




TABLE OF CONTENTS

●	Description	p.1
●	Audience	p.4
●	Impact Factor	p.4
●	Abstracting and Indexing	p.5
●	Editorial Board	p.5
●	Guide for Authors	p.7



ISSN: 1532-0464

DESCRIPTION

The *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* has an open access mirror [Journal of Biomedical Informatics: X](#), sharing the same aims and scope, editorial team, submission system and rigorous peer review.

AIMS AND SCOPE:

The *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* reflects a commitment to high-quality original research papers, reviews, and commentaries in the area of biomedical informatics methodology. Although we publish articles motivated by applications in the biomedical sciences (for example, clinical medicine, health care, population health, and translational bioinformatics), the journal emphasizes reports of new methodologies and techniques that have general applicability and that form the basis for the evolving science of biomedical informatics. Articles on medical devices; evaluations of implemented systems (including clinical trials of information technologies); or papers that provide insight into a biological process, a specific disease, or treatment options would generally be more suitable for publication in other venues. Papers on applications of signal processing and image analysis are often more suitable for biomedical engineering journals or other informatics journals, although we do publish papers that emphasize the information management and knowledge representation/modeling issues that arise in the storage and use of biological signals and images. System descriptions are welcome if they illustrate and substantiate the underlying methodology that is the principal focus of the report and an effort is made to address the generalizability and/or range of application of that methodology.

The methods that are the focus of JBI papers may be drawn from any of a number of component sciences in the field of biomedical informatics. Although the methods are often related to the field of computer science, many JBI papers will emphasize innovative techniques from other fields, such as decision science, statistics, cognitive science, psychology, information science, organizational theory, or management science.

If you are considering your bioinformatics article for submission to JBI, please be aware of this methodological focus. Biologic discoveries based on the use of routine informatics techniques may be important biologic contributions but are not suitable for JBI. In addition, please note that JBI publishes bioinformatics papers only if they deal with issues in translational (human) science. See the [Translational Bioinformatics](#) editorial that deals with this topic before submitting your paper.

Papers on biomedical privacy or security must also offer new and practical methodologies that are clearly motivated by the challenges of health care and biomedicine. An established method from another field does not warrant republication in JBI simply because it has been applied to a biomedical

problem. We also look for papers that describe substantial methodological novelty rather than small incremental improvements on previously published techniques. See our [Privacy and Security](#) editorial for more detail about our policies for acceptable papers in this area.

Papers are generally of seven types:**Regular research papers:** Presentation and discussion of a biomedically or clinically motivated system or approach that has required the development of innovative methods rather than the application of established techniques. Motivating applications may be discussed, but the new method should be discussed so that generalizability is clear, ideally with an assessment of its range of applicability. Please choose the article type "Research Paper" during the submission process. **Methodological review papers:** Reviews of a methodological approach that summarize its introduction, use, successes, and limitations. Such reviews will also often propose future research directions or critique a method and discuss the range of problems for which it may not be an appropriate solution. Note that such reviews should focus on a method or approach, not on specific application domain (e.g., avoid submitting on reviews such as "Computers in Diabetes Management"). Please choose the article type "Review article" during the submission process. **Commentaries:** These are articles, generally shorter than research papers, that tend to discuss previously published articles or a theme that is an important area of focus for the methodological basis of biomedical informatics research and its application. Commentaries are often invited, but may be submitted by anyone after a discussion with the editors. If submission of a commentary is being considered, please submit a brief proposal to ted@shortliffe.net beforehand. If your submission of a Commentary has been solicited, or approved by the editor, please choose the article type "Discussions" during the submission process and specify in the cover letter that your manuscript is intended as a Commentary. **Special communications:** These are articles that address an issue of broad interest to the methodologically-oriented informatics research community. They may report on the results of workshops or research studies, generally offering lessons or guidance that will be useful to others. Such papers need not report on innovative new informatics methodologies. If submission of a special communication is being considered, please submit a brief proposal to ted@shortliffe.net beforehand. If your submission of a Special Communication has been approved by the editor, please choose the article type "Discussion" during the submission process and specify in the cover letter that your manuscript is intended as a Special Communication. **Letters to the Editor:** Letters may be submitted and will be considered for possible publication in the journal. They typically comment on a previously published paper. Please choose the article type "Correspondence" during the submission process. **Book reviews:** The editors will occasionally identify a new book that is likely to be of interest to the JBI readership. They will invite individuals to write reviews of these volumes, and such submissions are by invitation only. Unsolicited book reviews will not be considered. Please choose the article type "Book review" during the submission process. **Editorials:** The editors or their invitees will occasionally publish editorials, but unsolicited editorials will not be considered. Please choose the article type "Editorial" during the submission process.

When an author is submitting a manuscript in response to a call for papers for a special thematic issue, the submission category should be the special issue title but the cover letter should indicate whether the article is a methodological review, a regular paper, or a commentary.

