Science & Justice is the official journal of The Chartered Society of Forensic Sciences. It provides a medium whereby all aspects of applying science to legal proceedings can be internationally evidenced, debated and progressed through high quality, peer reviewed publications. It promotes learned and original research findings across all subjects of interest to forensic and crime science communities, and the criminal justice sector.

The journal publishes material in the following types of submission: Original Research Papers, Short Communications (for example, Preliminary Studies and Proof-of-Concept articles where datasets are limited), Review Articles, Technical Notes, Case Studies, Professional Practice Reports, Rapid Communications, Commentaries (including Professional Commentaries), Correspondence. Science & Justice is published six times a year and will be of primary interest to forensic scientists, forensic practitioners and crime scene investigators, legal professionals and their colleagues in related fields. It is chiefly concerned with the publication of formal scientific papers, in keeping with its international learned status, but will not accept any article that does not comply with strict ethical and legal standards. Evidence of consent and compliance, along with reference to protocol approval from an institutional ethical review committee should accompany your submission, particularly for case studies and research involving human or animal experimentation, or any study involving human data, non-exclusively including patient data.

Submissions are invited from all relevant areas of criminal justice, criminal law and forensic science disciplines including, but not limited to, crime scene and vehicle collision investigation, forensic archaeology and anthropology, forensic biology, forensic genetics and pathology, forensic chemistry and toxicology, criminalistics and physical sciences, digital forensics, environmental forensics and wildlife crime. Authors should consider the journal's diverse, international readership, and wherever possible, should contextualise their work and its application for the benefit of practitioners, academics, researchers and criminal justice systems across the globe.
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INTRODUCTION

*Science & Justice* is the official publication of The Chartered Society of Forensic Sciences which was founded, in 1959, with the objectives of advancing the study, application and standing of forensic science, and facilitating co-operation among persons interested in forensic science throughout the world. *Science & Justice* is published six times a year and will be of primary interest to forensic scientists, forensic practitioners and crime scene investigators, legal professionals and their colleagues in related fields. It is chiefly concerned with the publication of formal scientific papers, in keeping with its international learned status, but will not accept any article that does not comply with strict ethical and legal standards.

**Types of article**
The Editor welcomes original, high quality contributions in English on any aspect of forensic and crime science, as outlined in the journal's aims and scope. *Science & Justice* welcomes submissions of the following article types:
- Original Research Papers (Regular Papers)
- Review Articles
- Short Communications (for example, Preliminary Studies and Proof-of-Concept articles where datasets are limited)
- Technical Notes
- Case Studies
- Professional Practice Reports
- Rapid Communications
- Commentaries (including Professional Commentaries)
- Correspondence

**Original Research Papers (Regular Papers)** are full-length research articles and present the outcomes of original and methodologically sound scientific investigations that have been previously unpublished. These papers are peer reviewed and non-exclusively assessed for the strength of their experimental design, full details of ethical approval and legal conformance (where appropriate), their data-based interpretations, discussions and conclusions in the context of previously published literature and professional practice, and the potential impact of the paper across the world. While authors may submit a paper for consideration under this article type, the handling editor and peer reviewers will consider whether your submission is more appropriate for publication as a preliminary study, short communication or professional practice report for example.

**Review Articles** provide a detailed, critical review of a specific subject that falls within the scope of the *Science & Justice* journal. Review articles are backed up by a full reference list and explore all aspects of the subject. Review articles may be regularly submitted or invited by the journal's Editors and are subject to the normal peer review process for the journal.

**Short Communications** are the preferred article type for the submission of original and important research, such as proof of concept studies, preliminary observations, perspectives or data that does not warrant publication as a full-length research (Regular Paper). Short communications should typically be no more than 3000 words in length and must provide sufficient information for *Science & Justice*’s standard peer review process to be completed.

**Technical Notes** describe technical aspects of a problem or discipline, report on new or modified methods or procedures, validate or present new results from techniques or methodologies. Technical notes must clearly state their relevance within the scope of *Science & Justice* and should be shorter than Regular Papers, usually no more than 3000 words in length. Highly detailed and specific technical information such as computer programme code, guidance documents or user manuals can be included as supplementary material. Technical Notes are subjected to *Science & Justice*’s standard peer review process.

