The mission of Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine (Nanomedicine: NBM) is to promote the interdisciplinary field of nanomedicine.

Nanomedicine: NBM is an international, peer-reviewed journal presenting novel, significant, and interdisciplinary theoretical and experimental results related to nanoscience and nanotechnology in the life and health sciences. Content includes basic, translational, and clinical research addressing diagnosis, treatment, monitoring, prediction, and prevention of diseases.

Nanomedicine: NBM journal publishes articles on artificial cells, regenerative medicine, gene therapy, infectious disease, nanotechnology, nanobiotechnology, nanomedicine, stem cell and tissue engineering.

Sub-categories include synthesis, bioavailability, and biodistribution of nanomedicines; delivery, pharmacodynamics, and pharmacokinetics of nanomedicines; imaging; diagnostics; improved therapeutics; innovative biomaterials; interactions of nanomaterials with cells, tissues, and living organisms; public health; toxicology; theranostics; point of care monitoring; nutrition; nanomedical devices; prosthetics; biomimetics; and bioinformatics.

Article formats include Rapid Communications, Original Articles, Reviews, Perspectives, Technical and Commercialization Notes, and Letters to the Editor. We invite authors to submit original manuscripts in these categories.
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GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Article types
Selection of the appropriate article type is very important because requirements do differ.

COMMUNICATIONS: Communications are to disseminate new observations quickly to the scientific community. Length of communications should not exceed 1,500 words (including body text, and figure legends), and the article should have no more than 5 figures. No more than 25 references should be cited. Include an Abstract of 150 words or less without internal subheadings and citations. Upon acceptance, Communications enjoy priority in publishing and are entered into the next available issue.

ORIGINAL ARTICLES: Full-length articles describe a full account about hypothesis-based research or theory in nanomedicine. Length should not exceed 5,000 words (including body text and figure legends), and the article should have no more than 8 figures in the main article. No more than 60 references should be cited. Include an Abstract of 150 words or less without internal subheadings and citations. The body text should include the four separate headings: Background, Methods, Results, and Discussion. Use of supplementary materials is recommended for detailed descriptions (see below).

REVIEWS: Critical reviews of selected important topics in nanomedicine research are solicited from opinion leaders. Length of Reviews should not exceed 12,000 words (including body text, and figure legends), and the article should have no more than 15 figures. No more than 200 references should be cited in the review; additional references should be moved to the supplementary file(s). Include an Abstract of 150 words or less without internal subheadings and citations. Authors wishing to submit an unsolicited Review manuscript should send the title of the planned subject and a tentative Table of contents for the specific topics to be covered along with names of authors and a brief, 250-word synopsis to irubinst@uic.edu for pre-submission approval by the Editors.

PERSPECTIVES IN NANOMEDICINE: Invited articles or brief editorial comments that represent opinions of recognized leaders in nanomedicine research and are written for the general scientific readership. Length should not exceed 3,500 words (including body text and figure legends). Authors wishing to submit an unsolicited Perspective manuscript should send the topic and title of the planned content along with names of authors and a brief, 250-word synopsis to NANO@elsevier.com for pre-submission approval by the Editors.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR: Letters to the Editor serves the purpose to comment on research published in Nanomedicine: NBM, including criticism or important comments. Letters may not exceed 500 words with maximum 10 references, one illustration, and one table. Letters and Responses to Letters are published together.

TECHNICAL AND COMMERCIALIZATION NOTES: Information regarding new technologies, crucial business and regulatory issues, commercialization of new products in the field, including intellectual property and patenting, nanotechnology law, etc. Length of technical notes should not exceed 2,000 words (including body text and figure legends), 5 figures, and 10 references. Include an Abstract of 150 words or less without internal subheadings and citations.

CASE STUDIES: These articles are descriptive or explanatory reports on actual clinical events based on an in-depth investigation of a single patient or a small group of individuals, related to use of nanomaterials, nanostructures, or nanomedicines in real-life context. Length of case studies should not exceed 3,500 words (including body text, and figure legends) with a maximum of 6 figures and 25 references. Include an Abstract of 150 words or less without internal subheadings and citations. Accepted case studies enjoy priority in publishing.

