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DESCRIPTION

The Journal of Hand Therapy is designed for hand therapists, occupational and physical therapists, and other hand specialists involved in the rehabilitation of disabling hand problems. The Journal functions as a source of education and information by publishing scientific and clinical articles. Regular features include original reports, clinical reviews, case studies, editorials, and book reviews.

Benefits to authors
We also provide many author benefits, such as free PDFs, a liberal copyright policy, special discounts on Elsevier publications and much more. Please click here for more information on our author services.

Please see our Guide for Authors for information on article submission. If you require any further information or help, please visit our Support Center.
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GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

INTRODUCTION
Authors are invited to submit manuscripts for review, in English, relating to any aspect of rehabilitation of the upper extremity. The Journal of Hand Therapy is interested in the publication of research spanning the entire spectrum of clinical, basic, and translational science, including (but not limited to): clinical practice, theory and outcomes; biomechanics, motor behavior, neuroscience, or epidemiology. A clear indication of clinical relevance is essential for publication.

Manuscript categories for submission include: Clinical/Basic Research Studies, Case-Reports, Short Reports (Cross-cultural Translation, Literature Review (invited-only) Practice Forum and Letters to the Editor (published online only).

Inquiries for the Editor-in-Chief should be made to: Joy MacDermid, PT, PhD at editor.macdermid@gmail.com.

Submission checklist
You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details: E-mail address Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:
Manuscript: Include keywords All figures (include relevant captions) All tables (including titles, description, footnotes) Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable) Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'. Insure that the abstract follows the correct format, word count and represents key findings (see below). All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa. Check that key foundational references, methods and measure references and salient work is cited. Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet). A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare. Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed. Referee suggestions and contact details are provided, based on journal requirements. Reporting guidelines have been reviewed and the relevant checklist has been uploaded- see below.

For further information, visit our Support Center.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing
Please see our information pages on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication.

Human and animal rights
All animal experiments should be carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, the European Communities Council Directive of 24 November 1986 (86/609/EEC) or the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978) and the authors should clearly indicate in the manuscript that such guidelines have been followed. All animal studies need to ensure they comply with the ARRIVE guidelines. More information can be found at http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/page.asp?id=1357.

Clinical research studies involving human subjects require ethics approval. There are rare exceptions that can be addressed by authors in their letter of submission. The name of the Institutional Review Board that approved the research protocol involving human subjects must be provided. Authors should
include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed. Manuscripts with experimental results on cadavers must include a statement that a relevant utilization study committee approved the study.

**Conflict of interest**

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Please note that the journal does not accept submissions written by people or companies to demonstrate their commercial products. Other potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding must be declared. Where a serious conflict of interest occurs between the author and intervention investigated, this will be considered as a potential reason for rejection. If there are no conflicts of interest then please state this: 'Conflicts of interest: none'. See also [http://www.elsevier.com/conflictsofinterest](http://www.elsevier.com/conflictsofinterest). Further information and an example of a Conflict of Interest form can be found at: [http://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/286/supporthub/publishing](http://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/286/supporthub/publishing).

**Changes to authorship**

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. The corresponding author speaks for the authorship team and should be aware of best practices ([https://publicationethics.org/files/2003pdf12_0.pdf](https://publicationethics.org/files/2003pdf12_0.pdf)) for authorship and criteria such as those outlined by The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) ([https://publicationethics.org/files/Authorship_DiscussionDocument.pdf](https://publicationethics.org/files/Authorship_DiscussionDocument.pdf)).

Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. Where there is an authorship dispute, publication of the manuscript will be suspended to provide time for researchers to resolve their conflict. The Editor is unable to resolve authorship conflicts between researchers, but will provide time for resolution. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

**Submission declaration and verification**

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Crossref Similarity Check.

**Copyright**

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases.

For open access articles: Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete an 'Exclusive License Agreement' (more information). Permitted third party reuse of open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license.
**Author rights**
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. [More information.](#)
Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

**Elsevier supports responsible sharing**
Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

**Role of the funding source**
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated.

**Funding body agreements and policies**
Elsevier has established many agreements with funding bodies which allow authors to comply with their funder’s open access policies. Some funding bodies will reimburse the author for the Open Access Publication Fee. Details of existing agreements are available online. After acceptance, open access papers will be published under a noncommercial license. For authors requiring a commercial CC BY license, you can apply after your manuscript is accepted for publication.