**Case Studies** contain important and relevant background information to explain the case in sufficient detail for the reader and peer reviewers. Case studies should examine and critically evaluate what happened in the case to identify new or important learning outcomes that will enhance the knowledge or understanding of the readers and be of significant benefit to future casework. The current status of the case, or the case outcome(s) should be included, where relevant. Case studies will undergo the usual peer review process for the *Science & Justice* journal.

**Professional Practice Reports** describe the innovative application of professional practice initiatives as they pertain to forensic and crime science practice, education, training and research. Reports that highlight important lessons to be learnt are strongly encouraged and such submissions are subjected
to Science & Justice's standard peer review process. Topics could include: collaborative work between professions that improve investigative or criminal justice outcomes; development and implementation of forensic and crime scene quality standards; the impact of procedural, policy or cultural change on employees within operational forensic or policing environments, and more.

**Rapid Communications** should describe work of significant interest, whose impact would suffer if publication were not expedited. They should not be longer than 10 submitted pages and must provide sufficient information for Science & Justice's standard peer review process to be completed. Authors may suggest that their work is treated as a Rapid Communication, but the final decision on whether it is suitable as such will be taken by the handling Editor.

**Commentaries** are designed to allow experts from across the criminal justice sector to present their view on a 'hot topic' or emerging field in the forensic and crime sciences. Commentaries should be 1000-1500 words and contain fewer than 5 references. Authors may suggest that their work is treated as a Commentary or Professional Commentary, but the final decision on whether it is suitable as such will be taken by the handling Editor. Articles in this section may not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the editorial team or the **Council of the Chartered Society of Forensic Sciences**, but are typically subjected to Science & Justice's standard peer review process.

**Correspondence** papers are reserved for responses to previously published papers or original data in the journal. The original author will be given the opportunity to comment and respond to any correspondence regarding their previously published manuscript. Correspondence pieces must not contain Highlights or an Abstract.

Any manuscript submitted as a Science & Justice Special Issue must select the specific 'Special Issue' article submission type during the submission process. They must then specify in their covering letter the type of article that they wish their manuscript to be considered as to facilitate the peer review and publication process.

**Sections of Science & Justice**

To accommodate a range of articles of interest to members and other readers, each issue may contain material typically categorised under the following section headings:

- Editorial / Guest Editorial
- Review Articles
- Rapid Communications
- Research Papers
- Preliminary and Short Communications
- Technical Notes
- Professional Practice Reports
- Case Studies
- Commentaries
- Errata / Corrigenda

Authors are asked to specify in their submission covering letter to the Editor, which of the above sections of *Science & Justice* they wish their manuscript to be considered. Authors should aim to make their manuscripts comprehensible to the majority of *Science & Justice*'s international readers, bearing in mind that this majority will not be specialists in the author's particular field. Those intending to submit manuscripts for publication in *Science & Justice* are advised to study carefully the following instructions. We promote the use of inclusive neutral language and the consistent use of either American or British English (but not both) throughout your manuscript. Articles are published on the understanding that publication is not taken to imply endorsement of the views therein by either the Editor or the Council of the **Chartered Society of Forensic Sciences**.

While the Editor may seek the opinion of a referee on any article submitted, it is editorial policy that all manuscripts submitted for publication as Review Articles, Rapid Communications, Research Papers, Preliminary and Short Communications, Technical Notes, Professional Practice Reports and Case Studies, are subject to peer review by at least two referees, who remain anonymous. Although referees are sent the manuscript without the title page, authors should recognise that their identity may be obvious from reading the manuscript. Therefore, authors must anonymise and redact any information within the main manuscript that may identify them, for example when referring to their organisation/institution, prior research and publication(s).