For all article types, authors should provide in the manuscript only information essential for the discussion of results and utilize the Supporting Materials file to provide all experimental details and other non-essential supporting information. There are no limitations for length, figures, and references in Supplementary Materials. Communications and Original Articles complete with Supporting Materials must contain sufficient information to reproduce the experiments and data by someone experienced in the art.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN
Ethics in publishing

Nanomedicine: NBM considers research and publication misconduct to be a serious breach of ethics, and will take such actions as necessary to address such misconduct. Plagiarism is defined as unreferenced use of published or unauthorized use of unpublished ideas, and may occur at any stage of planning, researching, writing, or publication. Plagiarism takes many forms, from "passing off" another's paper as the author's own paper, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another paper (without attribution), to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Duplicate submission/publication occurs when two or more papers, without full cross-reference, share the same hypothesis, data, discussion points, or conclusions. All manuscripts submitted to Nanomedicine: NBM are checked by CROSSCHECK, a professional software (http://www.ithenticate.com) before editorial consideration. For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see https://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and https://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics. Authors may also refer to the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors for full information.

Permissions

If any material has been published previously (figure, tables, etc), please provide written permission from the copyright holder to use such material. Authors are responsible for obtaining permission and payment of any fees associated with reuse. For more information, see the Permissions FAQ for Authors. For assistance, please contact Elsevier's Permissions Helpdesk: +1-800-523-4069 x3808; +1-215-239-3805; permissionshelpdesk@elsevier.com.

Human and animal rights

If the work involves the use of animal or human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/; EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/legislation_en.htm; Uniform Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals http://www.icmje.org. Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed. Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed. For studies involving human or animal research, indicate approval by the institution's human or animal subject review committee in the Methods section of the manuscript. Include an additional statement of the humane care of the animals with animal studies and a statement of informed consent or exempt classification by the IRB with human studies.

Conflict of interest

Authors are required to acknowledge all funding sources that supported their work as well as all institutional or corporate affiliations of the authors. Each submission must also include a publishable statement on the title page disclosing any commercial associations, current and within the past five years that might pose a potential, perceived, or real conflict of interest. These include grants, patent licensing arrangements, consultancies, stock or other equity ownership, advisory board memberships, or payments for conducting or publicizing the study. When no competing interests are present, include a statement on the title page. Further information and an example of a Conflict of Interest form can be found at: https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/286/supporthub/publishing. See also https://www.elsevier.com/conflictsofinterest. When no competing interests are present, include a statement on the title page.

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing

The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or
biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier’s AI policy for authors.

Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

**Disclosure instructions**
Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled ‘Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process’.

*Statement:* During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

**Submission declaration and verification**
Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see ‘Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication’ for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by Crossref Similarity Check and other originality or duplicate checking software.

**Preprints**
Please note that preprints can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's sharing policy. Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information). If you have shared a pre-print then please include the link with your submission.

**Preprint posting on SSRN**
In support of Open Science, this journal offers its authors a free preprint posting service. Preprints provide early registration and dissemination of your research, which facilitates early citations and collaboration.

During submission to Editorial Manager, you can choose to release your manuscript publicly as a preprint on the preprint server SSRN once it enters peer-review with the journal. Your choice will have no effect on the editorial process or outcome with the journal. Please note that the corresponding author is expected to seek approval from all co-authors before agreeing to release the manuscript publicly on SSRN.

You will be notified via email when your preprint is posted online and a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) is assigned. Your preprint will remain globally available free to read whether the journal accepts or rejects your manuscript.

For more information about posting to SSRN, please consult the SSRN Terms of Use and FAQs.