Further Background for Review Prior to Submitting a Paper

The *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* (JBI), first published by Academic Press in 1968 under the title *Computers and Biomedical Research* (CBR), was redesigned and renamed beginning with Volume 34 in 2001. Building on a strong 33-year history since CBR premiered in 1968, we made a number of changes to update and reorient the journal in light of the evolution of the field, while simultaneously seeking to fill a niche not clearly identified as a central focus by the other journals that publish papers in biomedical informatics research. We stated that goal as follows in our inaugural editorial:

"It is increasingly difficult to publish articles that will have broad appeal to a diverse readership. We have accordingly decided that it is important to introduce a tighter focus to the journal in the years ahead, and it is with this in mind that we have renamed the journal to reflect a more modern and narrow emphasis. The Journal of Biomedical Informatics (JBI) is intended to complement rather than to compete with the other major journals in biomedical informatics. In particular, we wish to emphasize papers that elucidate methodologies that generalize across biomedical domains and that help to form the scientific basis for the field. Papers will tend to be concerned with information technology rather than medical devices, and on underlying methods rather than system descriptions

or summative evaluations. You should expect this journal to be an excellent source of new ideas about how to tackle difficult problems that arise in the development of computational solutions to problems in the biomedical sciences and clinical practice."

With almost two decades of publication under the JBI title, we are pleased by the success of the journal. It has a reputation for excellence and rigor and we hope that our readers and published authors are similarly impressed by the quality of both the work and the writing that we have attracted to these pages.

JBI seeks to publish papers that make a conceptual contribution to the field, typically by describing an innovation in methodology or technique or by discussing substantive generalizable lessons that have been learned in the context of an interesting informatics project. When a contribution has a theoretical basis, that theory is an appropriate emphasis for the exposition as well. In our experience, many research projects that start as applications efforts result in methodologic innovation that, properly described, contributes to the scientific base of our discipline. Thus we are not discouraging submissions that discuss interesting applications but, rather, encouraging a perspective on how best to write about and share generalizable methodologic insights that derive from the applied work and from which others can benefit. We believe that such papers form the core of biomedical informatics as a science.

There have been five principal reasons for returning papers without review. Perhaps the most common occurs when a paper is primarily a description of an informatics application or its evaluation. A new clinical system that addresses an important clinical problem, but that does not offer novel methodology, would be more appropriate for another journal, either in applied informatics or in the clinical domain of application. Similarly, survey/questionnaire studies or analyses of user needs are likely to be suitable for JBI only if they contribute new methods for performing such studies or analyses, or new insights into user behavior, cognitive science, or human-computer interaction.

A second reason for returning papers without review occurs when a paper does not deal with the core informatics notions of information and knowledge management. Most commonly this occurs when a paper presents a new medical device or an approach to biomedical signal or image processing, especially when the emphasis is on numerical methods or physiological monitoring rather than information processing and management or knowledge-based approaches. We generally refer such papers to biomedical engineering journals or to clinical journals in the domain of application (e.g., a cardiology journal for new approaches to electrocardiogram analysis, or an imaging journal for a new mathematical approach to segmentation or other aspects of image analysis).

Third, because the field of biomedical informatics is motivated across all applications to have a positive impact on human health or the treatment of human disease, bioinformatics papers submitted to JBI should be in the area of translational bioinformatics (TBI). We routinely reject papers without review if they deal with basic bioinformatics solutions that are broadly applicable (e.g., analytical methods for microarrays) but are not specifically motivated by human health or disease. We accordingly do not publish papers that deal with plant or animal bioinformatics or genomics methods specifically for use in agriculture or zoology.

Fourth, we use supporting software to assess every submission to JBI, looking to see if there is evidence of significant re-use of text from other published articles. In some cases, the prior publications are not even cited in the new paper. Regardless of whether the authors of a manuscript are also the authors of a paper from which text has been re-used, we generally decline to review papers that have significant overlap with other publications. Not only do such practices lead to concerns of plagiarism (or self-plagiarism), they also raise questions about the novelty of the work presented in the new manuscript. We expect all papers to be written *de novo* and ask that the manuscripts (and the cover letter) make clear how the new work builds upon, enhances, or surpasses the capabilities of, prior published work.

Finally, although JBI is an international journal and we understand the challenges that authors face when English is not their native language, some papers have such severe problems with their English exposition that we reject them or return them for revision, suggesting the involvement of an editor or coauthor who is expert in English before the paper can be judged suitable for entry into the scientific review process. Minor problems with language can be corrected in the editorial process, but more severe problems prevent an adequate assessment of the scientific contribution.

Of those papers that have gone to review, our eventual acceptance rate is now about 25%. Every published paper has been accepted only after significant revisions, so authors should not be surprised when revisions are required before a paper is ready for publication. We believe, however, that the rigor we have introduced in the reviewing and revision process has resulted in a better, more scientifically useful product. For example, anecdotal feedback, as well as citation and downloading data, suggest that the methodological reviews included in almost every issue have been widely used for educational and study purposes.

We have received an increasing number of submitted papers in each year since the transition from CBR to JBI. In our first year we received about 100 manuscripts but now receive well over 800 papers per year. As a result, the journal has grown in size, with more papers per issue. We also devote two or three issues per year to special methodologic topics overseen by guest editors who propose such special issues to us. Accepted papers are immediately made available online and are indexed in Medline. They appear soon thereafter in a compiled "virtual" issue on ScienceDirect. Since 2016 we have stopped producing a print version of JBI, having learned that the vast preponderance of access to the journal is through the Internet and ScienceDirect. Hard copies of full issues are available from Elsevier via the Print on Demand services. Papers may be published in open-access for a fee paid by authors, but they otherwise are available only to subscribers for the first 12 months after publication. Thereafter they are opened up to free access in our ScienceDirect open archive. Because the journal is produced online-only, there is not limit or charge regarding the use of color in figures or photographs in submitted manuscripts.

