DESCRIPTION

The Journal of Pediatric Surgery Case Reports is an Open Access journal dedicated to advancing and expanding the field of pediatric surgery through the publication of case reports and case series. The Journal is a companion to the Journal of Pediatric Surgery and the Journal of Pediatric Surgery Open.

All submissions undergo a minimum of two peer reviews. Only those submissions that are of high quality AND that strictly adhere to the CARE guidelines are accepted for publication.

The aims of the Journal of Pediatric Surgery Case Reports are to:

1. Contribute to improving the surgical care of newborns, infants, children and adolescents through the publication of rare conditions, unexpected clinical findings, new effects of known therapies, and outcomes of novel surgical interventions.

2. Promote clinical research by serving as a platform to disseminate evidence obtained by single episodes of pediatric surgical care.

3. Support medical education by presenting unique clinical scenarios that require critical thinking from authors and readers.
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INTRODUCTION

The Journal of Pediatric Surgery Case Reports is an Open Access online journal dedicated to publishing case reports and series of up to 5 patients. The Journal of Pediatric Surgery Case Reports adheres strictly to the CARE guidelines (www.care-statement.org) to increase the accuracy, transparency, and usefulness of case reports. In order to be eligible for a review, manuscripts submitted to the Journal must fulfill all items included in the CARE Checklist www.care-statement.org/checklist. The CARE checklist is a mandatory part of the submission.

The Journal operates a single-blind peer review process. All submissions are initially assessed by the Editor for suitability. Manuscripts deemed suitable for the Journal are then sent to expert reviewers to determine their scientific quality. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of all manuscripts, and the decision is final.

If a manuscript is accepted for publication in the Journal, the authors will be notified of this decision and will be required to pay an Article Publishing Charge (APC). Following payment of the APC the case report will be made universally available at no further charge.

Submission checklist

Please make sure that you have ALL the following items before you submit your manuscript to the Journal: Cover letter addressed to the Editor-in-Chief (one file) The body of the manuscript (one file) Declaration of Interest statement (one file) Figures (if applicable; each figure as a separate .TIFF or .JPG files) Tables (if applicable; each table as a separate .docx editable file) Supplemental files (if applicable) CARE checklist (one file)

Submissions to this journal are online. You will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading of your files. The system automatically converts your files to a single PDF file, which is used in the peer-review process.

Manuscript preparation

Queries: For questions about the editorial process (including the status of manuscripts under review) or for technical support on submissions, please visit our Support Center.

Formatting requirements

The body of the manuscript submitted to the Journal MUST have the following format: Title Page Abstract Keywords Introduction Case Report or Case Series Discussion Conclusion Statements References Figure Legends and/or Table Legends (if applicable) Acknowledgments (if applicable) Supplemental files (if applicable) CARE checklist (one file)

Manuscript structure and content

All acronyms must be defined on their first use.

- **Title**: Please use a concise and informative title identifying the focus of the manuscript, followed by the words "case report" or "case series". Avoid abbreviations and formulas.

- **Title page**: Please include the manuscript's title, the authors' names and affiliations, and the name and contact information of the corresponding author.

- **Abstract**: The abstract must have a maximum of 250 words and must be divided in the following three sections: "Introduction", "Case(s) Presentation", and "Conclusion". The Introduction must provide a 1 to 2-sentence background about how the presented case (or cases) is unique. The Case(s) presentation must include all relevant clinical findings, diagnosis, interventions and outcomes. The Conclusion must include the main lesson learned by the presented case/cases. References should not be included in the abstract.

- **Keywords**: Please include 2 to 5 relevant keywords, including "case report" or "case series" as one of them. Separate the keywords by semicolons.
- **Introduction**: This section must provide in 5 to 10 sentences a background to understand why the presented case or cases are relevant and worth reporting. The most relevant references can be included in this section but should not be extensively discussed. Please include the following statement: "This manuscript was prepared following the CARE guidelines (https://www.care-statement.org)" at the end of the Introduction.