**Use of inclusive language**
Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible
to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

**Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses**

**Reporting guidance**

For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research's generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer (see Definitions section below). Authors can refer to the Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines and the SAGER guidelines checklist. These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

**Definitions**

Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features (e.g., chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy). A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth"), most often based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors, and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society. Sex and gender are often incorrectly portrayed as binary (female/male or woman/man) and unchanging whereas these constructs actually exist along a spectrum and include additional sex categorizations and gender identities such as people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD) or identify as non-binary. Moreover, the terms "sex" and "gender" can be ambiguous—thus it is important for authors to define the manner in which they are used. In addition to this definition guidance and the SAGER guidelines, the resources on this page offer further insight around sex and gender in research studies.

**Author contributions**

For transparency, we require corresponding authors to provide co-author contributions to the manuscript using the relevant CRediT roles. The CRediT taxonomy includes 14 different roles describing each contributor's specific contribution to the scholarly output. The roles are: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Software; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; and Writing - review & editing. Note that not all roles may apply to every manuscript, and authors may have contributed through multiple roles. More details and an example.

**Authorship**

The corresponding author must certify that all listed authors meet the Authorship and Contributorship criteria of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors detailed at [http://www.icmje.org/ethical_1author.html](http://www.icmje.org/ethical_1author.html), according to which each participant:

1) made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
2) drafted the article or revised it critically for important intellectual content; and
3) gives approval of the submitted manuscript and subsequent versions.

All authors of a submitted manuscript must sign a form declaring that they meet all of the above criteria for authorship, approve the most recent submitted version of the manuscript, and take full responsibility for the manuscript. This form will be provided to the corresponding author when the Editors reach a decision that the manuscript may be potentially publishable. Those who do not meet
all the criteria for authorship may be listed in the Acknowledgments. Examples of contributors listed in acknowledgements include a person who provided purely technical help, writing assistance, assistance with study design, data collection, or a department chairperson who provided only general support.

**Changes to authorship**
Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

**Article transfer service**
This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service to find the best home for your manuscript. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated Scientific Managing Editor, a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. If you agree, your manuscript will be transferred, though you will have the opportunity to make changes to the manuscript before the submission is complete. Please note that your manuscript will be independently reviewed by the new journal. More information.

**Copyright**
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases.

For gold open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' (more information). Permitted third party reuse of gold open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license.

**Author rights**
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing
Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

**Role of the funding source**
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

**Open access**
Please visit our Open Access page for more information about open access publishing in this journal.
Elsevier Researcher Academy

Researcher Academy is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the Language Editing service available from Elsevier's Language Services.

Submission

Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article


Suggesting reviewers

Please submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of several potential reviewers. You should not suggest reviewers who are colleagues, or who have co-authored or collaborated with you during the last three years. Editors do not invite reviewers who have potential competing interests with the authors. Further, in order to provide a broad and balanced assessment of the work, and ensure scientific rigor, please suggest diverse candidate reviewers who are located in different countries/regions from the author group. Also consider other diversity attributes e.g. gender, race and ethnicity, career stage, etc. Finally, you should not include existing members of the journal's editorial team, of whom the journal are already aware.

Note: the editor decides whether or not to invite your suggested reviewers.

General requirements

1) The manuscript must be of INTERDISCIPLINARY nature, representing the overlapping fields nanoscience and nanotechnology with biology, and medicine.
2) NOVELTY and ORIGINALITY are of primary importance. The results presented must significantly advance the field and improve scientific knowledge. Manuscripts with similar approaches that have already been undertaken by other groups should be submitted to appropriate journals. Originality of submissions is routinely checked by the editors using professional software.
3) SIGNIFICANCE: Accounts of research must appeal to a broad readership. In the cover letter, authors should provide a paragraph explaining how the work differs from the knowledge available in the literature and describe how it improves or has the potential to improve medicine.
4) REPRODUCIBILITY: Methods and materials should be reproducible and - in general - results and conclusions must move the field forward. Experimental studies must include at least in vitro results (one of them in an appropriately selected healthy cell line), although in vivo is preferred. Accordingly, synthesis and characterization of nanotechnology-based medicines (i.e., substances that promote healing) must accompany bioavailability and toxicity data and their comprehensive evaluation. Manuscripts that do not satisfy these general requirements will not be sent out for peer review and will be returned to the authors.

