DESCRIPTION

The Journal of Foot & Ankle Surgery is the leading source for original, clinically-focused articles on the surgical and medical management of the foot and ankle. Each bi-monthly, peer-reviewed issue addresses relevant topics to the profession, such as: adult reconstruction of the forefoot; adult reconstruction of the hindfoot and ankle; diabetes; medicine/rheumatology; pediatrics; research; sports medicine; trauma; and tumors.

The Journal of Foot & Ankle Surgery is indexed through Index Medicus, Excerpta Medica, Biosciences Information Service, and CINAHL.

Benefits to authors
We also provide many author benefits, such as free PDFs, a liberal copyright policy, special discounts on Elsevier publications and much more. Please click here for more information on our author services.

Please see our Guide for Authors for information on article submission. If you require any further information or help, please visit our Support Center

AUDIENCE

Foot and ankle surgeons, podiatrists, orthopedic surgeons, and sports medicine physicians

IMPACT FACTOR

2017: 1.138 © Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports 2018

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor
D. Scot Malay

Section Editors
Adult Reconstruction-Forefoot
Paul D. Dayton
John T. Marcoux
Vincent Muscarella
Molly Schnirring-Judge
Michael Theodoulou
James Thomas

Adult Reconstruction - Rearfoot & Ankle
Jason George DeVries
Michael S. Downey
Daniel J. Hatch
George T. Liu
Andrew J. Meyr

Diabetes
William P. Grant
Paul J. Kim
Barry I. Rosenblum

Medicine/Rheumatology
Donald Kushner
Thomas E. Lyons

Pediatrics
Patrick S. Agnew
Richard N. Goad
Edwin J. Harris

Research
Adam Marek Budny
Avneesh Chabra
John Randolph Clements
Emily A. Cook
Jeremy J. Cook
Robert M. Joseph
Daniel C. Jupiter
Bradley M. Lamm
Naohiro Shibuya
Paul H. Wooley

Sports Medicine
Richard T. Bouche
Amol Saxena

Trauma
Brian Carpenter
Mark A. Hardy
Stephen A. Mariash
Benjamin D. Overley, Jr.
Narendra R. Patel
Shannon M. Rush
Tim Schepers

Tumors/Pathology
Bo Jian
Lawrence Osher
Herrick J. Siegel

Audio File Editor
Robert Hadfield

Editors Emeritus
Earl G. Kaplan
Richard P. Reinherz
John M. Schuberth
Lowell Scott Weil, Sr.
GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Submission Checklist
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Further considerations Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked' using US English setting All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet) A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our Support Center.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing
Please see our information pages on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication.

Studies in humans and animals
If the work involves the use of human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans. The manuscript should be in line with the Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals and aim for the inclusion of representative human populations (sex, age and ethnicity) as per those recommendations. The terms sex and gender should be used correctly.

Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

All animal experiments should comply with the ARRIVE guidelines and should be carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments, or the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978) and the authors should clearly indicate in the manuscript that such guidelines have been followed. The sex of animals must be indicated, and where appropriate, the influence (or association) of sex on the results of the study.

Financial Disclosure
Every author, including the corresponding author and all co-authors, must upload a signed financial disclosure with their manuscript at the time of submission. In this form, authors must indicate any financial arrangements they have with any company whose product is pertinent to the submitted manuscript, or with a company making a competing product. The information will be held in confidence while the paper is under review and will not influence the blind peer review process. If the article is accepted for publication, a Financial Disclosure statement will appear with the article. No manuscript can be published without first having the Financial Disclosure on file in the Journal office.

Declaration of interest
All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential competing interests include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent
applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors must disclose any interests in two places: 1. A summary declaration of interest statement in the title page file (if double-blind) or the manuscript file (if single-blind). If there are no interests to declare then please state this: 'Declarations of interest: none'. This summary statement will be ultimately published if the article is accepted. 2. Detailed disclosures as part of a separate Declaration of Interest form, which forms part of the journal's official records. It is important for potential interests to be declared in both places and that the information matches. More information.