**Publication Options**
This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research subscription. Regardless of how you choose to publish your article, the journal will apply the same peer review criteria and acceptance standards.

**Subscription** Articles are made available to subscribers as well as developing countries and patient groups through our [universal access programs](#). No open access publication fee is required by authors for this type of publication.

**Open access** Articles are freely available to both subscribers and the wider public, and allow reuse under specified conditions. Where authors request open access, a publication fee is payable by authors or their designate upon acceptance. Some funding agencies like NIH encourage researchers to share their funded research through open access.

For open access articles, permitted third party (re)use is defined by the following [Creative Commons user licenses](#):

**Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs**
For non-commercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article.

The open access publication fee for this journal is **USD 2350**, excluding taxes. Learn more about Elsevier's pricing policy: [https://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing](https://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing).

**Green open access**
Authors can share their research in a variety of different ways and Elsevier has many green open access options available. We recommend authors see our [green open access page](#) for further information. Authors can also self-archive their manuscripts immediately and enable public access from their institution’s repository after an embargo period. This is the version that has been accepted for publication and which typically includes author-incorporated changes suggested during submission, peer review and in editor-author communications. Embargo period: For subscription articles, an appropriate amount of time is needed for journals to deliver value to subscribing customers before an article becomes freely available to the public. This is the embargo period and it begins from the date the article is formally published online in its final and fully citable form. Find out more.

This journal has an embargo period of 12 months.
Language (usage and editing services)
Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from Elsevier's WebShop.

Submission
Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article
Please submit your article via https://www.evise.com/profile/api/navigate/jht.

Manuscript Categories

Scientific/Clinical Research Reports: A full-length report of an original basic, clinical or implementation/knowledge translation research investigation that advances the clinical science of upper extremity rehabilitation. This can include many different studies designs and types of research questions as outlined below.

The purpose of the research should be listed as: Descriptive, clinical measurement, epidemiology, etiology, natural history, prognosis, diagnosis, effectiveness, harm, economics or implementation. Where these do not apply, authors may propose another term. Further details on reporting the study design are listed below. Use of reporting guidelines for these studies are also described below.

Case Report: A detailed description of the management of a unique clinical case(s), problem or implementation. For complete instruction on cases see below or Case-Reports.pdf.

Expert Review (by invitation only): A comprehensive and analytical review of the literature, addressing a topic of interest and relevance to hand therapists. The Editor-in-Chief or Guest Editor must invite manuscripts submitted in this category. Self-nominations for an invitation to submit a literature review may be sent via email be to the Editor-in-Chief, and should include a cover letter describing the unique contribution of the planned submission, and a current curriculum vitae. It is the intention that these be written by experts in the field with a substantial clinical and/or research track record that they can synthesize and apply to critical reasoning with respect to hand therapy practice or research.

Practice Forum: This section presents novel or timely ideas of clinical relevance. However, topics that are not original should represent a unique application of an existing idea and should be referenced and limited to less than 750 words. The idea should be supported by current best science and this should be referenced in the beginning of the submission. The Journal of Hand Therapy has a clinical audience and we will be asking authors to pay greater attention to knowledge translation. Make sure the description of your techniques is sufficient that a clinician could replicate it, provide either appropriate photographs or preferably a video on techniques- to assist clinicians in implementation. If you are describing an exercise program or another intervention make sure you provide the dosage of the intervention also. If there is a vested interest or a conflict of interest between the author(s) and any products listed in the manuscript, such information must be disclosed in the initial submission to the Practice Forum editor. Authors will be restricted to one Practice Forum publication per year. Submit any Practice Forum inquiries and/or manuscripts directly to the Practice Forum editor: Kristin Valdes OTD, OT, CHT at kvaldesotdcht@gmail.com.

Letters to the Editor: All letters and/or relevant comments regarding the content of the Journal of Hand Therapy must be submitted like all new manuscripts via the online submission and review website described below. Publications of any letters are at the discretion of the Editor-in-Chief and will appear online. Authors will be invited to respond. Authors are limited to 2 letters/year.

