section should then be included. The lesson should be referenced accordingly.

Remember that you are writing for a wide audience - not only for readers in your own field. You can assume that your audience is competent in the basic language of the subject, but may require explanation or definition of technical terms, concepts and assumptions specific to your topic.

Length: 500-800 words, 12 references, 1 figure/box/table.
Authors names: No more than 3 authors. Full contact details for all authors (indicate corresponding author).
Abstract: Briefly explain the necessary background and encapsulate the take-home message for a non-specialist readership. 100 to 120 words.
Figures (optional): Should always have a short, explanatory title (as well as legend). Legends must fully explain the figure without reference to the text.
Tables (optional): Require a single-sentence title but no legend. Abbreviations (if not defined in the main text) and full explanations should be footnoted (using letters).

Text Boxes (optional): Ideal for providing explanations of basic concepts or theories, giving detailed mechanisms or discussing case studies. Text Boxes can occasionally contain small figures and tables. 200 words max per Box (references to be listed in main reference list only). The commentary will be written by an expert in the field and will have the same limits as those given above, i.e. maximum of 500-800 words, one figure or table and 12 references.

Letters to the Editor are reserved for genuine synthesis only.
Letters should have a journalistic flavour: aim to tell a story and communicate your enthusiasm for the subject. Start with some very brief contextual background, and be sure to alert the reader to the main message of your letter before the end of the first paragraph.
You are writing for a wide audience, not only for readers in your own field. You can assume that your audience is competent in the basic language of the subject, but may require explanation or definition of technical terms, concepts and assumptions specific to your topic. Avoid jargon, but do not oversimplify, be accurate and precise throughout. Use sections and subheadings to lead your reader through the discussion.

Length: 750-800 words, 12 references, 1 figure/box/table.

Authors names: No more than 3 authors. Include full contact details for all authors (indicate corresponding author).

Figures (optional): Should always have a short, explanatory title (as well as legend). Legends must fully explain the figure without reference to the text.

Tables (optional): Require a single-sentence title but no legend. Abbreviations (if not defined in the main text) and full explanations should be footnoted (using letters).

Text Boxes (optional): Ideal for providing explanations of basic concepts or theories, giving detailed mechanisms or discussing case studies. Text Boxes can occasionally contain small figures and tables. 200 words max per Box. References to be listed in main reference list only.

TACC Opinions should present a personal viewpoint on a research-related topic, rather than a review of this topic. The aim should be to stimulate debate or new research, cover controversial topics, or provide a new framework for, or interpretation of, an old problem or current issue, or speculate on the implications of recent research and clinical advances in health care infrastructure concerns at national or international level.

Articles that outline recent advances in a field rather than give a strong opinion on them are not suitable for this section of the journal. Our audience ranges from student to professor, and so articles must be accessible to a wide readership.

TACC Opinions must not include unpublished original data. Although subjective, an opinion article should not be used to dwell excessively on the author’s own research or excessively criticise others’, except where criticism is constructive.

Title: Should be short and enticing (no more than eight words).
Authors: No more than 3 authors. Full contact details for all authors (indicate corresponding author).
Abstract: Briefly explain the necessary background and encapsulate the take-home message for a non-specialist readership. Please emphasize the opinion you are putting forward. 100 to 120 words. Do not include reference citations.

Opinion structure: Please start with an accessible introduction outlining the timeliness, importance and rationale behind your article (why the subject is important, why now). Restate your opinion clearly. Use concise logical subheadings and provide clear links between sections. Finish with clearly stated conclusions, including an indication of future directions.

Length: 2500-3500 words: This limit does not include text in boxes, abstract, references, tables or figure legends. Figures: Should always have a short, explanatory title to preface the legend. Legends must fully explain the figure without reference to the text.

Tables: Require a single-sentence title but no legend. Abbreviations (if not defined in the main text) and full explanations should be footnoted (using letters).

Text Boxes: Ideal for providing explanations of basic concepts or theories, giving detailed mechanisms or discussing case studies. Text Boxes can occasionally contain small figures and tables. 400 words max per Box (refs. to be listed in main reference list only: see checklist). No more than 3 boxes per article. Outstanding questions: Can be summarized in a box (not included in box count).

Glossary Box: Used for specialist language (not included in box count).
References: The reference list should not be exhaustive - simply alert the readers to the key literature on which your opinion is based. Reviews should be cited if necessary to refer to older data. Up to 50 references.

