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GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

GENERAL INFORMATION
One of the premier peer-reviewed clinical journals in general medicine, Mayo Clinic Proceedings is among the most widely read and highly cited scientific publications for physicians. While the Proceedings is sponsored by Mayo Clinic (Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research), it welcomes submissions from authors worldwide, publishing articles that focus on clinical medicine and support the professional and educational needs of its readers.

Continuously published since 1926, Mayo Clinic Proceedings’ content includes Nobel-prize-winning research. The Proceedings has an Impact Factor of Factor of 8.9 and is ranked #20 out of 167 journals in the Medicine, General and Internal category, placing it in the top 12% of these journals.

Mayo Clinic Proceedings welcomes manuscripts that focus on clinical and laboratory medicine, health care policy and economics, medical education and ethics, and related topics.

All inquiries regarding journal policy should be directed to the Editorial Office at Proceedings@mayo.edu, Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Central time.

RAPID REVIEW PATHWAY
Mayo Clinic Proceedings offers a rapid review pathway for papers that have been peer reviewed at a high impact medical journal, were not accepted for publication at such journals, and the authors of these papers regard the essential concerns delineated in the critiques as addressable. The authors should attach the decision letter with peer reviewer comments and a point-by-point rebuttal as supplementary material in the submission. Clearly indicate in the cover letter the following: 1) the original journal that the article was submitted to; 2) a statement that the manuscript has been adequately revised according to the reviewers’ comments and in accord with Mayo Clinic Proceedings’ journal style; and 3) a request that the paper be processed through the rapid review pathway at Mayo Clinic Proceedings. The authors should submit both a clean version of the manuscript and a track changes version showing the changes made to address the reviewers’ comments. The Editors will review the request and the attached material to determine the suitability of the paper for rapid review. The Editors reserve the right to submit the manuscript for additional review and processing according to the normal review procedures at Mayo Clinic Proceedings. However, if on editorial review the paper is regarded as having high impact and the reviewers' comments from the original journal of submission are fully addressed, the Editors will make an expeditious decision based on the prior review. This provides the authors with the possibility of rapid publication of their manuscript without attendant delay incurred by additional reviews.

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION
Submit all manuscripts to Karl A. Nath, MBChB, Editor-in-Chief, at https://www.editorialmanager.com/mayoclinproc/default.aspx. Paper submissions cannot be accepted. Please send editorial questions to proceedings@mayo.edu.

Editorial Manager Login. If you are unsure about whether you have an account or have forgotten your password:
1. On the log in page, click the Send Login Details link.
2. Enter your e-mail address and click the Send Login Details button.
3. If the system finds a record matching your information, you will receive an email containing your username and a link to change your password. Click the link and follow instructions on the page to create a new password.
4. Note: If you enter an invalid username/password combination 5 times within 5 minutes, your account will be locked and will not be available for 10 minutes after the fifth failed login attempt. During the lockout period, you may click the Send Login Details link and follow the steps above to recover your username and rest your password.

Submission Metadata. Once you have successfully logged in, from the Author Main Menu, click Submit New Manuscript to start the submission process. Follow the steps and instructions provided. If you are interrupted during the submission process, your work will automatically be saved and you can return at a later time to finish the submission. Required metadata pertaining to the manuscript include the name, address, and e-mail address of the corresponding author and all contributing authors; affiliated institutions; title of the manuscript; abstract; and key words. Please ensure the accuracy
of all e-mail addresses. Authors are required to provide at least 2 preferred reviewers and have the option of providing nonpreferred reviewer names. Mayo Clinic Proceedings reserves the right of final reviewer selection. Once your manuscript has been completely submitted, a manuscript number will be assigned and used in all correspondence. The Editorial Office is automatically notified of the submission and sends an e-mail confirming the submission of the manuscript to the author(s).

*Mayo Clinic Proceedings* accepts no responsibility for manuscripts that are lost or destroyed through electronic or computer problems. Authors are encouraged to keep copies of submitted manuscripts, including figures. If an author does not receive confirmation of submission within 48 hours, he or she should contact the Editorial Office at proceedings@mayo.edu. If notice has not been received, the manuscript has not been completely submitted.

**JOURNAL POLICIES**

**Peer Review Process and Confidentiality**

*Mayo Clinic Proceedings* uses a single anonymized review process. Reviewer identities are not, and should not be, disclosed to the authors or other reviewers. In addition, authors should not contact those whom they presume to be reviewers of their manuscript. All contributions are initially assessed by an Associate Editor for suitability for the journal. Initial editorial reviews usually are completed within 1 to 2 weeks of manuscript submission. Once the initial assessment is complete, manuscripts are either forwarded on to peer review or rejected. The Associate Editor may serve as the handling Editor, or they may assign a Section Editor in the specialty area to serve as the handling Editor. Papers deemed suitable for peer review are then sent to a minimum of 2 independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. Original Articles and some Brief Reports are also reviewed by a statistician to ensure the appropriateness of the methodology. More information on types of peer review.

The journal adheres to **COPE's guidelines for peer reviewers**, and strives to provide a fair and unbiased peer review process. Manuscripts authored by editors or editorial board members of the journal will be assigned to editors unaffiliated with the author and monitored closely to ensure there is no peer review bias. Manuscripts authored by Mayo Clinic-affiliated employees are reviewed by non-Mayo Clinic-affiliated reviewers.