We encourage you to submit your best methodological work to JBI. Bear in mind that we provide opportunities for in-depth discussion of an innovative approach, as judged by our reviewers as well as the editors, and have avoided any arbitrary limit on word count or other metrics regarding the length of individual articles. We also encourage papers describing doctoral dissertation work, and emphasize that the extensive topic reviews undertaken in a thesis document often can be adapted to develop a methodological review article that is suitable for JBI. The journal also has no page charges and can include multi-media components for articles in the online environment.

Biomedical informatics is a burgeoning field, with important applications and implications throughout the biomedical and clinical worlds. We are eager to have the research community consistently identify JBI as the journal that best defines the scientific base for the field. We accordingly invite both your contributions and your readership.

Edward H. Shortliffe
Editor-in-Chief

AUDIENCE

Biomedical informaticists, Health informaticists

IMPACT FACTOR

2018: 2.950 © Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports 2019

ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

Embase
Embase
PubMed/Medline
PubMed/Medline
Scopus
Science Citation Index
Science Citation Index Expanded
Current Contents - Clinical Medicine
Journal Citation Reports - Science Edition
BIOSIS Citation Index
BIOSIS Previews
Biological Abstracts
Inside Conferences
EMCARE
Pascal Francis
Bioengineering Abstracts
Ei Compendex
INSPEC
Social SciSearch
CSA Life Sciences Abstracts
TOXFILE
Cancerlit
Energy Science and Technology
Gale Database of Publications & Broadcast Media
Physical Education Index

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief

Edward Shortliffe, Columbia University Department of Biomedical Informatics, New York, United States

Deputy Editor

Mor Peleg, University of Haifa Department of Information Systems, Haifa, Israel

Former Editors

Allan Pryor

Homer Warner

Associate Editors

Riccardo Bellazzi, University of Pavia, Department of Electrical, Computer and Biomedical Engineering, Pavia, Italy

Wendy Chapman, University of Melbourne Centre for Clinical and Public Health Informatics Digital Health and Informatics, Melbourne, Australia

James Cimino, University of Alabama School of Medicine Informatics Institute, Birmingham, Alabama, United States

Robert Greenes, Arizona State University-Skysong, Scottsdale, Arizona, United States

Vimla Patel, New York Academy of Medicine, New York, New York, United States

Peter Tarczy-Hornoch, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, Seattle, Washington, United States

Rong Xu, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland, Ohio, United States

William Yasnoff, National Health Information Infrastructure Advisors, Portland, Oregon, United States

Editorial Board

Elske Ammenwerth, Private University of Health Sciences Medical Informatics and Technology Hall/Tyrol Institute of Medical Informatics, Hall in Tirol, Austria

Aziz Boxwala, Elimu Informatics Inc, La Jolla, California, United States

James L. Chen, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, Columbus, Ohio, United States

Kevin Bretonnel Cohen, University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, United States

Trevor Cohen, University of Washington Department of Biomedical Informatics and Medical Education, Seattle, United States

Guilherme Del Fiol, University of Utah Department of Biomedical Informatics, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States

John Fox, University of Oxford, Oxford, England, United Kingdom
Lewis Frey, Medical University of South Carolina Biomedical Informatics Center, Charleston, South Carolina, United States
James Geller, New Jersey Institute of Technology Department of Computer Science, Newark, New Jersey, United States
Ken Goodman, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Institute for Bioethics and Health Policy, Miami, Florida, United States
Samah Jamal Fodeh Jarad, Yale University Center for Medical Informatics, New Haven, Connecticut, United States
David Kaufman, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, United States
Ramakanth Kavuluru, University of Kentucky, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Biomedical Informatics, Lexington, Kentucky, United States
Peter Lucas, Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands
Yuan Luo, Northwestern University Department of Preventive Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, United States
Victor Maojo, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain
Fernando Martin-Sanchez, Carlos III Health Institute, Madrid, Spain
Silvia Miksch, TU Wien Institute of Visual Computing and Human-Centered Technology, Wien, Austria
Robert Moskovitch, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Department of Software and Information Systems Engineering, Beer-Sheva, Israel
Omolola Ogunyemi, Charles Drew University of Medicine and Science, Center for Biomedical Informatics, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Los Angeles, California, United States
Casey Lynnette Overby, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, United States
Gretchen Purcell Jackson, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, Nashville, Tennessee, United States
Silvana Quaglini, University of Pavia, Department of Electrical, Computer and Biomedical Engineering, Pavia, Italy
Lucia Sacchi, University of Pavia, Department of Electrical, Computer and Biomedical Engineering, Pavia, Italy
Brigitte Seroussi, Laboratory of Medical Informatics and Knowledge Engineering in e-Health, Paris, France
Nigam Shah, STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, Stanford, California, United States
Nick Tatonetti, Columbia University Department of Biomedical Informatics, New York, United States
Annette ten Teije, VU Amsterdam, Faculty of Science, Computer Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands
Jessica D. Tenenbaum, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States
Guy Tsafant, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia
Allan Tucker, Brunel University, London, England, United Kingdom
Özlem Uzuner, George Mason University Volgenau School of Information Technology and Engineering, FAIRFAX, Virginia, United States
Hua Xu, University of Texas School of Biomedical Informatics, Houston, Texas, USA
Meliha Yetisgen, University of Washington Department of Biomedical Informatics and Medical Education, Seattle, United States
Kevin (Yuk-Lap) Yip, Chinese University of Hong Kong Department of Computer Science and Engineering, New Territories, Hong Kong
Hong Yu, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Amherst, Massachusetts, United States
Kai Zheng, University of California Irvine Department of Informatics, Irvine, California, United States
Pierre Zweigenbaum, Computing Laboratory for Mechanics and Engineering Sciences, Orsay, France

GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Your Paper Your Way

We now differentiate between the requirements for new and revised submissions. You may choose to submit your manuscript as a single Word or PDF file to be used in the refereeing process. Only when your paper is at the revision stage will you be requested to put your paper in to a 'correct format' for acceptance and provide the items required for the publication of your article. Please note, however, that this approach to initial manuscript submission does not diminish the importance of clear writing, grammatical correctness, and careful review for typographical or spelling errors. Reviewers are still advised to comment on such problems with initial submissions and to take such problems into account in assessing the overall suitability of the paper for the journal.

To find out more, please visit the Preparation section below.

INTRODUCTION

[Journal of Biomedical Informatics] has an open access mirror journal, [Journal of Biomedical Informatics : X].

Please read the [Aims and Scope](#) in its entirety prior to submission.

The *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* has been redesigned to reflect a commitment to high-quality original research papers and reviews in the area of biomedical informatics. Although published articles are motivated by applications in the biomedical sciences (for example, clinical medicine, health care, population health, imaging, and bioinformatics), the journal emphasizes reports of new methodologies and techniques that have general applicability and that form the basis for the evolving science of biomedical informatics. Articles on medical devices and formal evaluations of completed systems, including clinical trials of information technologies, would generally be more suitable for publication in other venues. Papers on applications of signal processing and image analysis are often more suitable for biomedical engineering journals, although we do publish papers that emphasize the information management and knowledge representation/modeling issues that arise in the storage and use of biological signals. System descriptions are welcome if they illustrate and substantiate the underlying methodology that is the principal focus of the report.

All manuscripts submitted to *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*:

- should contain original work; the original source of any previously published figures or text must be fully cited and all necessary permission to use previously published material must be obtained
- must not be currently under consideration by any other journal
- require the approval of all co-authors that the manuscript be submitted in its present form to JBI.

Please see the [Scientific Conduct editorial](#) published in the *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*.

In addition, if you are considering a submission in the field of bioinformatics, please note that JBI now publishes bioinformatics papers only if they deal with issues in translational (human) science. See the [Translational Bioinformatics](#) editorial that deals with this topic before submitting your paper.

Authors are encouraged to submit video material or animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. For more information please see the paragraph on video data below.

Qualifying articles in *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* are also automatically posted in PubMed Central 12 months after publication. The procedure is described in http://publicaccess.nih.gov/submit_process.htm, Method D.

Authors considering submission of a Commentary and Book reviews should first consult with the Editor-in-Chief, Edward H. Shortliffe (ted@shortliffe.net), so that guidelines and suitability can be discussed in advance of the submission.

Papers are generally of six types:

Regular research papers: Presentation and discussion of a biomedically- or clinically-motivated system or approach that has required the creation of innovative methods rather than the application of established techniques. Motivating applications may be discussed, but the new method should be discussed so that its generalizability is clear, ideally with an assessment of its range of applicability. Please choose the article type "Research paper" during the submission process.

Methodological review papers: Reviews of a methodological approach that summarize its introduction, use, successes, and limitations. Such reviews will also often propose future research directions or critique a method and discuss the range of problems for which it may not be an appropriate solution. Note that such reviews should focus on a method or approach, not on a specific application domain. Please choose the article type "Review article" during the submission process.

Commentaries: These are articles, generally shorter than research papers, that tend to discuss a previously published article or a theme or policy issue that is an important area of focus for the methodological basis of biomedical informatics research and its application. Commentaries are often invited, but may be submitted by anyone after a discussion with the editors. If submission of a commentary is being considered, please submit a brief proposal to ted@shortliffe.net beforehand. Please choose the article type "Discussion" during the submission process.

Special communications: There are articles that address an issue of broad interest to the methodologically-oriented informatics research community. They may report on the results of workshops or research studies, generally offering lessons or guidance that will be useful to others. Such papers need not report on innovative new informatics methodologies. If submission of a special communication is being considered, please submit a brief proposal to ted@shortliffe.net beforehand. Please choose the article type "Discussion" during the submission process.

Book reviews: The editors will occasionally identify a new book that is likely to be of interest to the JBI readership. They will invite individuals to write reviews of these volumes, and such submissions are by invitation only. Unsolicited book reviews will not be considered. Please choose the article type "Book review" during the submission process.