**Submission checklist**

You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.
Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:
- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:
Manuscript:
- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Indicate clearly if colour should be used for any figures in print
- Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)
- Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations:
- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Evidence of consent and compliance with ethical and legal standards is provided, with reference to protocol approval from an institutional ethical review committee
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- The name, email address and a brief supporting justification for at least two independent expert reviewers, who are not from the first or corresponding authors? home country, must be provided with your submission based on article type and journal requirements
- A covering letter written by the corresponding author to the Editor-in-Chief accompanies your submission

For further information, visit our Support Center.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN
Ethics in publishing
Please see our information on Ethics in publishing.

Declaration of Interest
All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential competing interests include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors must disclose any interests in the title page file. If there are no interests to declare then please state this: 'Declarations of interest: none'. This summary statement will be ultimately published if the article is accepted. More information.

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing
The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier’s AI policy for authors.
Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

**Disclosure instructions**
Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled ‘Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process’.

Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

**Additional information**
This does not preclude consideration of a complete report that follows publication of preliminary findings or of a manuscript where an abstract or report was published previously in the Society News section.

**Submission declaration and verification**
Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by Crossref Similarity Check and other originality or duplicate checking software.

**Preprints**
Please note that preprints can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's sharing policy. Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information).

**Use of inclusive language**
Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns (“clinicians, patients/clients”) as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

**Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses**

**Reporting guidance**
For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research's generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they...
refer (see Definitions section below). Authors can refer to the Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines and the SAGER guidelines checklist. These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

**Definitions**

Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features (e.g., chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy). A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth"), most often based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors, and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society. Sex and gender are often incorrectly portrayed as binary (female/male or woman/man) and unchanging whereas these constructs actually exist along a spectrum and include additional sex categorizations and gender identities such as people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD) or identify as non-binary. Moreover, the terms "sex" and "gender" can be ambiguous—thus it is important for authors to define the manner in which they are used. In addition to this definition guidance and the SAGER guidelines, the resources on this page offer further insight around sex and gender in research studies.

**Author statement (CRediT roles)**

For transparency, we encourage authors to submit an author statement file outlining their individual contributions to the paper using the relevant CRediT roles: Conceptualisation; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Software; Supervision; Validation; Visualisation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. Authorship statements should be formatted with the names of authors first and CRediT role(s) following. More details and an example

**Authorship**

All authors should have made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, (3) final approval of the version to be submitted.

**Changes to authorship**

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

**Article transfer service**

This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service to find the best home for your manuscript. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated Scientific Managing Editor, a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. If you agree, your manuscript will be transferred, though you will have the opportunity to make changes to the manuscript before the submission is complete. Please note that your manuscript will be independently reviewed by the new journal. More information.

**Article transfer service**

This journal is part of our Article Transfer Service. This means that if the Editor feels your article is more suitable in one of our other participating journals, then you may be asked to consider transferring the article to one of those. If you agree, your article will be transferred automatically on your behalf.
with no need to reformat. Please note that your article will be reviewed again by the new journal and you may be asked by the Editor-in-Chief or handling Editor to make changes to the submission before it is sent for peer review as the readership of the journal may differ significantly. More information.

Copyright
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases.

For gold open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' (more information). Permitted third party reuse of gold open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license.

Author rights
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing
Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

Role of the funding source
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

Open access
Please visit our Open Access page for more information.

Elsevier Researcher Academy
Researcher Academy is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)
Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the Language Editing service available from Elsevier's Language Services.

Submission
Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article
Please submit your article via https://www.editorialmanager.com/SCIJUS.

Referees
Please submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of at least two potential referees from different countries. For more details, visit our Support site. Note that the Editor retains the sole right to decide whether or not the suggested reviewers are used.
**Additional information**

All submissions must be accompanied by a covering letter from the author who is to be responsible for correspondence about the manuscript. The covering letter should contain a statement that the manuscript has been seen and approved by all authors and by any person whose aid has been acknowledged. Authors working within an organisation where approval to publish is required should show in the covering letter that such approval has been obtained. Also included should be copies of any permission required to reproduce published material or recognisable photographs of individuals. Where the paper describes work done with material donated by volunteers or experimental work involving volunteer subjects the paper must include a statement indicating that the study protocol has been approved by a properly constituted Research Ethics Committee. The only exception will be where authors of the paper have used samples donated by themselves for the study. A statement regarding the compliance with legal standards must also be included where relevant.