Editors who have a conflict of interest related to a particular manuscript must recuse themselves as the handling Editor, in which case the manuscript will be assigned to a new Editor. Editors are blocked from viewing any submissions on which they are authors. In addition, manuscripts authored by Editors must be accompanied by a statement confirming that the Editor had no role in the editorial review of or decision to publish the article.

See also the section entitled **Conflict of Interest and Financial Disclosure Policy**.

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article will be checked by the originality detection service **Crossref Similarity Check**.

Upon receipt of the reviewers' comments, the handling Editor will consider both the Comments to the Author and Confidential Comments to the Editor, along with whether the manuscript meets priority for publication. The handling Editor makes a recommendation to the Editor-in-Chief and may provide additional comments to the authors or Editor. The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final.

**Article Transfer Service**

This journal participates in Elsevier's Article Transfer Service, which means you do not have to resubmit or reformat your manuscript, saving you valuable time and effort during the submission process. While this does not constitute a guarantee that your paper will be published, we hope this arrangement will help expedite the process for promising papers.
If a paper is rejected at *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, the editors may offer the author(s) the option to transfer the paper to *MCP:IQO* or *MCP:Digital Health* for consideration. This offer may occur during the initial assessment of a manuscript or after receipt of the reviewers’ comments.

Within the decision letter from *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* the Editor will highlight the option of transferring the manuscript to *MCP:IQO* or *MCP:Digital Health*, and the corresponding author may either accept or decline that offer via a link in the decision letter email. Upon acceptance of the offer, the manuscript is transferred automatically to the new journal.

If the manuscript already has reviewer comments, they are also transferred to the receiving journal along with the manuscript files. The author(s) will be asked to finalize their submission by: 1) revising their manuscript in accord with the reviewers’ comments (including uploading a track changes version of the revised manuscript); 2) creating and uploading a point-by-point response to the reviewers’ comments; 3) verifying manuscript metadata; 4) uploading ICMJE forms for each author; and 5) reviewing and approving the PDF for submission. After the finalized submission of transferred manuscripts, the Editor will determine whether additional peer review will be necessary. The offer to authors to transfer a manuscript does not guarantee acceptance.

All inquiries regarding journal policy should be directed to the Editorial Office at proceedings@mayo.edu.

**Authorship**

*Mayo Clinic Proceedings* follows the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) recommendations on authorship. According to the ICMJE, all authors should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content, either all of the work or an important part of it. To take public responsibility, an author must be able to defend the content (all or an important part) and conclusions of the article if publicly challenged. Sufficient participation means that substantial contributions have been made in each of the following areas:

1. Conception and design of the work; or acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of the data for the work; and
2. Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and
3. Approval of the version to be published; and
4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

All those designated as authors should meet all four criteria for authorship, and all who meet the four criteria should be identified as authors. Those who do not meet all four criteria should be acknowledged. These authorship criteria are intended to reserve the status of authorship for those who deserve credit and can take responsibility for the work. The criteria are not intended for use as a means to disqualify colleagues from authorship who otherwise meet authorship criteria by denying them the opportunity to meet criterion #s 2 or 3. Therefore, all individuals who meet the first criterion should have the opportunity to participate in the review, drafting, and final approval of the manuscript.

For more information, please see [www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org).

Each manuscript should have 1 author designated as the primary contact and corresponding author for all communications about the submission, and, if it is accepted for publication, the published article. The corresponding author assumes primary responsibility for communication with the journal during the manuscript submission, peer review, and publication process, and typically ensures that all the journal's administrative requirements are properly completed.

Requests for removal or addition of an author after manuscript submission should be explained in writing, with a signed statement of agreement for the requested change, from all listed authors and from the author to be removed or added.

Author Required Forms. All authors are required to complete an ICMJE Conflict of Interest form. ICMJE forms should be uploaded at submission by the corresponding author. For manuscripts that contain CME (Concise Reviews), authors should also complete the Mayo Clinic School of Continuous Professional Development (MSCPD) Faculty and Disclosure Form.


Other Contributions. The names, degrees, and affiliations of individuals who contribute to a manuscript but do not qualify for authorship should be listed (with their written permission) in an Acknowledgments section with a description of their individual contributions. This requirement covers
any and all writing, editorial, and/or statistical assistance or authorship contributions made on behalf of outside organizations, persons, funding bodies, or persons hired by funding bodies. When a medical writer or editing service was used, their activities and the funding source for these services should be noted.

CRediT
For transparency, we encourage authors to submit an author statement file outlining their individual contributions to the paper using the relevant CRediT roles: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Software; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review editing. Authorship statements should be formatted with the names of authors first and CRediT role(s) following https://www.elsevier.com/authors/policies-and-guidelines/credit-author-statement

Declaration of Generative AI in Scientific Writing
The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should:

Only use these technologies to improve readability and language, not to replace key researcher tasks such as interpreting data or drawing scientific conclusions. Apply the technology with human oversight and control, and carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. Not list AI and AI-assisted technologies as an author or co-author, or cite AI as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier’s AI policy for authors. Disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

Disclosure Instructions
Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled 'Declaration of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process.'

Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID)
"ORCID is an open, non-profit, community-driven effort to create and maintain a registry of unique researcher identifiers and a transparent method of linking research activities and outputs to these identifiers." Authors and reviewers are encouraged to create an ORCID account and/or link their existing ORCID account to their Editorial Manager user account. To learn more about ORCID, please visit https://www.orcid.org.