Short Report Format for Cross-cultural Translation Reports: The Journal of Hand Therapy welcomes cross-cultural translation, adaptation and validation papers that follow accepted procedures for Cross-cultural translation and validation. These standards are defined in methodologic papers and are reflected in the short report template. We have adopted a short report format that allows for clear and consistent communication in the studies. Please follow the template and recommendations for
these types of studies (Template for Short Report for Cross Cultural Translation.pdf). Reports will not be accepted if they have not performed both translation and validation. Authors should follow the outline to the extent possible; but may adapt it to fit the variations that occur across studies. These papers are titled and indexed in PubMed as all other JHT papers.

**Manuscript Terminology Related to Orthotic Devices**

The term *splint* should no longer be used in preparing manuscripts. *Orthotic* should be used as an adjective or an adverb when pertaining to the practice and science of a rehabilitation management approach, i.e., an orthotic intervention, orthotic treatment plan, orthotic assessment, orthotic fabrication, orthotic device, and orthotic maintenance. The terms *orthotic* (singular) or *orthoses* (plural) should be used as nouns to refer to the custom fabricated device(s) typically referred to as a splint(s). Far from just a technical skill, the design and fabrication of hand and upper extremity orthotic devices require an in-depth knowledge of anatomy and pathology, as well as the healing and positioning requirements for the range of conditions and surgeries encountered. Hand therapists are uniquely qualified to design, apply, monitor, and modify orthotic devices as part of the rehabilitation treatment plan. In substitution for the noun(s) *splint(s)*, authors should use the terms *orthosis* or *orthoses*, respectively.

**Referees**

Please submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of several potential referees. For more details, visit our Support site. Note that the editor retains the sole right to decide whether the suggested reviewers are used.

**Sex and Gender in Research Reporting**

Researchers should insure that the results they report are explicit in how these have considered sex (biologic) and gender (social) factors in the conduct and analysis of their research. The SAGER guidelines (taken from the document linked here) are listed below and will be considered during the review process. More detail can be found at https://researchintegrityjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s41073-016-0007-6

**Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines**

1. Authors should use the terms *sex* and *gender* carefully to avoid confusing both terms. Where the subjects of research comprise organisms capable of differentiation by sex, the research should be designed and conducted in a way that can reveal sex-related differences in the results, even if these were not initially expected. Where subjects can also be differentiated by gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances), the research should be conducted similarly at this additional level of distinction. **General principles**

1. Title and abstract: If only one sex is included in the study, or if the results of the study are to be applied to only one sex or gender, the title and the abstract should specify the sex of animals or any cells, tissues and other material derived from these and the sex and gender of human participants. **Introduction**: Authors should report, where relevant, whether sex and/or gender differences may be expected. **Methods**: Authors should report how sex and gender were considered in the design of the study, whether they ensured adequate representation of males and females, and justify the reasons for any exclusion of males or females. **Results**: Where appropriate, data should be routinely presented disaggregated by sex and gender. Sex- and gender-based analyses should be reported regardless of positive or negative outcome. In clinical trials, data on withdrawals and dropouts should also be reported disaggregated by sex. **Discussion**: The potential implications of sex and gender on the study results and analyses should be discussed. If a sex and gender analysis was not conducted, the rationale should be given. Authors should further discuss the implications of the lack of such analysis on the interpretation of the results. **Recommendations per section of the article**

**PREPARATION**

**Peer review**

This journal operates a double blind review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. **More information on types of peer review**.
Use of word processing software
It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very like that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork. To avoid unnecessary errors, you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

Article structure
Manuscript and Abstract
All Scientific/Clinical Research Report, Case Report and invited Literature Review manuscripts should include the abstract (250-word limit), main text, references, and figure legends. All authors should consult the uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals: “Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication” (www.icmje.org). Due to the double-blind review process the manuscript should not carry any author, facility, or institution identifiers.

Please be sure that the abstract includes terms that describe the type of research question and study design. Both the manuscript and abstract of Scientific/Clinical Research Report and Case Reports should be structured as follows:
Study Design
Introduction
Purpose of the Study
Methods
Results
Discussion
Conclusions
Key words

Use of Reporting Guidelines
Authors should consult and use the reporting guidelines if there is one relevant to their study design. Where possible authors should use reporting checklists to insure their manuscript contains all the elements expected in a scientific manuscript. Please see the Equator website for information on reporting guidelines. http://www.equator-network.org/.

Authors must submit the relevant reporting guideline checklist when submitting the initial version of the study for consideration for the specific study types list below. Authors are encouraged to submit reporting guideline checklists for other study designs from those available on the Equator website http://www.equator-network.org/.