Manuscripts prepared according to the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals are acceptable, with the exception that in citations the journal title should be given in full and not abbreviated. Details of these requirements are available at several web sites including [www.acponline.org/journals/resource/unifreqr.htm](http://www.acponline.org/journals/resource/unifreqr.htm)

**PREPARATION**

**Queries**

For questions about the editorial process (including the status of manuscripts under review) or for technical support on submissions, please visit our [Support Center](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/scijus).

**Peer review**

This journal operates a double anonymised review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers which they have written themselves or have been written by family members or colleagues or which relate to products or services in which the editor has an interest. Any such submission is subject to all of the journal's usual procedures, with peer review handled independently of the relevant editor and their research groups. [More information on types of peer review](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/scijus).

**Double anonymised review**

This journal uses double anonymised review, which means the identities of the authors are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa. More information is available on our website. To facilitate this, please include the following separately:

- **Title page (with author details):** This should include the title, authors' names, affiliations, acknowledgements, funding sources and any Declaration of Interest statement, and a complete address for the corresponding author including an e-mail address.
- **Anonymised manuscript (no author details):** The main body of the paper (including the references, figures and tables) should not include any identifying information, such as the authors' names or affiliations.

**Use of word processing software**

It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor’s options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the [Guide to Publishing with Elsevier](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/scijus)). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.
Subdivision - numbered sections
Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction
State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Material and methods
Provide sufficient details to allow the work to be reproduced by an independent researcher. Methods that are already published should be summarised, and indicated by a reference. If quoting directly from a previously published method, use quotation marks and also cite the source. Any modifications to existing methods should also be described.

Results
Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion
The Discussion should emphasise new and important aspects of the study and end with conclusions that follow from them. Results should not be repeated in detail in the Discussion, but their implications and limitations should be considered and related to other relevant studies.

Essential title page information
• Title. Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
• Author names and affiliations. Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.
• Corresponding author. Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.
• Present/permanent address. If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.
• Acknowledgements. Collate acknowledgements in a separate section on the title page and ensure these details are not included in the blind manuscript. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof-reading the article, etc.).
• Funding source. Provide the details of any funding sources for the research or declare that there was none. List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements: Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa]. It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organisation that provided the funding. If no funding has been provided for the research, please include the following sentence: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethics Statement
For all article types except Reviews, Commentaries and Correspondence, studies on human patients or using volunteers require approval by the institutional or national research ethics committee/review board approval and/or a statement that informed consent to participate and publish the study must be obtained. Investigations involving the use of human subjects, including the use of identifiable human material or data, must also state that the research was conducted in accordance with the principles
embodied in the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with local statutory requirements. Studies involving animals also require ethics committee/review board approval with details of the institutional and/or national animal care and use guidelines that may have been followed. Please provide a Word file titled 'Ethics Statement', which provides details on this or which explains why ethics committee/review board approval and/or informed consent (where applicable) were not required.

**Highlights**

Highlights are mandatory for this journal as they help increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the example Highlights.

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

**Abstract**

A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself.

**Graphical abstract**

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarise the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view Example Graphical Abstracts on our information site.

**Keywords**

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using British spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

**Abbreviations**

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

**Nomenclature and units**

Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other quantities are mentioned, give their equivalent in SI. You are urged to consult IUB: Biochemical Nomenclature and Related Documents for further information.

**Artwork**

**Electronic artwork**

**General points**

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.
- Ensure that colour images are accessible to all, including those with impaired colour vision.

A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available.

**You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.**
Formats
If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.
Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):
EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.
TIFF (or JPEG): Colour or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.
TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi.
TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (colour or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

Please do not:
• Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colours;
• Supply files that are too low in resolution;
• Submit graphics that are disproportionally large for the content.

Colour artwork
Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable colour figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in colour online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in colour in the printed version. For colour reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article. Please indicate your preference for colour: in print or online only. Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.