Preprint Servers
In alignment with Elsevier’s Sharing Policy (https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/sharing), we will consider papers previously posted on preprint servers such as arXiv, bioRxiv, ChemRxiv, and SSRN. At submission, authors must acknowledge, in the cover letter, the preprint server deposit, including the date of deposition, and provide any associated URLs or DOIs. Papers should not be posted to a preprint server simultaneously with - or after submission to - Mayo Clinic Proceedings. This policy only applies to the original submitted version of the preprint paper (ie, we do not support preprint posting of revisions that respond to editorial input and peer review or posting of the final published version to preprint servers). Editors will not consider comments posted on preprint servers before and/or during the peer review process in the evaluation of the submitted manuscript. Once published, authors are responsible for updating the preprint with a link to the final published article.
We also ask that authors disclose on the Title page the prior publication as a preprint as follows: A preliminary report of this work was previously published on Name of Preprint Server, Posted Date. DOI.

**Conflict of Interest and Financial Disclosure Policy**

Authors. All authors are required to complete his or her own ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest statement. These forms should be uploaded at submission by the corresponding author. Authors should also include all financial support of the research and conflict of interest disclosures on the Title page of the manuscript. In addition, all authors are required to complete his or her own Mayo School of Continuous Professional Development (MSCPD) Faculty and Disclosure Form for article types containing CME (ie, Concise Review for Clinicians and select Thematic Reviews).

Reviewers. Reviewers are required to disclose (on the reviewer score sheet) whether they have any financial or professional affiliations that may be perceived as a conflict of interest in reviewing a specific manuscript. Reviewers should decline to review any manuscript with which they have any conflict of interest issues regarding the topic, authors, or related affiliations that may hinder providing a fair and balanced review. If unsure, reviewers should contact the Editorial Office for clarification.

Editors and Journal Staff. Editors who make final decisions about manuscripts should recuse themselves from editorial decisions if they have conflicts of interest or relationships that pose potential conflicts related to articles under consideration. Other editorial staff members who participate in editorial decisions must provide editors with a current description of their financial interests or other conflicts (as they might relate to editorial judgments) and recuse themselves from any decisions in which a conflict of interest exists. Editorial staff must not use information gained through working with manuscripts for private gain.

Editorial Board members and journal staff are required to sign a conflict of interest and financial disclosure statement annually, which is kept confidential in the editorial office.

**Role of the Funding Source**

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated. Please see https://www.elsevier.com/funding.

**Funding Body Agreements and Policies**

Elsevier has established agreements and developed policies to allow authors whose articles appear in journals published by Elsevier, to comply with potential manuscript archiving requirements as specified as conditions of their grant awards. To learn more about existing agreements and policies please visit https://www.elsevier.com/fundingbodies.

**Ethics in Publishing**

Please see our information pages on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication.

**Human and Animal Research**

All human studies must contain a statement within the Patients and Methods section indicating that the study has been approved by an institutional review board and that participants have signed written informed consent or that the institutional review board has waived the need for informed consent. Mayo Clinic Proceedings does not publish manuscripts on animal research.

**Registration of Clinical Trials**

Mayo Clinic Proceedings requires registration for all clinical trials submitted for publication. Trials that start enrollment after July 1, 2008, should be registered before starting patient enrollment. Clinical trials will need to be registered in 1 of the 5 registries accepted by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICJME) or in any of the primary registries that participate in the WHO International Clinical Trial Registry Platform. For additional information, please see http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/publishing-and-editorial-issues/clinical-trial-registration.html.

**PubMed Central Repository Requirement for NIH-Funded Research**

The National Institutes of Health requires all articles stemming from NIH-funded research to be submitted to the PubMed Central repository 12 months after publication. Without the PubMed Central ID (PMCID) number, the article will not
be counted as evidence of progress on progress reports for NIH grants. For more information on this policy, see http://publicaccess.nih.gov. Please also see the https://www.elsevier.com/about/open-science/open-access/agreements/elsevier-nih-policy-statement. Elsevier will automatically deposit any manuscripts that received funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) directly to PubMed Central as a service to our authors, provided that NIH funding is declared on the title page of the manuscript and Elsevier’s copyright form. Following the deposit by Elsevier, authors will receive further communications from the NIH with respect to the submission. However, the manuscript does not appear online until 12 months after publication per Elsevier’s agreement with PubMed Central.

**MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION**

Authors should prepare manuscripts in accordance with the "Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals," developed by the ICMJE (www.icmje.org). Reports of randomized controlled trials should include the CONSORT flow diagram. For other reporting guidelines, please visit the Equator Network. Specific requirements for Mayo Clinic Proceedings follow.

The manuscript should be typed in 12-point type and double-spaced throughout, and should be arranged as follows: (1) title page, (2) abstract, (3) alphabetical list of abbreviations used at least 3 times in the body of the manuscript (exclusive of abstract, figures, and tables) and their expansions, (4) text with appropriate headings and conclusion, (5) acknowledgments, (6) references, (7) legends, (8) tables (with alphabetical list of all abbreviations and their expansions as a footnote), and (9) illustrations (with separate alphabetical list of abbreviations and their expansion in legend). Manuscript pages should be numbered consecutively and labeled with the last name of the first author. The text portion of the manuscript should be saved using a word-processing program, such as a .doc or .rtf file format.