Editorials:

The editors or their invitees will occasionally publish editorials, but unsolicited editorials will not be considered. Please choose the article type "Editorial" during the submission process.

SPECIAL ISSUES IN JBI

JBI publishes special issues at the rate of 2-3 per year. We publish 6 total issues annually and the special issues are included among these regular issues (at no cost to authors or guest editors). Accordingly, we aim for special issues that are likely to attract enough high quality papers to justify creating a dedicated issue on the topic.

We look for topics that are closely aligned with the editorial policy of goals of JBI. A statement of our editorial policy ("Aims and Scope"), and our emphasis on methodological innovation and methodological reviews, rather than on applications or evaluations, is provided on the JBI home page:

<http://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-biomedical-informatics/>

Note that JBI does not publish papers that are primarily related to biomedical engineering (including image or signal analysis), and any bioinformatics papers must be explicitly related to human health and/or disease (translational bioinformatics). We stress that the theme of special issues should generally be an important methodological focus rather than an application domain. Thus we would, for example, entertain special issues on "Informatics Methods for Medical Privacy" or "Evolutionary Computation in Biomedicine" (both published in 2014) but not "Informatics in Diabetes Care."

JBI does not entertain special issues that are drawn from papers originally presented at a conference or workshop, but it does publish supplements based on meeting proceedings, as outlined in the section on supplements that follows. Sometimes meetings inspire the notion for a special issue, but we do not publish papers that appeared in the proceedings of conferences (see <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2005.10.002>). The Call for Papers must make it clear that we seek open submissions to the special issue, not limited to people who may have attended or presented work at a specific meeting. If submitted papers are based on reports that were previously published in a conference proceedings, they must be substantially expanded, typically updating or providing more detail on what was previously presented at the conference. All papers must be reviewed in accordance with the usual JBI review process, with its emphasis on innovative methodology (rather than novel applications of well-known methods), and even invited papers cannot be assured of acceptance until they have met our standards for peer review.

The guest editor manages the special issue using JBI's editorial system (EES), serving as a temporary associate editor, although the Editor-in-Chief retains the final decision on the acceptance of papers and works closely with the guest editor(s) during the editorial process. When submitted papers are authored or coauthored by one of the guest editors, that paper is handled by a different associate editor, even though the paper is intended for the special issue.

If the proposed guest editor (and any co-editors) are not known to the JBI editors, or have not published in JBI previously, we also ask for a statement of qualifications, including a summary of expertise in the domain of the special issue, a summary of previous editing/reviewing/authoring experience, evidence of excellent skills in written English, and a brief CV.

The proposal for a special issue also involves the preparation of a draft Call for Papers. Examples of such 1-2 page Calls for Papers have appeared in JBI on ScienceDirect over the last several years. Potential guest editors are encouraged to refer to them for templates to follow. A proposed time line for the submission of manuscripts and the reviewing/revising process is also requested, although the time to completion of a special issue is very hard to predict given the vagaries of reviewing times, delays while papers are being revised, and the need for several rounds of reviews for some papers before they are suitable for publication.

Note also that JBI has transitioned to become an online-only journal, given the straightforward access to all content online. The journal has also moved to an article-based publishing model which has a positive effect on the satisfaction of authors who submit papers to special issues. A recent editorial describes those changes in detail (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1532046415000179>).

Individuals who have reviewed these criteria and wish to prepare a proposal for a special issue should contact the Editor-in-Chief (ted@shortliffe.net).

SUPPLEMENTS TO JBI

Supplements to the *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* offer you the opportunity to publish research and clinical material in association with the brand name of our prestigious journal. All articles are peer-reviewed to safeguard the scientific quality of the publication and are made available to all journal subscribers, including libraries and indexing services, ensuring a high level of visibility for your material. Additionally, you may purchase print copies for your own use. If you are interested in publishing a supplement to the *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, our terms and conditions are: A guest editor or co-editors should be identified; they will be responsible for managing the editorial process and assuring the quality of the scientific content. The manuscripts should be submitted to the journal via our editorial system: <http://ees.elsevier.com/jbi/default.asp?pg=mainpage.html> and the submitting author should select in the submission menu the supplement to which his/her manuscript belongs. Following peer review conducted by the guest editor, the editor is expected to consult with the Editor-in-Chief before a decision is made to accept a submitted article. Upon acceptance of the manuscripts, Elsevier will undertake the complete production of the supplement and make it available in ScienceDirect to all regular subscribers. If the sponsor wishes, the supplement can be made open access in perpetuity for an additional fee. Print versions of the supplement can also be produced for an additional fee. A proof copy will be sent to the guest editor for checking and final approval. JBI undertakes to publish the supplement rapidly, subject to delays in the reviewing and revision processes for individual manuscripts that may occur before a final acceptance decision. Elsevier retains the copyright for the material published in the supplement. Advertising may be included in the supplement at the publisher's normal price. Please contact Prof. Ted Shortliffe (ted@shortliffe.net), the Editor-in-Chief of the journal, to inquire regarding the suitability of your proposal. For information regarding pricing, please contact Kevin Partridge (k.partridge@elsevier.com). *Key benefits of publishing a supplement in the Journal of Biomedical Informatics:* Association with the prestigious reputation of the journal Key opinion leader endorsement of a message Rapid delivery to your target audience online, with the option to produce print copies for presentation to specific individuals or organizations Increased impact and longevity of your message Exposure to all the subscribers to the journal Inclusion of all articles in the journal's open archive 12 months after the supplement's publication. Online visibility via ScienceDirect Indexing in major databases to ensure a high level of dissemination of your published material

Submission checklist

You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:

Manuscript:

- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print

Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)

Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations

- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our [Support Center](#).