TACC Airway Management Original Articles welcome all areas of airway management from anesthesia, critical care, and emergency medicine in- and outside of hospitals, to teaching and training issues in airway management, as well as emerging concepts and ideas about equipment for airway management in human or manikin studies, as long as the authors can demonstrate their clinical or educational relevance of the issues investigated and described in their articles with the final aim to improved safe patient care. Our audience ranges from student to professor, and so articles must be accessible to a wider readership.

Authors should note that all articles are subject to a rigorous peer review process and commonly accepted ethical rules, liken written informed consent by the patients or study participants as well as ethic committee approval is mandatory. Reviewers will have to answer for each article the following questions before they can finally recommend a suggestion to the editor about acceptance of an article to be published: Was the subject addressed in the submitted article worthy of investigation and publishing? Is the information presented new? Are the conclusions drawn by the authors supported by their data? Is the manuscript appropriate for the EAMS section? Is the organization of the manuscript appropriate? Are the figures, tables and supplementary data appropriate? And finally was the conduct of the study ethically acceptable?

To help you prepare your article, please take careful note of the following guidelines (especially limits and 'Article structure'). A more detailed formatting checklist follows these instructions.

Title: Titles should be short and enticing.
Authors names: No more than 7 authors - Full contact details for all authors (indicate corresponding author).
Structured Abstract with headings to include: Background, Aim, Methods, Results, Conclusion: Briefly explain the necessary background and encapsulate the take-home message. Please emphasize the recent developments that make your research timely. 250 to 500 words.
Article structure: Introduction: please indicate the timeliness and rationale for your article (why the subject is important; why now). Use concise logical subheadings and provide clear links between sections. Please end with a brief summary of your article, a strong take-home message and include a clear indication of future research.
Length: 3500 words: this limit does not include text in boxes, tables, figure legends abstract or references
Figures: Should always have a short, explanatory title to preface the legend. Legends must fully explain the figure without reference to the text.
Tables: Require a single-sentence title but no legend. Abbreviations (if not defined in the main text) and full explanations should be footnoted (using letters).
Text Boxes: Ideal for providing explanations of basic concepts or theories, giving detailed mechanisms or discussing case studies. Text Boxes can occasionally contain small figures and tables. 400 words max per Box (refs. to be listed in main reference list only: see checklist). No more than 4 boxes per article.
Outstanding questions: Can be summarized in a box (not included in box count).
Glossary Box: Used for specialist language (not included in box count).
References: 30-35 references should not be exceeded.

Review Articles

Review Articles constitute a literature review or a narrative review of a particular area and can be clinical or concentrate on a basic science topic.

Authors names: No more than 7 authors - Full contact details for all authors (indicate corresponding author).
Abstract: 500 words; briefly explain the necessary background and summary of the review article.
Article structure: Introduction: please indicate the timeliness and rationale for your article (why the subject is important; why now) and provide the summary with bias assessment of all included articles in your review. Use concise logical subheadings and provide clear links between sections.
Length: 6-7,000 words: this limit does not include text in boxes, tables, figure legends abstract or references.

Figures: Should always have a short, explanatory title to preface the legend. Legends must fully explain the figure without reference to the text.

Tables: Require a single-sentence title but no legend. Abbreviations (if not defined in the main text) and full explanations should be footnoted (using letters).

Text Boxes: Ideal for providing explanations of basic concepts or theories, giving detailed mechanisms or discussing case studies. Text Boxes can occasionally contain small figures and tables. 400 words max per Box (refs. to be listed in main reference list only: see checklist). No more than 4 boxes per article.

Outstanding questions: Can be summarized in a box (not included in box count).

Glossary Box: Used for specialist language (not included in box count).

References: Up to 50 references.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing
Please see our information pages on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication.

Studies in humans and animals
If the work involves the use of human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans. The manuscript should be in line with the Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals and aim for the inclusion of representative human populations (sex, age and ethnicity) as per those recommendations. The terms sex and gender should be used correctly.

Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

All animal experiments should comply with the ARRIVE guidelines and should be carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments, or the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978) and the authors should clearly indicate in the manuscript that such guidelines have been followed. The sex of animals must be indicated, and where appropriate, the influence (or association) of sex on the results of the study.

Informed consent and patient details
Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and informed consent, which should be documented in the paper. Appropriate consents, permissions and releases must be obtained where an author wishes to include case details or other personal information or images of patients and any other individuals in an Elsevier publication. Written consents must be retained by the author but copies should not be provided to the journal. Only if specifically requested by the journal in exceptional circumstances (for example if a legal issue arises) the author must provide copies of the consents or evidence that such consents have been obtained. For more information, please review the Elsevier Policy on the Use of Images or Personal Information of Patients or other Individuals. Unless you have written permission from the patient (or, where applicable, the next of kin), the personal details of any patient included in any part of the article and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission.