Tables should be created using your word processor’s table function. Tables can be placed at the end of your manuscript document or saved as separate files.

Line art, including graphs and algorithms (flow charts), should be created and submitted in PowerPoint. Halftone and color images should be saved in Photoshop in .jpg, .gif, or .tiff format at 300 dpi. Figures should not be inserted or embedded into the manuscript document; rather, they should be saved and uploaded as separate files.

**Title Page**

**Title:** Formulate a title that reflects the content of the article. No more than 100 characters in length. Avoid questions and subtitles, and titles that tantalize but do not inform readers.

**Authors:** Include first names and middle initials, academic degrees, departmental affiliations and institutions, and current departmental and institutional affiliations for authors who have relocated since completion of the study.

**Financial support and conflict of interest disclosure:** List all financial and material support for the research and work described in the manuscript (eg, grant number and funding agency for the project, an individual author, or both). Each author must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. If there are no conflicts of interest, please provide a statement to that effect.

**Preprint Server Disclosure:** Disclose on the Title page the prior publication as a preprint as follows: A preliminary report of this work was previously published on Name of Preprint Server, Posted Date. DOI.
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**Abstract**
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--Provide a separate conclusion with a separate heading. Please ensure that no new information such as reference or figure/table citations is contained within the conclusion.
--Please provide the Clinical Trials registration number at the end of the Abstract, if applicable.

For other contributions, abstracts should not be structured.

On a separate page following the Abstract, please provide a list of all abbreviations used throughout the manuscript and their expansions, using the format: BP, blood pressure; CHF, chronic heart failure. Use abbreviations only for terms that occur at least 3 times in the text (exclusive of abstract, figures, and tables).

Graphical Abstracts
Authors may submit a graphical abstract for Original Articles. This is a single, concise, and visual summary of the main findings of the article and should capture the content of the article for readers at a single glance. The graphical abstract will also be shown beneath the Abstract in the online version and above the Abstract in the article PDF.

Authors must provide an original image that clearly represents the work described in the paper. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the submission system by selecting "Graphical Abstracts" from the drop-down list when uploading files.

The graphical abstract should have a clear start and end, preferably "reading" from top to bottom or left to right. Try to reduce distracting and cluttering elements as much as possible.

—Image size: please provide an image with a minimum of 1328 x 531 pixels (w x h) using a minimum resolution of 300 dpi. If you are submitting a larger image, please use the same ratio (500 wide x 200 high). Please note that your image will be scaled proportionally to fit in the available window: a 500 by 200-pixel rectangle.

—Font: please use Times, Arial, Courier, or Symbol with a large enough font size as the image will be reduced in size for the table of contents to fit a window 200 pixels high.

—File type: preferred file types are TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files.

—No additional text, outline or synopsis should be included. Any text or label must be part of the image file. Please do not use unnecessary white space or a heading "graphical abstract" within the image file.

In addition to the parameters above, graphical abstracts should conform to the journal's standard illustration style points:

—Use sentence case for text throughout figure, except for headers, which should be title case.
—Close up spaces around symbols (eg, n=36).
—Minimize use of periods (eg, "ie" not "i.e.").
—Figure part labels (A, B, C, etc) should be placed on the bottom left side of the figure part.

Please refer to https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/graphical-abstract for additional information and guidance.

Text
--Express measurements in conventional units, giving conversion factor to SI units on first mention.
--Give exact P values, even if they are nonsignificant. Style as P=.02 (italic letter, closed up, no initial zero). The lowest P value we report is P<.001. Round P values to 2 digits, except for the values in which the first 2 or 3 numbers after the decimal point are zeroes, then round to 3 or 4 digits, respectively. For P values that are less than .001 use P<.001.
--Use generic names for drugs and equipment.
--Do not use footnotes within the text.
Use of Inclusive Language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

CME

--To fulfill criteria of the Accreditation Council for CME, each article offering CME credit (ie, Concise Review for Clinicians) must adhere to the following standards of practice.
--Safeguards Against Bias: CME must give a balanced view of therapeutic options. Use of generic drug names is preferred. If educational material or content includes trade names, then trade names from several companies should be used where available, not just trade names from a single company.
--Content Validation: All recommendations involving clinical medicine must be based on evidence that is accepted within the profession of medicine as adequate justification for their indications and contraindications in the care of patients. All scientific research referred to, reported or used in support or justification of a patient care recommendation must conform to the generally accepted standards of experimental design, data collection and analysis.
--Educational Materials: Educational materials cannot contain any advertising, trade names, or product-group messages.

Specific requirements: In a separate document, provide the following components:
--3 learning objectives.
--5 brief CME-type questions on the subject reviewed that fall within the parameters of the defined objectives.
--For each question, There should be only one correct answer. Avoid negatively-worded questions such as "all except." Avoid "all of the above" and "none of the above." Case-based questions are preferred. Do not use True/False or Yes/No questions. Each question should have five (5) response options. Each question should be supported by at least one reference. Each question should include an explanation for the correct answer.
--Please read and follow the CME Requirements before submitting your manuscript.
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--Authors are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of their references and for their complete and accurate citation in the text.
--Cite references, figures, and tables consecutively as they appear in the text; use superscript numerals for text citations. Tables and Figures are considered part of text and so citations are numbered consecutively with those in text. Example: If Table 1 contains references, and the reference number in the text before citation of Table 1 is 5, a reference in Table 1 would become reference 6; the next reference cited in manuscript after table call-out would be cited as reference 7.