- **Case report / Case series**: This section must include all relevant demographic information without any identifiers. Describe all relevant symptoms as described by the patient, if applicable. Include any family history that may pertain to the case. Describe all relevant findings of the physical exam, with high-quality deidentified pictures. Describe clearly all the diagnostic tests used, the rationale for their use, and the relevant results (positive or negative). This may include laboratory tests, imaging studies, and invasive procedures such as catheterizations and endoscopies, among others. Provide the prognostic characteristics of the diagnosis, if applicable. Describe thoroughly all therapeutic interventions, their type (e.g.: dietary, pharmacological), form of administration (e.g.: duration of antibiotic treatment), and outcomes (e.g.: resolution of symptoms). If there were any surgical interventions describe them with as much detail as possible. Describe all relevant short- and long-term outcomes, including the findings of all follow-up tests. Describe carefully any adverse or unanticipated events.

- **Discussion**: Provide a succinct summary of the case and compare it with the most relevant literature, citing all the key references. Provide a comprehensive discussion about the uniqueness of the clinical findings, the challenges encountered during the diagnostic work-up, the rationale for each intervention, and the unexpected outcomes if there were any. Do not repeat results in this section, except when comparing them with previously published reports.

A literature review can be useful, but do not make it exceedingly long. Provide a statement on the strengths and limitations of the case.

- **Conclusion**: Describe in 1 or 2 sentences the main lesson learned by the presented case/cases.

- **Statements**: Please include the following two statements after the Conclusion:
  1) Informed consent: "Informed consent was obtained from the patient or guardian", or: "Informed consent could not be obtained despite all possible attempts."
  2) Authorship: Include the following statement: "All authors attest that they meet the current ICMJE criteria for Authorship." (For more information, visit ICMJE Recommendations)

- **References**: List the references in the order that they appear in the text, not alphabetically. Make sure that all listed references are cited in the text and vice versa. Please include the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) of all references. Follow the Reference format detailed in the Journal of Pediatric Surgery website.

- **Figure legends and/or Table legends**: Make sure that all figures and tables have a legend. Provide a brief explanation of the figure/table. Make sure that all marks, symbols and abbreviations are clearly explained.

- **Acknowledgements**: List the people who provided help with the preparation of the manuscript but do not qualify as authors.

**Additional files
Declaration of competing interest**

Corresponding authors, on behalf of all the authors of a submission, must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. All authors, including those without competing interests to declare, should provide the relevant information to the corresponding author (which, where relevant, may specify they have nothing to declare). Corresponding authors should then use this tool to create a shared statement and upload to the submission system at the Attach Files step. Please do not convert the .docx template to another file type. Author signatures are not required.
**Figures**
Provide high-quality figures in .TIFF or .JPG format. Make sure that figures are easy to interpret by using markers (e.g.: asterisks, arrows, abbreviations) properly described in the figure legend. If multiple figures are included, make sure that they are properly referenced in the body of the manuscript.

**Tables**
Please submit tables as separate editable .docx files. If more than one table are included, number them consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the body of the manuscript. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the manuscript. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

**Supplemental materials**
Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

**CARE checklist**
Please download and manually complete the CARE checklist provided here. Upload the completed checklist as a separate .docx, .PDF or .JPG file.

**Ethics in publishing**
Please see our information on Ethics in publishing.

**Human rights**
The authors should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans; Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals. Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

**Informed consent and patient details**
Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and informed consent, which should be documented in the paper. Appropriate consents, permissions and releases must be obtained where an author wishes to include case details or other personal information or images of patients and any other individuals in an Elsevier publication. Written consents must be retained by the author but copies should not be provided to the journal. Only if specifically requested by the journal in exceptional circumstances (for example if a legal issue arises) the author must provide copies of the consents or evidence that such consents have been obtained. For more information, please review the Elsevier Policy on the Use of Images or Personal Information of Patients or other Individuals. Unless you have written permission from the patient (or, where applicable, the next of kin), the personal details of any patient included in any part of the article and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission.

**Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing**
The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier’s AI policy for authors.

Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.
Disclosure instructions
Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled 'Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process'.

Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

Submission declaration and verification
Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by Crossref Similarity Check and other originality or duplicate checking software.

Preprints
Please note that preprints can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's sharing policy. Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information).