Reporting Guidelines
The Editor requires that manuscripts adhere to recognized reporting guidelines relevant to the research design used and require authors to submit a checklist verifying that essential elements have been reported for all primary research and systematic reviews. Reporting guidelines endorsed by the journal are listed below:

You are required to adhere to these guidelines (or a suitable recognized alternative) and to submit a completed checklist from the reporting guideline to assist the editors and reviewers of your paper. You can search for the correct guideline for your study using the tools provided by the EQUATOR network: http://www.equator-network.org/ The guideline used must be indicated in the Author Checklist and the completed Standards of Reporting Checklist must also be included in your submission.

Declaration of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential competing interests include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors must disclose any interests in two places:

1. A summary Conflict of interest Statement in a separate Word document. This should include any detail that may be considered a conflict of interest for all authors. If there are no interests to declare for any of the authors then please state this: 'Declarations of interest: none'. This summary statement will be ultimately published if the article is accepted so needs to be in an editable Word format. Please ensure it includes enough information for researchers as they will not have access to the forms.

2. Detailed disclosures within separate Conflict of Interest / ICMJE forms. It is important for potential interests to be declared in both places and that the information matches. Please click here for more information. These form(s) will not be published and are for the review process and the journal's official records only.

Submission declaration and verification

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Crossref Similarity Check.

Preprints
Please note that preprints can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's sharing policy. Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information).
Use of inclusive language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

Author contributions

For transparency, we encourage authors to submit an author statement file outlining their individual contributions to the paper using the relevant CRediT roles: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Software; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. Authorship statements should be formatted with the names of authors first and CRediT role(s) following. More details and an example

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor.

To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author:

(a) the reason for the change in author list and
(b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement.

In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted.

While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Reporting clinical trials

Randomized controlled trials should be presented according to the CONSORT guidelines. At manuscript submission, authors must provide the CONSORT checklist accompanied by a flow diagram that illustrates the progress of patients through the trial, including recruitment, enrollment, randomization, withdrawal and completion, and a detailed description of the randomization procedure. The CONSORT checklist and template flow diagram are available online.

Registration of clinical trials

Registration in a public trials registry is a condition for publication of clinical trials in this journal in accordance with International Committee of Medical Journal Editors recommendations. Trials must register at or before the onset of patient enrolment. The clinical trial registration number should be included at the end of the abstract of the article. A clinical trial is defined as any research study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of humans to one or more health-related interventions to evaluate the effects of health outcomes. Health-related interventions include any intervention used to modify a biomedical or health-related outcome (for example drugs, surgical procedures, devices, behavioural treatments, dietary interventions, and process-of-care changes). Health outcomes include any biomedical or health-related measures obtained in patients or participants, including pharmacokinetic measures and adverse events. Purely observational studies (those in which the assignment of the medical intervention is not at the discretion of the investigator) will not require registration.
**Article transfer service**

This journal is part of our Article Transfer Service. This means that if the Editor feels your article is more suitable in one of our other participating journals, then you may be asked to consider transferring the article to one of those. If you agree, your article will be transferred automatically on your behalf with no need to reformat. Please note that your article will be reviewed again by the new journal. [More information.]

**Copyright**

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see [more information] on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has [preprinted forms] for use by authors in these cases.

For gold open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' ([more information]). Permitted third party reuse of gold open access articles is determined by the author's choice of [user license].

**Author rights**

As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. [More information.]

*Elsevier supports responsible sharing*

Find out how you can [share your research] published in Elsevier journals.

**Role of the funding source**

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated.

**Open access**

Please visit our [Open Access page] for more information.

*Elsevier Researcher Academy*

[Researcher Academy](#) is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

*Language (usage and editing services)*

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the [English Language Editing service] available from Elsevier's Author Services.

**Submission**

Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

**PREPARATION**
Peer review
This journal operates a single anonymized review process. All contributions are typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers which they have written themselves or have been written by family members or colleagues or which relate to products or services in which the editor has an interest. Any such submission is subject to all of the journal's usual procedures, with peer review handled independently of the relevant editor and their research groups. More information on types of peer review.

Submission by an Editor
A paper submitted by an editor or board member (including submission as a co-author) will be handled by one of the other Senior Editors who will manage the peer review process. The Journal's submission system does not allow Editors to manage their own papers in the system.

Article structure
Subdivision - numbered sections
Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction
State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Essential title page information
- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
- **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.
- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.
- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

Highlights
Highlights are optional yet highly encouraged for this journal, as they increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the examples here: example Highlights.