For genetic nomenclature, please follow the recommendations of the Human Genome Organisation. Approved gene symbols, descriptions, and older aliases can be searched at www.genenames.org.

For gene mutations, please see the HGVS website (at www.hgvs.org [use the Recommendations Including Nomenclature Guidelines link] or http://www.hgvs.org/content/guidelines.
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--Data references. This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. This identifier will not appear in your published article.
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Tables
--Number tables consecutively (with Arabic numerals) in the order of their citation in the text.
--Type all tabular material double-spaced; each table should be on a separate page.
--Provide a title for each table; define all abbreviations used in each table in a footnote.
--Superscripted lowercase letters (a-z) should be used for table footnotes.
--Do not submit tables as images.

Illustrations
--Cite all illustrations in the text and number them (with Arabic numerals) in the order of their appearance.
--Provide a legend for each figure as part of the manuscript document. Include definitions of any abbreviations that appear on the figure, along with any permissions noted, and an appropriate citation.
--For photomicrographs, specify stain and original magnification.
--For any illustration with a recognizable patient, submit a release form signed by the patient.
--Do not trim illustrations or assemble component parts.
We do not publish pie charts; three-dimensional figures are not acceptable; hatching should be avoided on bar graphs.

- Line art, including graphs and algorithms (flow charts), should be created in PowerPoint.
- Halftone and color images should be saved in Photoshop in .jpg, .gif, or .tiff format at 300 dpi.
- Illustrations borrowed from a source not copyrighted by Mayo Foundation require permission and credit line information from the publisher. See "Permissions" below.
- Any figures submitted in color will appear in color at no additional charge to the author.

**Style Points**
Please adhere to the following journal-specific style points when creating figures:

- Use sentence case for text throughout figure, except for headers, which should be title case.
- Figure titles should not be a part of the image itself but rather included as part of the manuscript text file.
- Close up spaces around symbols (eg, n=36).
- Minimize use of periods (eg, "ie" not "i.e.").
- Figure part labels (A, B, C, etc) should be placed on the bottom left side of the figure part.

**Manipulation of Images**
Mayo Clinic Proceedings follows Elsevier’s policy on manipulation of images (https://www.elsevier.com/author-schemas/artwork-and-media-instructions). No specific feature within an image may be enhanced, obscured, moved, removed, or introduced. Adjustments of brightness, contrast, or color balance are acceptable if and as long as they do not obscure or eliminate any information present in the original. Manipulating images for improved clarity is accepted, but manipulation for other purposes could be seen as scientific ethical abuse and will be dealt with accordingly. (Rossner and Yamada, 2004. The Journal of Cell Biology, 166, 11-15. http://jcb.rupress.org/content/166/1/11.full)

**Permissions**
- Use of previously published graphic and tabular material is strongly discouraged.
- Authors are responsible for obtaining permission for reuse of material (illustrations, tables, or lengthy quotes) from other sources, including adaptations. The preferred and quickest method for obtaining permission is via the Copyright Clearance Center.
- Permission letters from the copyright holder of the original source (along with complete bibliographic information) must be submitted with the manuscript. Failure to provide all appropriate permissions will delay publication or may necessitate the omission of a figure or table for which permission has not been received.

**Appendix Material**
On rare occasions, an appendix may be used for data that cannot easily be presented as a table or a figure and are too central to the article to be deposited elsewhere. At the discretion of the Editorial Board, an appendix may be published at the end of an article. In these cases, appendixes are cited in the text as a table or figure would be cited (eg, Appendix 1) and the appendix would appear before the references. If the appendix cites references, the references would be numbered consecutively, following the last reference number in the text, and included in the article’s reference list.

**Online Supplemental Materials**
Publication of online supporting material is at the discretion of the Editorial Board. Online supplemental material will not be edited by the journal office, and it is the author’s responsibility to ensure the accuracy of the data and the clarity of the format. Once accepted for publication, authors will not be provided an opportunity to review or modify online supplemental material.

Use the headings Supplemental Table or Supplemental Figure for your online supporting material and save and upload a PDF version of this material.

The following disclaimer is listed on the Journal's website: **Supplemental material attached to journal articles has not been edited, and the authors take responsibility for the accuracy of all data.**

**Authors are responsible for ensuring the following:**
- Data (including percentages) are accurate and consistent with those cited in the manuscript.
- Borrowed material should not be included as supplemental material.
Supplemental figures and tables meet the same formatting specifications as those for the journal. For example, three-dimensional figures are not acceptable, hatching should be avoided on bar graphs, and pie charts are not acceptable.

--All online supplemental material is correctly called out in the body of the manuscript in the appropriate location (eg, Supplemental Table 1, Supplemental Figure 1).

--References in supplemental material should be numbered consecutively beginning with 1.

--A title for each table and a legend for each figure are provided and all abbreviations are expanded in the table footnote or figure legend.

--Table footnotes should be superscripted lowercase letters (a-z).