Submission declaration and verification
Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Crossref Similarity Check.

Use of inclusive language
Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Articles should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader, should contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of race, sex, culture or any other characteristic, and should use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, for instance by using 'he or she', 'his/her' instead of 'he' or 'his', and by making use of job titles that are free of stereotyping (e.g. 'chairperson' instead of 'chairman' and 'flight attendant' instead of 'stewardess').

Changes to authorship
Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.
Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Reporting clinical trials
Randomized controlled trials should be presented according to the CONSORT guidelines. At manuscript submission, authors must provide the CONSORT checklist accompanied by a flow diagram that illustrates the progress of patients through the trial, including recruitment, enrollment, randomization, withdrawal and completion, and a detailed description of the randomization procedure. The CONSORT checklist and template flow diagram are available online.

Copyright
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement. Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases.

Author rights
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Elsevier supports responsible sharing
Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

Role of the funding source
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated.

Funding body agreements and policies
Elsevier has established a number of agreements with funding bodies which allow authors to comply with their funder's open access policies. Some funding bodies will reimburse the author for the gold open access publication fee. Details of existing agreements are available online.

After acceptance, open access papers will be published under a noncommercial license. For authors requiring a commercial CC BY license, you can apply after your manuscript is accepted for publication.

Open access
This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research:

Subscription
- Articles are made available to subscribers as well as developing countries and patient groups through our universal access programs.
- No open access publication fee payable by authors.
- The Author is entitled to post the accepted manuscript in their institution's repository and make this public after an embargo period (known as green Open Access). The published journal article cannot be shared publicly, for example on ResearchGate or Academia.edu, to ensure the sustainability of peer-reviewed research in journal publications. The embargo period for this journal can be found below.

Gold open access
- Articles are freely available to both subscribers and the wider public with permitted reuse.
- A gold open access publication fee is payable by authors or on their behalf, e.g. by their research funder or institution.

Regardless of how you choose to publish your article, the journal will apply the same peer review criteria and acceptance standards.

For gold open access articles, permitted third party (re)use is defined by the following Creative Commons user licenses:

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)
For non-commercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article.
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Green open access
Authors can share their research in a variety of different ways and Elsevier has a number of green open access options available. We recommend authors see our open access page for further information. Authors can also self-archive their manuscripts immediately and enable public access from their institution's repository after an embargo period. This is the version that has been accepted for publication and which typically includes author-incorporated changes suggested during submission, peer review and in editor-author communications. Embargo period: For subscription articles, an appropriate amount of time is needed for journals to deliver value to subscribing customers before an article becomes freely available to the public. This is the embargo period and it begins from the date the article is formally published online in its final and fully citable form. Find out more.

This journal has an embargo period of 12 months.
Language (usage and editing services)
Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from Elsevier's WebShop.

Submission
Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article
Please submit your article via https://www.editorialmanager.com/JFAS.

PREPARATION

Double-blind review
This journal uses double-blind review, which means the identities of the authors are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa. More information is available on our website. To facilitate this, please include the following separately:

Title page (with author details): This should include the title, authors' names, affiliations, acknowledgements and any Declaration of Interest statement, and a complete address for the corresponding author including an e-mail address.

Blinded manuscript (no author details): The main body of the paper (including the references, figures, tables and any acknowledgements) should not include any identifying information, such as the authors' names or affiliations.

Use of word processing software
It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

Please set your dictionary and spellcheck functions to United States English language.

Article structure and manuscript templates

Types of Manuscripts and Articles

Original Research—scientific reports of clinical and laboratory investigations, including randomized controlled trials, cross-over experiments, meta-analyses, prospective and retrospective cohort (N greater than or equal to 30) studies, case control studies, analyses of secular trends, and cross sectional studies.

Review Article—including systematic reviews and narrative review articles. Case Report—observational reports of rare diseases or unusual interventions, ranging from a single case to a series (N less than or equal to 30) of patients.