Systematic reviews (with or without meta-analysis) should consult the PRISMA statement, include the checklist with their initial submission and include the flow diagram as a figure in their manuscript http://www.prisma-statement.org. If the review has been registered in a database such as PROSPERO www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/, this number should be included in the study design section of the abstract and methods section. Registration of systematic reviews is not required.

For randomized controlled trials, authors must consult the CONSORT checklist and its related extension for trials of nonpharmacological treatments, submit a checklist, and include the flow diagram as a figure. See http://www.consort-statement.org / and http://www.consort-statement.org/consort-statement. CONSORT- extensions for specific designs are available at Equator.
In addition, all randomized trials must be registered. Registration should take place prior to enrollment of subjects. The registration number should appear in the study design section of the abstract. See https://clinicaltrials.gov/.

For observational studies (cohort, case-control, cross-sectional studies), authors should use the STROBE statement http://www.strobe-statement.org/index.php?id=strobe-home and submit a completed STROBE checklist with their submission.

Surveys should use CHERRIES http://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e34/ as a guide to reporting.

Many other reporting guidelines and author resources are available on the Equator website at http://www.equator-network.org/.

There are two studies designs where JHT has journal specific reporting guidelines: Case Studies and Short Reports of Cross-cultural Translations.

For cross-cultural translations, authors should follow our template listed at the end of these instructions (link here to those instructions placed at the end).

JHT has a specific format for case studies. (insert link here and place those instructions at the end)


Statement of Research Design
Authors should specific terminology when naming their study design in the abstract and methods. Some common study designs are listed below and should be used where applicable. We recognize that this list is not all-inclusive and that more appropriate descriptors might be suitable for some studies. Authors are encouraged to pick the most appropriate study design descriptors for their study. These suggestions are merely provided as a means of encouraging consistency, where it would be both useful and informative. The purpose of the research and the study design should be listed:

- Literature Synthesis: formal structured literature synthesis studies can be described in terms of the specific type: Systemic Review, Scoping Reviews, Reviews of Reviews (Overviews or Umbrella Reviews), Meta-analyses and others.
- Primary Clinical Studies can include a variety of designs to address research questions. The purpose of the research can be listed as: Descriptive, clinical measurement, epidemiology, etiology, natural history, prognosis, diagnosis, effectiveness, harm, economics or implementation.

Examples of study design include:

- **Randomized Clinical/Controlled Trial**: Patients are enrolled at a relevant baseline and allocated to different intervention arms based on a random concealed process; outcomes are ascertained prospectively. Where specific variants were used please state the subtype—such as Cross-over, Factorial, Equivalence, Non-inferiority, Expertise-based etc.
- **Prospective Cohort**: a longitudinal study where subgroups of patients are enrolled and research questions defined at a relevant baseline point (prior to when outcomes occur); patients are followed forward in time for outcomes ascertainment. For treatment studies, at least 2 groups are defined at baseline; in prognostic studies, potential predictors are collected at baseline
- **Retrospective Cohort**: a longitudinal study where subgroups of patients are involved in a prospective data collection but the research questions (and variables) were defined retrospectively; treatment groups or prognostic factors may have been defined after data collections was initiated e.g. database research
- **Case-Control**: a longitudinal study where subgroups of patients are identified/enrolled after outcomes have been ascertained and data are collected retrospectively (recall or pre-existing data) on the treatment or prognostic factors of interest.
- **Cross-sectional**: Study data are collected at a single time point.
- **N-of-1**: A single patient is enrolled at a relevant baseline and allocated to cross-over different intervention arms based on a random concealed process; outcomes are ascertained prospectively.
- **Case Series**: Data are collected on a single subgroup of patients (no comparison group). This can be cross-sectional or longitudinal.
- **Case Report**: Data are collected on a single subject.
- **Repeated Case Study**: a formal comparison of 2-5 cases, extending beyond summary data.

Qualitative Study Designs
- **Meta-syntheses**: a synthesis of the better quality qualitative studies.
- **Grounded Theory**: research that seeks to understand and identify theoretical processes; themes used to develop an understanding and theoretical explanation.
- **Case Study**: an in-depth study of an individual lived experience and perspective.
- **Descriptive**: Studies that may use qualitative
and quantitative method to describe a phenomenon—without intention to develop theory or meaning. Ethnography: the description of the customs of groups or cultures. Interpretive Description: inductive analytic studies designed to understand clinical phenomena with a view to applications.