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

Abstract
A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself.
**Graphical abstract**

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view Example Graphical Abstracts on our information site.

Authors can make use of Elsevier's Illustration Services to ensure the best presentation of their images and in accordance with all technical requirements.

**Keywords**

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

**Abbreviations**

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

**Acknowledgements**

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).
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Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article. Many word processors can build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Otherwise, please indicate the position of footnotes in the text and list the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article. Do not include footnotes in the Reference list.

**Artwork**

**Electronic artwork**

**General points**

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.
- Ensure that color images are accessible to all, including those with impaired color vision.
A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available. You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

**Formats**

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.

Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

- EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.
- TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.
- TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi.
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**Please do not:**

- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
- Supply files that are too low in resolution;
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

**Colour Artwork**

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If you were commissioned to write your article, and together with your accepted article you submit usable color figures, then Elsevier will ensure that at no additional charge these figures will appear in color on the Web (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) in addition to color reproduction in print. If you were not commissioned then for color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article. Please indicate your preference for color: in print or on the Web only. For further information on the preparation of electronic artwork, please see https://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Please note: Because of technical complications which can arise by converting color figures to 'gray scale' (for the printed version should you not opt for color in print) please submit in addition usable black and white versions of all the color illustrations.

**Illustration services**

Elsevier's Author Services offers Illustration Services to authors preparing to submit a manuscript but concerned about the quality of the images accompanying their article. Elsevier's expert illustrators can produce scientific, technical and medical-style images, as well as a full range of charts, tables and graphs. Image 'polishing' is also available, where our illustrators take your image(s) and improve them to a professional standard. Please visit the website to find out more.

**Figure captions**

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

**Tables**

Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.
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**Citation in text**

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal (including the article title, authors' names and the journal title where applicable) and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication and this can be placed at the end of the reference (e.g. 2018, in press).
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As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

Data references
This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

References in a special issue
Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added to any references in the list (and any citations in the text) to other articles in the same Special Issue.

Reference style
Text: Indicate references by number(s) in square brackets in line with the text. The actual authors can be referred to, but the reference number(s) must always be given. Example: '..... as demonstrated [3,6]. Barnaby and Jones [8] obtained a different result ....'

List: Number the references (numbers in square brackets) in the list in the order in which they appear in the text.

Examples:
Reference to a journal publication:

Reference to a journal publication with an article number:

Reference to a book:

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

Reference to a website:

Reference to a dataset:[dataset]

Reference to software:

Journal abbreviations source
Journal names should be abbreviated according to the List of Title Word Abbreviations.

Revised Submissions
Use of word processing software
Regardless of the file format of the original submission, at revision you must provide us with an editable file of the entire article. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

Revised Manuscripts
Authors who have been asked to revise their manuscript by the Editors should submit a file labelled 'response to reviewers' which clearly shows point by point the changes that have been made including a page number of where the changes have occurred.

Authors should also provide via the 'track changes' function or text highlighting the sections which have been updated. A clean copy of the revised manuscript (i.e. a file which contains the changes without any highlighting) is also required.

**Video**
Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the file in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 150 MB per file, 1 GB in total. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect. Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our video instruction pages. Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

**Data visualization**
Include interactive data visualizations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions here to find out about available data visualization options and how to include them with your article.

**Supplementary material**
Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

**Research data**
This journal encourages and enables you to share data that supports your research publication where appropriate, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings. To facilitate reproducibility and data reuse, this journal also encourages you to share your software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Below are a number of ways in which you can associate data with your article or make a statement about the availability of your data when submitting your manuscript. If you are sharing data in one of these ways, you are encouraged to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the "References" section for more information about data citation. For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the research data page.

**Data linking**
If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the database linking page.
For **supported data repositories** a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

**Mendeley Data**
This journal supports Mendeley Data, enabling you to deposit any research data (including raw and processed data, video, code, software, algorithms, protocols, and methods) associated with your manuscript in a free-to-use, open access repository. During the submission process, after uploading your manuscript, you will have the opportunity to upload your relevant datasets directly to **Mendeley Data**. The datasets will be listed and directly accessible to readers next to your published article online.

For more information, visit the **Mendeley Data for journals page**.

**Data statement**
To foster transparency, we encourage you to state the availability of your data in your submission. This may be a requirement of your funding body or institution. If your data is unavailable to access or unsuitable to post, you will have the opportunity to indicate why during the submission process, for example by stating that the research data is confidential. The statement will appear with your published article on ScienceDirect. For more information, visit the **Data Statement page**.
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