Instructional Course—a detailed description of a specific intervention or diagnostic test, accompanied by detailed figure images and video add-ons available at the JFAS Website.

Tips, Quips and Pearls—a brief and practical description of a useful clinical or surgical maneuver or procedure, accompanied by useful figure images.

Editorial or Commentary—an article expressing an opinion about a topic considered interesting to foot and ankle surgeons.
Letter to the Editor—a letter from a reader expressing an opinion or raising a question, usually focusing on a particular published article, and usually accompanied by a reply from the author/s of the original article.

**Manuscript Templates**
Authors are instructed to download and use the appropriate manuscript template/s: Original Research; Review; Case Report; Tips, Quips, and Pearls; Title Page.

Paying attention to the template/s should enable an author to provide all of the manuscript elements required for publication. Manuscripts are commonly submitted without all of the required information, or with information misplaced in the document, and the manuscript templates were developed in an effort to guide authors through the manuscript preparation process without omitting or misplacing required information. Following the template should minimize critical appraisal points that require authors to further revise their manuscript. The templates are meant to guide manuscript production, without telling the author what to say or how to interpret findings. If a manuscript is not in compliance with the content and formatting guidelines defined in the appropriate manuscript template/s, and if it is not in compliance with the items defined herein (in the Guide for Authors), we will not be able to further consider or publish the manuscript. Therefore, authors are advised to pay attention to the information in the Guide for Authors and the appropriate Manuscript Template/s. Combining the information in the manuscript template with that in the Guide for Authors should cover almost every element that is required for publication, assuming that the content matter is appropriate for JFAS.

**Manuscript Format**
The following details pertain to the different types of manuscripts published in JFAS. Each page should be numbered consecutively, in the bottom right corner, with the title page designated as page 1. The manuscript should also be double-spaced, line-numbered, and left margin justified. The contents of your manuscript should include the following segments in the following order:

- **Original Research or Review Article**
  (1) Title, (2) Abstract, (3) Level of Clinical Evidence, (4) Key Words, (5) Text: Introduction, Patients/Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion (6) Acknowledgments, (7) References, (8) Tables, (9) Figures, (10) e-only electronic add-ons, which are made available to readers at the jfas.org website.

- **Instructional Course or Tips, Quips and Pearls**
  (1) Title, (2) Abstract, (3) Key Words, (4) Text: Introduction, Surgical Technique, Discussion, (5) Acknowledgments, (6) References, (7) Tables, (8) Figures, (9) e-only electronic add-ons, which are made available to readers at the jfas.org website.

- **Editorial, Commentary, or Letter to the Editor**
  (1) Title, (2) References, (3) Tables, (4) Figures, (5) e-only electronic add-ons, which are made available to readers at the jfas.org website.

**General Rules**
The main segments are to be identified by bold, left-margin headings, capitalizing the first letter of the first word of each named section. Subheadings, if needed, should be in non-bold italic font, left-margin aligned, capitalizing the first letter of the first word of the subheading.

As a general rule, when describing activities that were part of the investigation, as well as the observed outcomes, use the past tense. Present tense is reserved for discussions of states of knowledge, which are considered ongoing (for example, "Open reduction and internal fixation is the treatment of choice for displaced, unstable fractures...").

Whenever uncertainty arises in regard to format, authors are encouraged to consult the following text: AMA Manual of Style: A Guide for Authors and Editors, 10th Edition.

**Introduction**
State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

**Material and methods**
Provide sufficient details to allow the work to be reproduced by an independent researcher. Methods that are already published should be summarized, and indicated by a reference. If quoting directly from a previously published method, use quotation marks and also cite the source. Any modifications to existing methods should also be described.
**Patients and Methods versus Materials and Methods**

If the study is a clinical investigation involving human participants, use the heading "Patients and Methods." If the study involves animals, cadavers, or *in vitro* or computer models of any sort, use the heading "Materials and Methods." In general, the Methods section should describe the following elements of the investigation: (1) Aims; (2) Study population; (3) Assessors and other members of the investigational team, population, or sample; (4) Intervention; (5) Endpoints (outcomes); (6) Statistical methods used to determine the meaning of the results.