Use of inclusive language
Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses
Reporting guidance
For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research's generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer (see Definitions section below). Authors can refer to the Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines and the SAGER guidelines checklist. These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

Definitions
Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features (e.g., chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy). A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth"), most often based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors, and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society. Sex and gender are often incorrectly portrayed as binary (female/male or woman/man) and unchanging whereas these constructs actually exist along a spectrum and include additional sex categorizations and gender identities such as people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD) or identify as non-binary. Moreover, the terms "sex" and "gender" can be ambiguous—thus it is important for authors to define the manner in which they are used. In addition to this definition guidance and the SAGER guidelines, the resources on this page offer further insight around sex and gender in research studies.

Attesting to authorship contributions
All persons designated as authors should qualify for authorship, and all those who qualify should be listed. Authors must attest that each meets the 4 criteria of authorship defined by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors during the "Additional Information" step of the manuscript submission process; this attestation will be automatically included in the Acknowledgment Section of the manuscript when published. These criteria require that an author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content as well as take responsibility for the integrity of their coauthors as well. One or more authors should take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to publication.

Changes to authorship
Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Article transfer service
This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service to find the best home for your manuscript. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated Scientific Managing Editor, a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. If you agree, your manuscript will be transferred, though you will have the opportunity to make changes to the manuscript before the submission is complete. Please note that your manuscript will be independently reviewed by the new journal. More information.

Copyright
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' (see more information on this). Permitted third party reuse of open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license.

Author rights
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing
Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.
Role of the funding source
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

Open access
Please visit our Open Access page for more information.

Elsevier Researcher Academy
Researcher Academy is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)
Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the Language Editing service available from Elsevier's Language Services.

Submit your article
Please submit your article via https://www.editorialmanager.com/EPSC.

Submission and peer review of all papers is conducted entirely online at https://www.editorialmanager.com/EPSC; increasing efficiency for editors, authors, and reviewers, and enhancing publication speed. Authors requesting further information on online submission are strongly encouraged to view the system, at https://www.editorialmanager.com/EPSC. A comprehensive Author Support service is also available to answer additional enquiries: https://service.elsevier.com.

Units
Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.

Math formulae
Please submit math equations as editable text and not as images. Present simple formulae in line with normal text where possible and use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small fractional terms, e.g., X/Y. In principle, variables are to be presented in italics. Powers of e are often more conveniently denoted by exp. Number consecutively any equations that have to be displayed separately from the text (if referred to explicitly in the text).

Footnotes
Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article. Many word processors build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Should this not be the case, indicate the position of footnotes in the text and present the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article.

Journal abbreviations source
Journal names should be abbreviated according to the List of Title Word Abbreviations.

Video
Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the file in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 150 MB per file, 1 GB in total. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect. Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For
more detailed instructions please visit our video instruction pages. Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

**Interactive Case Insights**
The journal encourages authors to complement their case reports with test questions that reinforce the key learning points. These author created questions are submitted along with the manuscript (new or revised) and will be made available in ScienceDirect along with your paper. More information and examples are available at https://www.elsevier.com/about/content-innovation/interactive-case-insights. Test questions are created online at http://elsevier-apps.sciverse.com/GadgetICRWeb/verification. Create the test questions, save them as a file to your desktop, and submit along with your (new or revised) manuscript. For questions, please contact icihelp@elsevier.com.

**AFTER ACCEPTANCE**

**Online proof correction**
To ensure a fast publication process of the article, we kindly ask authors to provide us with their proof corrections within two days. Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors. If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

**Offprints**
The corresponding author will be notified and receive a link to the published version of the open access article on ScienceDirect. This link is in the form of an article DOI link which can be shared via email and social networks. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication.

**Accepted Articles**
Visit https://www.elsevier.com/authors for the facility to track accepted articles and set email alerts to inform you of when an article's status has changed. The website also provides detailed artwork guidelines, copyright information, frequently asked questions and more. Contact details for questions arising after acceptance of an article, especially those related to proofs, are provided after registration of an article for publication.

**AUTHOR INQUIRIES**
Visit the Elsevier Support Center to find the answers you need. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch.

You can also check the status of your submitted article or find out when your accepted article will be published.