**MANUSCRIPT CATEGORIES**

Guidelines for the most frequent types of articles submitted to the journal are summarized below. Specific limits for word count, references, tables, and figures are provided in table format for quick reference. Authors are required to include the word count of the text, and the number of references, tables, and figures, on the Title page of the manuscript.

**The Compass**

*The Compass* will feature scholarly work in biomedical ethics and the health humanities. Its goal is to promote critical analysis of foundational questions that are central to the values of medicine and public health. We invite authors to explore emerging issues and under-examined topics in health care, particularly topics that have broad social impact or potential to transform the practice of medicine. Consistent with its name, *The Compass* will seek to move medicine forward, by clarifying professional commitments and considering creative problem-solving strategies. Authors are encouraged to contact one of the Section Editors prior to submitting an article for *The Compass*.

Manuscript categories included in *The Compass* section include: Original Articles, Review Articles, Special Articles, Concise Reviews for Clinicians, Commentaries, and Perspectives and Controversies. Authors should refer to the Instructions for Authors for specific requirements pertaining to the manuscript category of their choice. In addition, please provide a cover letter stating which Section Editor (Drs Richard Sharp or Daniel Hall-Flavin) you have consulted with in regard to your submission, and specifically state that you are submitting it for consideration in *The Compass* section of the journal.

**Original Articles**

These include prospective clinical trials, laboratory research, retrospective clinical analyses (eg, case series), meta-analyses, and related research. Priority for publication is given to those manuscripts with original and novel findings, particularly related to the clinical care of patients. In addition to peer review, original manuscripts will undergo statistical review by either a master's or doctorate degree statistician.

A meta-analysis is defined as a study that includes statistical pooling (combining) of data from individual studies. Meta-analyses require submission of the PRISMA checklist and the PRISMA flow chart depicting the process of study selection. These documents are required for submission but do not have to necessarily be published. Meta-analyses require a structured abstract that follows the standard MCP headings.

**Review Articles**

These consist of a critical assessment of literature and existing data. Priority for publication is given to topics with relevance to the clinical care of patients, the advancement of medical science, or improvements in health care delivery and economics. Authors are strongly encouraged to describe within the abstract and manuscript text the methods used to focus their search of the literature (eg, PubMed, MEDLINE), the search terms used, and the date limitations of the search. Also, please indicate how studies were selected for inclusion in the review. Provide 3-5 article highlights. These points should emphasize why our audience should read your article, describe what is new or cutting edge, and how it is of interest to your colleagues. The manuscripts most competitive for publication will introduce novel ideas or refreshing speculative syntheses and will address topics of importance to large numbers of patients, evolving medical issues, or mechanistically important topics. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* is not interested in publishing material that can be readily obtained from existing book chapters or topics that have recently been published in other large-circulation medical journals.
A systematic review is defined as a review that followed an explicit a priori inclusion and exclusion criteria and comprehensive database search. Systematic reviews require submission of the PRISMA checklist and the PRISMA flow chart depicting the process of study selection. These documents are required for submission but do not have to necessarily be published. Systematic reviews require a structured abstract that follows the standard MCP headings.

Reviews that do not fit the criteria of systematic reviews and meta-analyses do not require PRISMA checklist or figure and require only a nonstructured abstract, along with the 3 to 5 article highlights

**Solicited Review**
The Editorial Board can solicit a review on any topic, and in any format, deemed appropriate, as determined by a needs-assessment analysis. In general, topics are solicited for their importance and timeliness, and authors are invited based on their expertise. Even after manuscripts are solicited, acceptance for publication is at the discretion of the Editorial Board. Hence, even though the acceptance rate for a solicited review would be expected to be greater than for unsolicited material, acceptance of solicited reviews is neither guaranteed nor universal.

**Concise Review for Clinicians**
This section presents brief but informative updates on common subjects of relevance to practicing physicians. Specifically, although the topics are chosen for relevance to the journal's entire readership, they are targeted particularly to primary care physicians. A busy practitioner should be able to read the article in less than 15 minutes and obtain several good clinical tips; hence, authors should avoid describing technical details. The goals of this section are to provide practical material and to encourage application of the information by presenting questions that highlight important facts from each review. A maximum of 3 authors are allowed for a Concise Review manuscript, provided that all of the authors played a major role in the writing of the manuscript.

Continuing medical education (CME) credit is offered with the Concise Review for Clinicians section. Please see the section entitled CME Requirements for specific details.

**Understanding Disease**
This type of article provides a succinct and timely discussion of the pathophysiology and pathobiology underlying a specific, important human disease. It is intended to afford the practicing clinician with an up-to-date, readily understood, accurate discussion of the scientific underpinnings of diseases commonly encountered in clinical practice; scientific terms and concepts are to be used and discussed in ways that are widely understood by the clinical community. These articles are 3500-4000 words in length, include an unstructured abstract, cite no more than 100 references, and include 1 or 2 figures depicting key pathophysiologic/pathobiologic findings and concepts; 1 to 2 tables are recommended as ways of summarizing relevant information. Authors of this type of article should have relevant knowledge and understanding of the field and would be limited to 5 authors. Studies and findings that are based on animal models should be referred as "experimental" or "preclinical", while studies and findings based on cell culture should be referred to as "in vitro". Authors of this type of article are either invited by Mayo Clinic Proceedings to provide such a contribution or may contact Mayo Clinic Proceedings if they wish to submit such a contribution to Mayo Clinic Proceedings. All articles in this category would undergo peer-review and editorial-review prior to acceptance.