**The Building Blocks of Good Clinical Evidence**


**Assessors**

Describe members of the investigational team in regard to their participation in the study: (1) If they served as outcome assessors. (2) If they performed an intervention. (3) If they abstracted data from medical records, in the case of a retrospective study.

For studies in which subjective measurements are determined, such as measurements of radiographic angles, describe the method for breaking ties and determining an outcome when indecision or uncertainty exists.

If outcomes assessors were blind to treatment allocation, state this.

If outcomes assessors were participants in the intervention, such as members of the surgical team or treating clinicians, state this.

**Institutional Review Board**

Authors MUST indicate whether or not the investigation was conducted with Institutional Review Board (IRB) [or Ethics Committee] approval.

If IRB approval was procured, then the author has to attend to each of the following elements:

The name and affiliation of the particular IRB used by the investigators (eg. Western IRB [https://www.wirb.com/Pages/default.aspx], Quorum Review [https://www.quorumreview.com/], or the name of a specific institution or research organization), must be stated.

A copy (PDF) of the IRBs approval letter or case number for the study being described in the report, must be provided to JFAS.

Whether or not the investigation was subjected to a full or expedited review, must be described. Most institutions require a full review if anything more than a review of medical records is undertaken. A review of medical records usually entails, at a minimum, an expedited review. Authors should realize that, technically, even a case report, or a report of a series of patients, is subject to, at a minimum, an expedited review, if protected health information (patient medical records) is used to identify and abstract information used in the report. If IRB approval was obtained, then an author might say: We obtained approval from our institutions review board [or Ethics Committee] (institutions name, approved investigations protocol number), after an expedited [or full] review.

If IRB approval was not sought, then the author must disclose this fact, and provide an explanation as to the rationale for not seeking IRB approval. Potential reasons for not seeking IRB approval are limited, and may possibly include alone or in combination, the following: 1) the lack of use of human or animal data in the conduct of the investigation, and 2) the lack of use of protected health information in the conduct of the investigation. For example, an author might say: We did not seek IRB approval for this investigation because we did not employ the use of protected health information in this investigation, or we did not use humans or their protected health information [or animal subjects] in this investigation.
Since IRBs are prevalent, even a private practitioner is encouraged to attempt to procure approval from one of several national IRBs (such as the Western IRB, or Quorum Review, to name two), since, as a rule, we expect authors to seek IRB approval regardless of their institutional affiliation.

At this point in time, however, IRB approval is not required for publication, although it is required that the author disclose whether or not IRB approval was obtained.

**Study Population**
The Methods section provides readers with an explicit description of the participant/patient population and the time period from which they were selected. The time period should delineate the month, day, year that the period started and the day, month, and year that the period ended (MM/DD/YYYY-MM/DD/YYYY). If the specific day is not known, it is acceptable to just use the month.

For Case Reports and Series and Cohort Studies, state whether or not the participants were enrolled consecutively, and clearly indicate inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Refer to subjects as "participants" if the diagnostic test or intervention is experimental and not yet approved for use by the US FDA. Refer to participants as "patients" for all other tests or interventions that are already known to be therapeutic, safe, and efficacious.

**Intervention**
Explicitly describe the intervention in any investigation.

If participants were randomized to an active therapy that was compared to standard therapy or to a placebo, you must describe each treatment arm.

Avoid presenting a detailed narrative report of an operative intervention for a standard procedure. Instead, cite a reference for that standard procedure. Describe variations on the procedure.

Thoroughly describe all novel interventions, notable variations on standard procedures, decision points related to an intervention, and adjunct procedures.