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing

Please see our information pages on [Ethics in publishing](#) and [Ethical guidelines for journal publication](#).

Declaration of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors should complete the declaration of interest statement using [this template](#) and upload to the submission system at the Attach/Upload Files step. If there are no interests to declare, please choose: 'Declarations of interest: none' in the template. This statement will be published within the article if accepted. [More information](#).

Submission declaration and verification

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service [Crossref Similarity Check](#).

Preprints

Please note that [preprints](#) can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's [sharing policy](#). Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information).

Use of inclusive language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Articles should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader, should contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of race, sex, culture or any other characteristic, and should use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, for instance by using 'he or she', 'his/her' instead of 'he' or 'his', and by making use of job titles that are free of stereotyping (e.g. 'chairperson' instead of 'chairman' and 'flight attendant' instead of 'stewardess').

Changes to authorship

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors **before** submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only **before** the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the **corresponding author**: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors **after** the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Article transfer service

This journal is part of our Article Transfer Service. This means that if the Editor feels your article is more suitable in one of our other participating journals, then you may be asked to consider transferring the article to one of those. If you agree, your article will be transferred automatically on your behalf with no need to reformat. Please note that your article will be reviewed again by the new journal. [More information](#).

Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can [share your research](#) published in Elsevier journals.

Role of the funding source

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated.

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

For non-commercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article.

OPEN ACCESS

Authors wishing to publish open access can choose to publish open access in Journal of Biomedical Informatics : X <https://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-biomedical-informatics-x/>, the open access mirror journal of Journal of Biomedical Informatics. One, unified editorial team manages the peer-review for both titles using the same submission system. The authors choice of publishing model will determine in which journal, Journal of Biomedical Informatics or Journal of Biomedical Informatics:X, the accepted manuscript will be published. The choice of publishing model will be blinded to referees, ensuring the editorial process is identical.

Green open access

Authors can share their research in a variety of different ways and Elsevier has a number of green open access options available. We recommend authors see our [open access page](#) for further information. Authors can also self-archive their manuscripts immediately and enable public access from their institution's repository after an embargo period. This is the version that has been accepted for publication and which typically includes author-incorporated changes suggested during submission, peer review and in editor-author communications. Embargo period: For subscription articles, an appropriate amount of time is needed for journals to deliver value to subscribing customers before an article becomes freely available to the public. This is the embargo period and it begins from the date the article is formally published online in its final and fully citable form. [Find out more](#).

This journal has an embargo period of 12 months.

Elsevier Researcher Academy

[Researcher Academy](#) is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the [English Language Editing service](#) available from Elsevier's Author Services.

Submission

Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Referees

Please submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of several potential referees. For more details, visit our [Support site](#). Note that the editor retains the sole right to decide whether or not the suggested reviewers are used.

Additional information

If material from other copyrighted works is included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases: contact Elsevier Global Rights Department, P.O. Box 800, Oxford OX5 1DX, UK; phone: (+44) 1865 843830, permissions@elsevier.com.

PREPARATION

Please note that figures and tables should be embedded in the text as close as possible to where they are initially cited. It is also mandatory to upload separate graphic and table files as these will be required if your manuscript is accepted for publication.

NEW SUBMISSIONS

Submission to this journal proceeds totally online and you will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading of your files. The system automatically converts your files to a single PDF file, which is used in the peer-review process. Note that the EES submission process is well supported on desktop and laptop machines using a variety of operating systems and web browsers. However, you should avoid trying to interact with EES using a tablet computer and, in particular, an IOS system. The EES environment has not been optimized for access using iPhones or iPads.

As part of the Your Paper Your Way service, you may choose to submit your manuscript as a single file to be used in the refereeing process. This can be a PDF file or a Word document, in any format or layout that can be used by referees to evaluate your manuscript. It should contain high enough quality figures for refereeing. If you prefer to do so, you may still provide all or some of the source files at the initial submission. Please note that individual figure files larger than 10 MB must be uploaded separately.

References

There are no strict requirements on reference formatting at submission. References can be in any style or format as long as the style is consistent. Where applicable, author(s) name(s), journal title/book title, chapter title/article title, year of publication, volume number/book chapter and the article number or pagination must be present. Use of DOI is highly encouraged. The reference style used by the journal will be applied to the accepted article by Elsevier at the proof stage. Note that missing data will be highlighted at proof stage for the author to correct.

Formatting requirements

There are no strict formatting requirements but all manuscripts must contain the essential elements needed to convey your manuscript, for example Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Conclusions, Artwork and Tables with Captions.

If your article includes any Videos and/or other Supplementary material, this should be included in your initial submission for peer review purposes.

Divide the article into clearly defined sections.