PREPARATION

Queries

For questions about the editorial process (including the status of manuscripts under review) or for technical support on submissions, please visit our Support Center.

Use of word processing software

It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word
processor’s options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

Article structure
Submission items include a cover letter, a list of suggested reviewers, text for the graphical abstract, image for the graphical abstract, the manuscript (including title page, abstract, manuscript text [divided into Background, Methods, Results, and Discussion for Original Articles], references, and figure legends), tables, and figures. Authors are advised to upload supporting information in Supplementary Material and permissions (see below) if needed. Revised manuscripts should also be accompanied by a unique file (separate from the cover letter) with responses to reviewers’ comments. The preferred order of files is as follows: cover letter, suggested reviewers, response to reviews (revised manuscripts only), graphical abstract files, manuscript file, table(s), figure(s), supplementary material, permission(s), if needed. Files should be labeled with appropriate and descriptive file names (e.g., SmithManuscript.doc, Figure1.eps, Table3.doc, Supplementary.pdf). If a revision is requested, upload text, tables, and figures as separate files. Do not embed figures or tables into the text document. Please upload your revised text as a Word file, and upload text-based Supplementary Materials as a PDF. All manuscripts must conform to Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals. Cover letter Be sure to state that the manuscript, or any part of it, has not been published and will not be submitted elsewhere for publication while being considered by the journal Nanomedicine: NBM in the cover letter. If there is any overlap between the submission and any other material, published or submitted, detail the nature of and reason for the overlap; as relevant, upload a copy of the other material.

Title page
Essential title page information
• **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible. A title should describe the article’s content clearly and precisely and allow the reader to decide whether it would be appropriate to consult the article further. The title is the advertisement for the article; a poorly titled article may never reach its target audience, so be specific. Omit unnecessary words such as “Novel,” “New,” “A study of,” “Investigations of,” “Observations on,” etc. Do not use abbreviations and jargon. Avoid overinflated, bombastic “marketing” titles. Indexing and abstracting services depend on the accuracy of the title and keywords used in cross-referencing are extracted from the title itself.

- **Author names and affiliations.** Where the family name may be ambiguous (e.g., a double name), please indicate this clearly. Present the authors’ affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author’s name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. Ensure that phone numbers (with country and area code) are provided in addition to the e-mail address and the complete postal address. Contact details must be kept up to date by the corresponding author.

- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a ‘Present address’ (or ‘Permanent address’) may be indicated as a footnote to that author’s name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes. The title page must include the word count for abstract; complete manuscript word count (including body text and figure legends, but excluding abstract, title page, and references), and number of figures/tables. There is no length limit on Supplementary Material. **Manuscripts with over-the-limit word counts will be returned to the authors without further review.** The title page must include statements of funding or conflicts of interest. Please make note of any prior presentation of abstracts at meetings regarding the research.
**Highlights**

Highlights are optional yet highly encouraged for this journal, as they increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the example Highlights.

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

**Abstract**

A concise and factual summary of 150 words or less without internal subheadings and citations is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results, and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, references and abbreviations should be avoided, but, if essential, they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself. Insert 3 to 5 key words after the abstract. Be sure to include the abstract in the manuscript file.

**Graphical abstracts**

Authors are required to submit a graphical abstract for their article containing one image and a short description; both should briefly summarize the essence of the paper in a concise form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership and for compilation of databases. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. The image should present the key points of the paper in a concise, pictorial form designed to seize the attention of readers and should not contain more than four panels. Please submit one image (in .tif or .eps format); see below for further resolution requirements. The text component should be ~50-100 words in .doc format, and it should not be the same as the abstract in the manuscript. If a figure in the manuscript is used as the graphical abstract image, please note this at the end of the abstract (i.e.: Graphical Abstract: Figure 2), and refer to the system's instructions for uploading. Examples of successful graphical abstract images and a link to Graphical Abstract Polishing can be found at https://www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts; see also a recent issue of the Journal, particularly Example 1, Example 2, Example 3.

**Keywords**

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

**Abbreviations**

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

**Structure of text**
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Data references
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**Supplementary material**
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