Use generic drug names where possible. When a brand name is used, include the name, city, state, country of the manufacturer in parentheses immediately after the proprietary name. Whenever medication use is described, provide complete dosing information: dose, method of administration, frequency of use, duration of use.

**Endpoints (Outcomes)**
Explicitly define outcome measures in terms of (1) how the variable was measured, (2) who made the measurement, and (3) whether or not the assessor was blind to the interventional (for an intervention trial).

Clearly state if outcomes were based on physical examination, chart review, telephone interview, questionnaire, radiographic films, or some other method.

Consider for analysis any variable that you consider to be important in regard to the treatment of patients, as it pertains to the investigation.

"Hard" endpoints such as analytical measurements, clinical and microbiology laboratory results, and other specific measurements are preferred to "soft" endpoints. If "soft" endpoints such as quality of life (QOL) or foot-related QOL are used, it is preferred that health measurement instruments that have been previously shown to be reliable and provide valid information be used.

A health measurement instrument is not in and of itself valid, although the information gained from the use of the health measurement instrument should be used. QOL instruments should be specific to the foot and ankle (e.g., ACFAS, AOFAS, Bristol Foot Score, Foot Function Index).

Describe an investigator-derived questionnaire in terms of reliability and validity if such testing was undertaken by the investigators or if the questionnaire has been described in a previous publication.

For scales that rank categories (e.g., mild, moderate, severe), use an aggregate score. For measurements of pain, the 10-cm visual analog scale (VAS) is recommended.

**Statistical Methods**
Clearly describe the statistical plan. Include, at minimum, descriptive and inferential statistical analyses. Ideally, also include univariate and multiple variable statistical analyses.

In the descriptive statistical analysis, define parameters such as the measure of central tendency (mean or median average) and measures of dispersion (standard deviation or range).
Select the parameter, as well as the statistical test, based on the type and distribution of data.

Continuous numeric data that are normally distributed are suitable for representation using the mean and standard deviation and may be analyzed using mean-based statistical tests such as Student's t test.

Categorical data and data that are non-normally distributed are suitable for representation using the median and range and may be analyzed using median-based (nonparametric) methods such as the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, sign test, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and the Kruskal-Wallis equality-of-populations rank test and other null hypothesis tests and methods of estimation.

Use univariate analyses to describe the association of independent variables with the outcome of interest (dependent variable). Use multiple variable analyses to describe the association of all of the clinically important variables with the outcome of interest.

Present results with only as much precision as is of scientific value. For example, report measures of association (e.g., odds ratios, relative risks, risk differences) to 2 significant digits. Reserve the terms significant and significantly for when describing statistical differences. Do not make the statement "no significant difference was found" between 2 or more groups unless a power analysis was done and the value of alpha (level of significance typically 5% or \( p \text{ value} < .05 \)) or beta (the power to detect a statistically significant difference, usually 80% or 90%) is reported. Denote the probability of the null hypothesis using a lowercase italic \( p \) separated from the word "value" by a hyphen (specifically \( p \text{ value} \)).

Use of the word "significant" requires reporting of a \( p \) value (probability) or, preferably, the 95% confidence interval about a point estimate. 95% confidence intervals are preferred whenever the results of survivor analyses are given in the text, tables, or graphs. Except when 1-sided tests are required by study methodology, such as in noninferiority trials, 2-sided \( p \) values should be reported. By convention, Report \( p \) values > .01 to 2 decimal places, \( p \) values between .01 and .001 to 3 decimal places, and \( p \) values < .001 as \( p < .001 \). Probabilities should never be reported as \( p = .000 \). Furthermore, use of the word “correlation” or the phrase “correlates with” requires that a correlation coefficient be calculated and reported, otherwise terms such as “association” or “associated” should be used.

**Registered Trademarks and Copyrights**

Generic names are preferred.

- Any and every time that a proprietary substance (such as a medication), device, equipment, or software program is mentioned in the manuscript, it must be accompanied by either of the following symbols: “®” or “™”, indicating that the substance or device is a registered trademark.