Figures and tables embedded in text

Please ensure the figures and the tables included in the single file are placed next to the relevant text in the manuscript, rather than at the bottom or the top of the file. The corresponding caption should be placed directly below the figure or table.

Peer review

This journal operates a single blind review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. [More information on types of peer review.](#)

REVISED SUBMISSIONS

Line Spacing

All revised submissions required 1.5 line spacing

Use of word processing software

Regardless of the file format of the original submission, at revision you must provide us with an editable file of the entire article. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the [Guide to Publishing with Elsevier](#)). See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

LaTeX

You are recommended to use the Elsevier article class [elsarticle.cls](#) to prepare your manuscript and [BibTeX](#) to generate your bibliography.

Our [LaTeX site](#) has detailed submission instructions, templates and other information.

Article structure

Subdivision - numbered sections

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction

State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Material and methods

Provide sufficient details to allow the work to be reproduced by an independent researcher. Methods that are already published should be summarized, and indicated by a reference. If quoting directly from a previously published method, use quotation marks and also cite the source. Any modifications to existing methods should also be described.

Results

Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion

This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of a Discussion or Results and Discussion section.

Appendices

If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

Essential title page information

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
- **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-

case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**

- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

Highlights

Highlights are mandatory for this journal as they help increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the examples here: [example Highlights](#).

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

Abstract

A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself.

Graphical Abstract

Authors must supply a graphical abstract for all types of articles at the time the paper is first submitted. The graphic should summarize the contents of the paper in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership and for compilation of databases. Carefully drawn figures that serve to illustrate the theme of the paper are desired. The dimensions of the graphical abstract are 200 x 500 pixels. Authors must supply the graphic separately as an electronic file. Please refer the following link for details of graphical abstracts and their specifications: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors/journal-authors/graphical-abstract> .

For an example of a graphical abstract please [click here](#).

Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

Abbreviations

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

Acknowledgements

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

Formatting of funding sources

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, please include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Math formulae

Please submit math equations as editable text and not as images. Present simple formulae in line with normal text where possible and use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small fractional terms, e.g., X/Y. In principle, variables are to be presented in italics. Powers of e are often more conveniently denoted by exp. Number consecutively any equations that have to be displayed separately from the text (if referred to explicitly in the text).

Footnotes

Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article. Many word processors build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Should this not be the case, indicate the position of footnotes in the text and present the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article.

Artwork

Electronic artwork

General points

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Preferred fonts: Arial (or Helvetica), Times New Roman (or Times), Symbol, Courier.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Indicate per figure if it is a single, 1.5 or 2-column fitting image.
- For Word submissions only, you may still provide figures and their captions, and tables within a single file at the revision stage.
- Please note that individual figure files larger than 10 MB must be provided in separate source files. A detailed [guide on electronic artwork](#) is available.

You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

Formats

Regardless of the application used, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings. Embed the font or save the text as 'graphics'.

TIFF (or JPG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones): always use a minimum of 300 dpi.

TIFF (or JPG): Bitmapped line drawings: use a minimum of 1000 dpi.

TIFF (or JPG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale): a minimum of 500 dpi is required.

Please do not:

- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); the resolution is too low.
- Supply files that are too low in resolution.
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

Color artwork

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. **For color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article.** Please indicate your preference for color: in print or online only. [Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.](#)

Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

Tables

Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

Equations

Number equations consecutively, with the number placed in parentheses to the extreme right of the equation. Refer to equations as Eq. (3) or simply (3). Punctuate equations to conform to their place in the syntax of the sentence.

References

Citation in text

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication.

Reference links

Increased discoverability of research and high quality peer review are ensured by online links to the sources cited. In order to allow us to create links to abstracting and indexing services, such as Scopus, CrossRef and PubMed, please ensure that data provided in the references are correct. Please note that incorrect surnames, journal/book titles, publication year and pagination may prevent link creation. When copying references, please be careful as they may already contain errors. Use of the DOI is highly encouraged.

A DOI is guaranteed never to change, so you can use it as a permanent link to any electronic article. An example of a citation using DOI for an article not yet in an issue is: VanDecar J.C., Russo R.M., James D.E., Ambeh W.B., Franke M. (2003). Aseismic continuation of the Lesser Antilles slab beneath northeastern Venezuela. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000884>. Please note the format of such citations should be in the same style as all other references in the paper.

Web references

As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

Data references

This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

References in a special issue

Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added to any references in the list (and any citations in the text) to other articles in the same Special Issue.

Reference management software

Most Elsevier journals have their reference template available in many of the most popular reference management software products. These include all products that support [Citation Style Language styles](#), such as [Mendeley](#). Using citation plug-ins from these products, authors only need to select the appropriate journal template when preparing their article, after which citations and bibliographies will be automatically formatted in the journal's style. If no template is yet available for this journal, please follow the format of the sample references and citations as shown in this Guide. If you use reference management software, please ensure that you remove all field codes before submitting the electronic manuscript. [More information on how to remove field codes from different reference management software](#).

Users of Mendeley Desktop can easily install the reference style for this journal by clicking the following link:

<http://open.mendeley.com/use-citation-style/journal-of-biomedical-informatics>

When preparing your manuscript, you will then be able to select this style using the Mendeley plugins for Microsoft Word or LibreOffice.