**My Treatment Approach**
This contribution is written by one or more recognized experts in the field. If there is more than one author, all authors should be recognized experts with similar stature in the field. The article should offer a step-by-step guide on how they would approach a patient with a given condition, based on their clinical experience and expertise.

**Consensus Recommendations**
This contribution will be written by a large group of investigators who are specialists in a given treatment area and who base their recommendations on a thorough survey of the existing literature and on their collective clinical expertise in cutting-edge approaches to contemporary medical care. This type of article will be most valuable if it addresses evolving or controversial topics and if authored by recognized authorities or authoritative groups.
Clinical Practice Guidelines
This contribution will be written by established reputable medical societies and associations, or by a large group of investigators who are specialists in a given treatment area and who base their recommendations on a thorough survey of the existing literature and on their collective clinical expertise. This type of article is most useful if it addresses approach to diagnosis and management of common disorders, and areas in which substantial progress, variations in care, or controversies exist.

For the My Treatment Approach, Consensus Recommendations, and Clinical Practice Guidelines contributions, the Editorial Board will recruit these articles and authors on the basis of a needs assessment survey. Potential authors who wish to have their ideas vetted for this series should petition the Editorial Board before they begin writing. Manuscripts will be considered for publication only after they have passed the journal's rigorous peer-review process and Editorial Board oversight. Involvement of medical writers and editors supported by the pharmaceutical industry, or researchers with significant financial conflict of interest is not acceptable for these types of articles.

Special Articles
Designation as a Special Article is at the discretion of the Editorial Board. In general, Special Articles address important, evolving, highly visible, and often controversial topics (eg, the 2000 article on Gulf War illnesses). Individual articles may contain an amalgam of literature review, new original data, and speculative synthesis, with some opportunity for injecting the authors' opinions. As such, the article content may be more closely aligned with the Original Articles or Reviews, but with some features of Editorials or Commentaries.

Commentary
Commentaries are intended to offer expert insights into important or controversial topics related to clinical medicine, medical economics, governmental policy, ethics, or related issues. When appropriate, the Editorial Board expects authors to acknowledge a limited amount of supporting or opposing literature. Priority is given to novel thought, clear and creative writing, and the relevance of the manuscript to the interests of Proceedings' readers.

Perspectives and Controversies
This type of article provides a succinct and appealing discussion of a topic that is either important, timely, or controversial. A Perspective is intended to highlight and stimulate thinking and discourse on such a topic, and may do so either by: discussing and elucidating it; challenging and refuting widely accepted views pertaining to it; or by combining both approaches. The topic may involve any aspect of current understanding of disease; biomedical and clinical research; medical treatment, care, and practice; medical education and professionalism; and health care and public policy. These articles are less than 1500 words in length, and include no more than 10 references. Authors of this type of article should have relevant knowledge and understanding of the field and would be generally limited to 3 authors. All articles in this category would undergo editorial review prior to acceptance.

Brief Report
A Brief Report will typically address an early report or observation of relevance to clinical medicine or medical science. This category is not intended to present preliminary data on structured, ongoing research but instead is intended to present unanticipated or extremely novel observations that may encourage others to perform related research or reassess their clinical practice.

Editorials
Submission of Editorials is by invitation from, or prior arrangement with, the Editorial Board. Most Editorials will comment on other material (eg, an innovative original article) appearing in the same issue of the journal or on changes in journal activities or policies. "Freestanding" editorials that comment on other topics, such as major changes in clinical medicine or health care policy, not originally introduced within the pages of the Proceedings, are also published. Final acceptance of any Editorial, even an invited Editorial, is at the discretion of the Editorial Board.

Letters to the Editor
The Editor welcomes letters and comments, particularly pertaining to recently published articles in Mayo Clinic Proceedings, as well as letters reporting original observations and research. Letters pertaining to a recently published Proceedings article should be received no later than 1 month after the article's publication. It is assumed that appropriate letters submitted to the Editor will be published, at the Editor's discretion, unless the writer indicates otherwise. Priority is given for the importance of the message, novelty of thought, and clarity of presentation. The Editor reserves the right to edit letters in accordance with Proceedings style and to abridge them if necessary.
**Medical Images**
A Medical Image consists of a publication-appropriate photograph, photomicrograph, radiograph, or other type image, accompanied by a paragraph or 2 of descriptive text (not to exceed 250 words) and one or more supporting reference(s) (limit 5 references). Priority is given to importance of the topic, clarity of the images and message, and aesthetics. Medical Images may include 3 authors and these authors must reflect the specialty(s) of the image(s) submitted (eg, if radiology images or histology images are included, the authors must include the radiologist who read the image or the pathologist who made the diagnosis). During submission, please list the author(s) responsible for each image by first and last name and specialty (eg: Jane Doe, Pathologist, John Doe, Radiologist). Medical Images not including correct figure attribution will be rejected without review. Additional participants may be recognized in an acknowledgment statement placed at the end of the descriptive text. Medical Images are limited to 1 PDF page. A minimum of 1 image with a maximum of 8 images may be submitted. Each image must have its own legend (60 characters or less). Authors should clearly indicate 1 or 2 images to be included in the PDF version, and which images (if any) should be assigned as supplemental material.