Figure captions
Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (not on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

Tables
Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

Novelty Statement (max. 150 words)
Please provide a concise summary of the novel contribution(s) of this work to knowledge in the forensic sciences. Please note that this statement will be made available to reviewers of the manuscript for consideration.
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Additional information

Citing Legal Cases
For English cases, case names should be the Law Reports -AC, Ch, QB if possible. For recent cases the WLR or All ER reference should be used if there is one. Put the v in roman type without the full point - e.g., Brown v White. A general case reference would be as follows: AvB [1988] AC 123.

A reference to a specific page should be made as follows, with the first page of the report always referred to first: Re Smith [1989] AC 123, 134

Note the following abbreviations: R (not Rex/Regina), Alt Gen, ex p (prefaced by a comma). Square brackets should be used around the year of the report where this is essential to find the reference. Where this is not the case because the report has a volume number, the brackets should be round. For Scottish law reports the year is not placed in brackets. Thus: [1988] 2 WLR 456; (1986) 130 SJ 78 and 1984 SC 111. An example would be R v Poplar Coroner, ex p Thomas [1992] 2 WLR 547, 549.
Data references
This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

Preprint references
Where a preprint has subsequently become available as a peer-reviewed publication, the formal publication should be used as the reference. If there are preprints that are central to your work or that cover crucial developments in the topic, but are not yet formally published, these may be referenced. Preprints should be clearly marked as such, for example by including the word preprint, or the name of the preprint server, as part of the reference. The preprint DOI should also be provided.

Reference management software
Most Elsevier journals have their reference template available in many of the most popular reference management software products. These include all products that support Citation Style Language styles, such as Mendeley. Using citation plug-ins from these products, authors only need to select the appropriate journal template when preparing their article, after which citations and bibliographies will be automatically formatted in the journal's style. If no template is yet available for this journal, please follow the format of the sample references and citations as shown in this Guide. If you use reference management software, please ensure that you remove all field codes before submitting the electronic manuscript. More information on how to remove field codes from different reference management software.

Reference style
Text: Indicate references by number(s) in square brackets in line with the text. The actual authors can be referred to, but the reference number(s) must always be given.
Example: '..... as demonstrated [3,6]. Barnaby and Jones [8] obtained a different result ....'
List: Number the references (numbers in square brackets) in the list in the order in which they appear in the text.
Examples:
Reference to a journal publication:
Reference to a journal publication with an article number:
Reference to a book:
Reference to a chapter in an edited book:
Reference to a website:
Reference to a dataset:
Reference to software:

Data visualisation
Include interactive data visualisations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions here to find out about available data visualisation options and how to include them with your article.
**Supplementary material**

Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

**Research data**

This journal encourages and enables you to share data that supports your research publication where appropriate, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings, which may also include software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Below are a number of ways in which you can associate data with your article or make a statement about the availability of your data when submitting your manuscript. If you are sharing data in one of these ways, you are encouraged to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the "References" section for more information about data citation. For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the research data page.

**Data linking**

If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the database linking page.

For supported data repositories a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

**Data statement**

To foster transparency, we encourage you to state the availability of your data in your submission. This may be a requirement of your funding body or institution. If your data is unavailable to access or unsuitable to post, you will have the opportunity to indicate why during the submission process, for example by stating that the research data is confidential. The statement will appear with your published article on ScienceDirect. For more information, visit the Data Statement page.

**AFTER ACCEPTANCE**

**Availability of accepted article**

This journal makes articles available online as soon as possible after acceptance. This concerns the Journal Pre-proofs (both in HTML and PDF format), which have undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but are not yet the definitive versions of record. A Digital Object Identifier (DOI) is allocated, thereby making it fully citable and searchable by title, author name(s) and the full text. The article's PDF also carries a disclaimer stating that it is an unedited article. Subsequent production stages will simply replace this version.

**Online proof correction**

To ensure a fast publication process of the article, we kindly ask authors to provide us with their proof corrections within two days. Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to
MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors. If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

**Offprints**
The corresponding author will, at no cost, receive a customized Share Link providing 50 days free access to the final published version of the article on ScienceDirect. The Share Link can be used for sharing the article via any communication channel, including email and social media. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Corresponding authors who have published their article gold open access do not receive a Share Link as their final published version of the article is available open access on ScienceDirect and can be shared through the article DOI link.

**Author orders**
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