Reference formatting

There are no strict requirements on reference formatting at submission. References can be in any style or format as long as the style is consistent. Where applicable, author(s) name(s), journal title/book title, chapter title/article title, year of publication, volume number/book chapter and the article number or pagination must be present. Use of DOI is highly encouraged. The reference style used by the journal will be applied to the accepted article by Elsevier at the proof stage. Note that missing data will be highlighted at proof stage for the author to correct. If you do wish to format the references yourself they should be arranged according to the following examples:

Reference style

Text: Indicate references by number(s) in square brackets in line with the text. The actual authors can be referred to, but the reference number(s) must always be given.

Example: '..... as demonstrated [3,6]. Barnaby and Jones [8] obtained a different result'

List: Number the references (numbers in square brackets) in the list in the order in which they appear in the text.

Examples:

Reference to a journal publication:

[1] J. van der Geer, J.A.J. Hanraads, R.A. Lupton, The art of writing a scientific article, *J. Sci. Commun.* 163 (2010) 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.Sc.2010.00372>.

Reference to a journal publication with an article number:

[2] J. van der Geer, J.A.J. Hanraads, R.A. Lupton, 2018. The art of writing a scientific article. *Heliyon.* 19, e00205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00205>.

Reference to a book:

[3] W. Strunk Jr., E.B. White, *The Elements of Style*, fourth ed., Longman, New York, 2000.

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

[4] G.R. Mettam, L.B. Adams, How to prepare an electronic version of your article, in: B.S. Jones, R.Z. Smith (Eds.), *Introduction to the Electronic Age*, E-Publishing Inc., New York, 2009, pp. 281–304.

Reference to a website:

[5] Cancer Research UK, Cancer statistics reports for the UK. <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/aboutcancer/statistics/cancerstatsreport/>, 2003 (accessed 13 March 2003).

Reference to a dataset:

[dataset] [6] M. Oguro, S. Imahiro, S. Saito, T. Nakashizuka, Mortality data for Japanese oak wilt disease and surrounding forest compositions, *Mendeley Data*, v1, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.17632/xwj98nb39r.1>.

Journal abbreviations source

Journal names should be abbreviated according to the [List of Title Word Abbreviations](#).

Video

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. . In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the file in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 150 MB per file, 1 GB in total. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including [ScienceDirect](#). Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our [video instruction pages](#). Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

Data visualization

Include interactive data visualizations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions [here](#) to find out about available data visualization options and how to include them with your article.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

Research data

This journal encourages and enables you to share data that supports your research publication where appropriate, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings. To facilitate reproducibility and data reuse, this journal also encourages you to share your software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Below are a number of ways in which you can associate data with your article or make a statement about the availability of your data when submitting your manuscript. If you are sharing data in one of these ways, you are encouraged to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the "References" section for more information about data citation. For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the [research data](#) page.

Data linking

If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the [database linking page](#).

For [supported data repositories](#) a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

Mendeley Data

This journal supports Mendeley Data, enabling you to deposit any research data (including raw and processed data, video, code, software, algorithms, protocols, and methods) associated with your manuscript in a free-to-use, open access repository. During the submission process, after uploading your manuscript, you will have the opportunity to upload your relevant datasets directly to *Mendeley Data*. The datasets will be listed and directly accessible to readers next to your published article online.

For more information, visit the [Mendeley Data for journals page](#).

Data in Brief

You have the option of converting any or all parts of your supplementary or additional raw data into one or multiple data articles, a new kind of article that houses and describes your data. Data articles ensure that your data is actively reviewed, curated, formatted, indexed, given a DOI and publicly available to all upon publication. You are encouraged to submit your article for *Data in Brief* as an additional item directly alongside the revised version of your manuscript. If your research article is accepted, your data article will automatically be transferred over to *Data in Brief* where it will be editorially reviewed and published in the open access data journal, *Data in Brief*. Please note an open access fee of 600 USD is payable for publication in *Data in Brief*. Full details can be found on the [Data in Brief website](#). Please use [this template](#) to write your Data in Brief.

Data statement

To foster transparency, we encourage you to state the availability of your data in your submission. This may be a requirement of your funding body or institution. If your data is unavailable to access or unsuitable to post, you will have the opportunity to indicate why during the submission process, for example by stating that the research data is confidential. The statement will appear with your published article on ScienceDirect. For more information, visit the [Data Statement page](#).

AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Online proof correction

Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors.

If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

Offprints

The corresponding author will, at no cost, receive a customized [Share Link](#) providing 50 days free access to the final published version of the article on [ScienceDirect](#). The Share Link can be used for sharing the article via any communication channel, including email and social media. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Both corresponding and co-authors may order offprints at any time via Elsevier's [Author Services](#). Corresponding authors who have published their article gold open access do not receive a Share Link as their final published version of the article is available open access on ScienceDirect and can be shared through the article DOI link.

AUTHOR INQUIRIES

Visit the [Elsevier Support Center](#) to find the answers you need. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch.

You can also [check the status of your submitted article](#) or find out [when your accepted article will be published](#).

© Copyright 2018 Elsevier | <https://www.elsevier.com>