Mixed-Methods Designs include both quantitative and qualitative components that seek to address a common or complementary research questions. The components can be conducted concurrently or sequentially to expand, explain or triangulate findings of the other component. The author can explain the approaches using any of the design taxonomies described for mixed methods.

A summary of the questions and design is illustrated in the figure.

Basic science research. This includes mechanistic studies i.e. anatomy, biomechanics, electromyography, physiology. Where applicable the descriptors above may be used. At a minimum author must state whether data collection was observational or randomized and whether data was Longitudinal: collected at multiple time points or Cross-sectional: collected on a single occasion.

**Essential title page information**

Title. Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible. Author names and affiliations. Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author. Corresponding author. Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author. Present/permanent address. If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

**Abstract**

A concise and factual structured abstract is required. It is imperative that the abstract clearly defines the purpose, methods and key data/findings. The abstract must be a concise (250-word limit) summary of the work.

The headings of the abstract must include the following:

**Background:** One to 2 sentences that cite they key background or rationale the supports the need for the current study.

**Purpose:** A specific purpose for the research which clearly states what research question(s) are being answered. For example, for clinical studies the purpose should indicate what patients, interventions comparisons, and outcome measures are being examined.

**Study Design:** Using the information above the type of research, and research design should be stated. Where possible use the terminology above. For example, a clinical measurement, cross-sectional study or a qualitative, interpretive description study.

**Methods:** The key methods including sample, interventions, measures and statistical analyses should be described.

**Results:** The key findings must be presented. For quantitative studies, the value that indicate the size of the observed effects, not just the p-values. For all studies, the most salient data should be succinctly presented.

**Conclusions:** The key conclusion, answer to the research question should be succinctly summarized. Where a direct implication to practice can be made, it should be stated.

An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone and represent the work in isolation. For this reason, references and non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided.

**Ancillary Information**

**Keywords**
Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes, and so best represent your work if they are terms likely to be searched and that are as specific as feasible.

Acknowledgements
Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided instrumental help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.). This should also include acknowledgement of research funding sources, whether operating or researcher support.

Units
Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.

Math formulae
Please submit math equations as editable text and not as images. Present simple formulae in line with normal text where possible and use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small fractional terms, e.g., X/Y. In principle, variables are to be presented in italics. Powers of e are often more conveniently denoted by exp. Number consecutively any equations that must be displayed separately from the text (if referred to explicitly in the text).

Footnotes
Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article. Many word processors can build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Otherwise, please indicate the position of footnotes in the text and list the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article. Do not include footnotes in the Reference list.

Artwork
Electronic artwork
General points
• Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
• Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
• Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
• Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
• Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
• Provide captions to illustrations separately.
• Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
• Submit each illustration as a separate file.
A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available.
You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.
Formats
If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply ‘as is’ in the native document format. Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please ‘Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):
EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.
TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.
TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi.
TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.
Please do not:
• Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
• Supply files that are too low in resolution;
• Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.
Color artwork
Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. For color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article. Please indicate your preference for color: in print or online only. Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.

Illustration services
Elsevier's WebShop offers Illustration Services to authors preparing to submit a manuscript but concerned about the quality of the images accompanying their article. Elsevier's expert illustrators can produce scientific, technical and medical-style images, as well as a full range of charts, tables and graphs. Image 'polishing' is also available, where our illustrators take your image(s) and improve them to a professional standard. Please visit the website to find out more.

Figure captions
Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (not on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

Tables
Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

Tables should be carefully constructed to provide a clear representation of data. The table or figure should have clear labels, units and a title that conveys what the table/figure represents. Figure legends should provide any information or abbreviations needed to interpret the table. Tables an figures should be able to be interpreted independently. We suggest that authors consult guides for formatting tables. Examples of guides are:
https://www3.nd.edu/~pkamat/pdf/graphs.pdf
http://ac.els-cdn.com.proxy1.lib.uwo.ca/S0363502311016534/1-s2.0-S0363502311016534-main.pdf?_tid=cf746582-7b33-11e7-b15d-00000aab0f26&acdnat=1502084991_093f3bf2be5f77267eb9dec682a00205