**Thematic Reviews**
Thematic Reviews are a collection of manuscripts addressing a common topic (eg, geriatrics, antimicrobial agents, cerebrovascular disease), and articles may be published sequentially over many months as submissions pertaining to the topic are received. Topics are determined well in advance of publication by the Editorial Board, and submission of Thematic Review articles is by invitation only. Individuals interested in identifying topics for future Thematic Review manuscripts are encouraged to contact the editorial office.

**Case Reports**
Case Reports should be approximately 800 to 1800 words (up to 7 typed, double-spaced pages). Case reports must include an unstructured abstract. The number of references, tables, and figures should be appropriate for the overall length of the paper. In general, no more than 2 tables or 2 figures are necessary. To better select the highest-quality case reports, the editorial board of Mayo Clinic Proceedings has revised and codified our policies for case report review and the standards for acceptance. Manuscripts of the "case report and review of the literature" genre will not be accepted. Other case reports must first demonstrate relevance to the interest of the Mayo Clinic Proceedings readership and importance of the message before they are sent for further review.

Publication priority will be given to case reports that identify: A first-of-its-kind, unexpected, or unusual observation of a disease process that is relevant to a meaningful number of patients, such as: a new disease or syndrome a previously unknown or important manifestation of a common disease a new understanding of the pathophysiology of a common disease A new or first observation of an important adverse effect of a commonly used drug New therapeutic activity of a new treatment, including drug and non-drug therapies.

A small fraction of manuscripts rejected for publication as case reports, but offering some incremental advances in knowledge, may, if appropriately novel, be given priority for conversion to a letter to the editor.

**Residents' Clinics**
The Residents' Clinic section of Mayo Clinic Proceedings is strictly an educational tool for Mayo Clinic residents and submissions are limited to Internal Medicine Residents. Submissions of Residents' Clinic manuscripts from external authors not affiliated with Mayo Clinic will be automatically rejected. Please read and follow the detailed Residents' Clinic Instructions before submitting your manuscript.

**REVISIONS**
From your Author Main Menu, locate the Submissions Needing Revision folder. Locate the appropriate manuscript and use the Action links to respond.

**ACCEPTANCE**
All accepted manuscripts are edited according to the American Medical Association Manual of Style: A Guide for Authors and Editors, 11th ed. New York, NY; Oxford University Press; 2020, and the corresponding author will receive an approval copy of the page proofs before publication.
ONLINE FIRST ARTICLES

Mayo Clinic Proceedings publishes many articles ahead of publication in a compiled issue. This program is entitled Online First. Online First articles receive a unique DOI (Digital Object Identifier) number that facilitates searches of electronic databases. The official publication date is the date of electronic publication. These articles are published in a subsequent issue of the Proceedings.

VIDEOS

We have the capacity to link a video with your article on our website. Articles with a video tend to have higher online readership. Videos should have an educational, not commercial, purpose. Interviews, a presentation of the highlights of the article, and an illustration of a given procedure would all be appropriate subjects for videos. For information about using your published material, please see Author Rights & Responsibilities.

--Videos are considered to be part of the article and should not have been previously "published" (posted on another journal's website) or permission must be obtained for reuse.
--Files should be in .wmv, .avi, or .mp4 format. Typically, they should be no larger than 10 MB and no longer than 5 minutes. Minimum dimensions for the video should be 320 pixels wide by 240 pixels deep. Please verify that videos are viewable in QuickTime or Windows MediaPlayer.
--Videos should be uploaded at the time of submission with the manuscript files via Editorial Manager https://www.editorialmanager.com/mayoclinproc/ If you experience difficulty uploading the file, please submit the video file separately offline to the Editorial Office at mcproceedings@mayo.edu.

PUBLISHER POLICIES

Copyright

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

For gold open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' (more information). Permitted third party reuse of gold open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license.

Author rights

As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

Data Sharing Policy

At this time authors are asked to state if data will be shared and provide an explanation if data cannot be shared.

Open Access

Please visit our Open Access page for more information about open access publishing in this journal.

Data references

This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.
Preprint references
Where a preprint has subsequently become available as a peer-reviewed publication, the formal publication should be used as the reference. If there are preprints that are central to your work or that cover crucial developments in the topic, but are not yet formally published, these may be referenced. Preprints should be clearly marked as such, for example by including the word preprint, or the name of the preprint server, as part of the reference. The preprint DOI should also be provided.

Reference management software
Most Elsevier journals have their reference template available in many of the most popular reference management software products. These include all products that support Citation Style Language styles, such as Mendeley. Using citation plug-ins from these products, authors only need to select the appropriate journal template when preparing their article, after which citations and bibliographies will be automatically formatted in the journal's style. If no template is yet available for this journal, please follow the format of the sample references and citations as shown in this Guide. If you use reference management software, please ensure that you remove all field codes before submitting the electronic manuscript. More information on how to remove field codes from different reference management software.

Offprints
The corresponding author will, at no cost, receive a customized Share Link providing 50 days free access to the final published version of the article on ScienceDirect. The Share Link can be used for sharing the article via any communication channel, including email and social media. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Corresponding authors who have published their article gold open access do not receive a Share Link as their final published version of the article is available open access on ScienceDirect and can be shared through the article DOI link.

Embargo
All information regarding the content and publication date of accepted manuscripts is confidential. Information contained in or about accepted articles cannot appear in any media outlet (print, broadcast, or electronic) until online publication.