- It is advisable to avoid using proprietary terms in the title of the manuscript.

- The following information must be provided in parentheses, immediately following mention of the proprietary item: proprietor’s name (the name of the company that owns the registered trademark), city and state wherein the proprietor’s headquarters are located, and the country if other than the United States.

- Authors should use the proprietor’s precise terminology (exact name and registered trademark symbol, “®” or “™”) when referring to a specific proprietary substance, device or procedure. If copyrighted material is mentioned, then the “©” symbol should accompany the item; if it is trademarked, then either the “®” or the “™” symbol should be used, in accordance with the owner’s preference. This information is usually available at the proprietor’s Web site.

- The use of copyrighted material requires that the author obtain explicit permission from the owner of the copyright (publisher), and the author, for such use.

The results of a sensitivity analysis, such as that described by Greenland (Maldonado G, Greenland S: Simulation study of confounder-selection strategies. Amer J Epidemiol. 1993; 138: 923–936.), or that described by Rosenbaum (Rosenbaum PR. Sensitivity analysis for matched case-control studies. Biometrics. 1991 Mar; 47(1): 87-100; and, Rosenbaum PR. Discussing hidden bias in observational studies. Ann Intern Med. 1991 Dec 1; 115(11): 901-5.), should be presented for retrospective studies where unmeasured independent variables may have potentially influenced the results.

Additional references that may be useful in regard to the description of the methods and the presentation of a statistical plan include:


Results: The results section should provide quantitative information about the data collected, in the form of descriptive and inferential statistics.

- Relevant information on the study population includes demographic information for each subgroup (control group and study groups), exclusions and attrition. Inferential statistics should be used to compare groups using appropriate statistical tests based on the size of the study population, type of variables under study (discrete vs. categorical), and the distribution of the data collected.

Quantitative information should be summarized in the text, and readers should be referred to relevant tables for more detailed information. As a rule, a minimum of three results tables should be presented, and designated Tables 1, 2, and 3. Table 1 typically depicts the baseline demographic characteristics of the sample population, often categorizing the patients/participants by intervention or outcome, and showing whether or not statistically significant differences existed between the groups. For randomized controlled trials, it is not necessary to depict statistically significant differences at baseline, since randomization distributes the characteristics by chance. Table 2 generally depicts the results of the univariate analyses, and Table 3 generally depicts the results of the multiple variable analyses. All tables must denote the sample size, or subgroup sizes, in the parentheses at the end of the title, or in parentheses in the column heading for each specific group heading. Use upper case "N" for the total, or overall sample size, and lower case "n" for subgroup sizes. For example, a table title might say: "Table 1 A statistical description of the cohort (N = 78 feet in 76 patients)." Or, if subgrops are being described, column headings might say: "Control group (n = 28)" and "Intervention group (n = 34)." Always keep track of denominators when denoting sample sizes. It is very important to include the sample size information in each and every table and figure title or column headings, sine readers must be able to determine sample sizes just by looking at the figures and tables.

- For randomized controlled trials, the first figure should be the study flow chart.

- For meta-analyses and systematic reviews, a Christmas tree diagram should be included.

- Consistency and clarity is required when reporting results. As a rule, report means with standard deviations (using the ± symbol) and medians with the range (either minimum and maximum or 25th and 75th percentiles), and always report the proportion of the whole when presenting count data (for instance, “...4 (3.25%) displayed wound dehiscence...”), and report calculations to 2 decimal places.

- It is also crucial that authors remain clear and consistent when they report denominators, with a particular emphasis on clarity in regard to the number of patients versus the number of feet or ankles or extremities, since these numbers vary based on unilateral versus bilateral cases.

Discussion: The discussion section offers the authors' interpretation of the results of their investigation. Authors should consider how their results fit into the general state of knowledge on the subject, as well as their clinical relevance. In addition, authors should acknowledge the limitations of their investigation that may have introduced bias, and they should discuss how the results could have been affected by bias.
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