References
Proper citation practices is a key element of scientific rigor. JHT expects the following:The background section of the paper describes the scientific foundations of the work and should cite key foundational studies that define the current state of knowledge and gaps that the submitted study will address. The placement of citations in the text should clearly indicate which specific studies are being cited for specific facts or statements. Where multiple citations are used in a sentence insure attribution is clear and precise. Relevant studies should be cited; but, indiscriminate inclusion of citations is discouraged. Authors should insuire the most salient citations are used. Authors should be clear when citing others whether they are citing a finding/fact or interpretation/opinion. The methods section should cite key foundational papers for the test/measures used. For patient -reported outcome measures or developed performance tests this includes the original development paper and any key references that document reliability and validity of the tests and measures in a similar population. Routine statistics do not need citations e.g. t-test; but more complicated study designs/ analytical methods may. The discussion should cite and discuss salient agreeing and opposing findings. Bias in citation, undermines the validity of the interpretation of the researcher. For discussion of citation bias see:
http://www.bmj.com/content/339/bmj.b2680 Secondary referencing is to be avoided. Researchers insure they have read and properly attributed the primary source of information. Citations of abstracts, personal communications, and conference proceedings should only be used where no full citation is available.
Reference style

Text: Indicate references by (consecutive) superscript Arabic numerals in the order in which they appear in the text. The numerals are to be used outside periods and commas, inside colons and semicolons. For further detail and examples you are referred to the AMA Manual of Style, A Guide for Authors and Editors, Tenth Edition, ISBN 0-978-0-19-517633-9.

List: Number the references in the list in the order in which they appear in the text.

Examples:
Reference to a book:

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

Reference to a website:

Reference to a dataset:

**Journal abbreviations source**
Journal names should be abbreviated according to the List of Title Word Abbreviations.

**Video**
The Journal of Hand Therapy encourages authors to submit video files that would allow readers to better understand the testing, intervention, skill or patient performance. These files will be associated the paper as a link.

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the files in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 150 MB. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect. Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our [video instruction pages](#). Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

**AudioSlides**
The journal encourages authors to create an AudioSlides presentation with their published article. AudioSlides are brief, webinar-style presentations that are shown next to the online article on ScienceDirect. This gives authors the opportunity to summarize their research in their own words and to help readers understand what the paper is about. [More information and examples are available](#). Authors of this journal will automatically receive an invitation e-mail to create an AudioSlides presentation after acceptance of their paper.

**Data visualization**
Include interactive data visualizations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions [here](#) to find out about available data visualization options and how to include them with your article.

**Supplementary material**
Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.
**Research data**
This journal encourages and enables you to share data that supports your research publication where appropriate, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings. To facilitate reproducibility and data reuse, this journal also encourages you to share your software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Below are a number of ways in which you can associate data with your article or make a statement about the availability of your data when submitting your manuscript. If you are sharing data in one of these ways, you are encouraged to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the “References” section for more information about data citation. For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the research data page.

**Data linking**
If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the database linking page.

For supported data repositories a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

**Mendeley Data**
This journal supports Mendeley Data, enabling you to deposit any research data (including raw and processed data, video, code, software, algorithms, protocols, and methods) associated with your manuscript in a free-to-use, open access repository. During the submission process, after uploading your manuscript, you will have the opportunity to upload your relevant datasets directly to Mendeley Data. The datasets will be listed and directly accessible to readers next to your published article online.

For more information, visit the Mendeley Data for journals page.

**Data statement**
To foster transparency, we encourage you to state the availability of your data in your submission. This may be a requirement of your funding body or institution. If your data is unavailable to access or unsuitable to post, you will have the opportunity to indicate why during the submission process, for example by stating that the research data is confidential. The statement will appear with your published article on ScienceDirect. For more information, visit the Data Statement page.

**AFTER ACCEPTANCE**

**Online proof correction**
Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors.

If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.
AUTHOR INQUIRIES
Visit the Elsevier Support Center to find the answers you need. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch. You can also check the status of your submitted article or find out when your accepted article will be published.

For Inquiries relating to the submission of articles, please visit http://www.elsevier.com/authors. This site also provides the facility to track accepted articles and set up e-mail alerts to inform you of when an article’s status has changed, as well as detailed artwork guidelines, copyright information, frequently asked questions, and more.
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Case Studies
Case-Reports.pdf.
Short Reports of Cross-Cultural Translation
Template for Short Report for Cross Cultural Translation.pdf