DESCRIPTION

SCOPE OF THE JOURNAL: *Clinical Neurophysiology* is dedicated to publishing scholarly reports on the pathophysiology underlying diseases of the peripheral and central nervous system of humans. Reports on clinical trials that use neurophysiological measures as endpoints are encouraged, as are manuscripts on integrated neuroimaging of peripheral and central nervous function including, but not limited to, functional MRI, brain mapping, MEG, EEG, PET, ultrasound, and other neuroimaging modalities. Studies on normal human neurophysiology are welcome, if they are relevant to disease or clinical applications. Studies on animals and technical reports must have clear applicability to human disease. Case reports may be considered (exclusively as Letters-to-the-Editor), if implying substantial advancement of knowledge. *Clinical Neurophysiology* covers epilepsy, developmental clinical neurophysiology, psychophysiology and psychopathology, motor control and movement disorders, somatosensory disorders including pain, motor neuron diseases, neuromuscular diseases, neuropathies, sleep and disorders of consciousness, auditory and vestibular disorders, aging, Alzheimer's disease, other dementias, other psychiatric disorders, autonomic disorders, neural plasticity and recovery, intraoperative and ICU monitoring, and therapeutic clinical neurophysiology including non-invasive and invasive brain stimulation.

All studies published in *Clinical Neurophysiology* must stand on their own and make a substantial contribution to the literature. The journal does not afford a high priority to 'pilot' or 'preliminary' studies or to negative studies that do not advance knowledge. Reports with a focus on education or clinical practice, case reports, methodological and technical reports and studies reporting normative data on healthy subjects are preferentially being considered in *Clinical Neurophysiology Practice*, a companion journal of *Clinical Neurophysiology*.

AUDIENCE: Neurologists, Clinical Neurophysiologists, Neuroscientists, Neuroimagers, Psychiatrists, Neuropsychologists, Neurosurgeons

See also the BRAND NEW Companion Journal *Clinical Neurophysiology Practice*

AUDIENCE

Neurologists, clinical neurophysiologists, neuroscientists, neuroimagers, psychiatrists, neuropsychologists
ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

Scopus
BIOSIS Citation Index
Chemical Abstracts
Current Contents - Clinical Medicine
Current Contents - Life Sciences
Elsevier BIOBASE
Embase
PubMed/Medline
Neuroscience Citation Index
Research Alert
Research Information Systems/Reference Update
Science Citation Index
Web of Science
Web of Science

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief
Ulf Ziemann, University of Tübingen, Hertie Institute for Clinical Brain Research, Department Neurology and Stroke, Tübingen, Germany

Associate Editors
Robert J. Barry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia
James G. Colebatch, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
Zafiris J. Daskalakis, University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry, La Jolla, California, United States of America
Olivia Gossieres, University of Liege, Liege, Belgium
Christian Grefkes, University of Cologne, Köln, Germany
Lisa Hobson-Webb, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
Ron Lesser, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America
David MacDonald, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
Gerd Tinkhauser, Inselspital University Hospital Bern, Department of Neurology, Bern, Switzerland
Andrea Truini, University of Rome La Sapienza, Roma, Italy
Yoshikazu Ugawa, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Department of Neuropsychiatry, Fukushima, Japan

Social Media Editor
E. Asano, Children’s Hospital of Michigan, Wayne State University, Department of Neurodiagnostics, Detroit, Michigan, United States of America

Executive Committee
J. Cole
W. Paulus
A. Husain
N. Shahrizaila
Y. Ugawa
H. Tankisi
P. Kimaid
P. W. Kaplan
M. Seeck
U. Ziemann

Past Editors in Chief
Herbert H. Jasper (Canada)
William Cobb (UK)
Mary A.B. Brazier (USA)
Hans van Duijn (The Netherlands)
Timothy Pedley (USA)
François Mauguière (France)
Gastone G. Celesia (USA)
Paolo Rossini (Italy)
A. Suppa, University of Rome La Sapienza, Roma, Italy
M. Takemi, Keio University, Minato-Ku, Japan
H. Tankisi, Aalborg University Hospital, Department of Neurology, Aalborg, Denmark
L. Tomasevic, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Hvidovre, Denmark
C. Tscherpel, University Hospital Cologne Clinic and Polyclinic for Neurology, Köln, Germany
M. V. Simon, Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
M. Valeriani, Bambino Gesu Pediatric Hospital, Roma, Italy
Y. Wang, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China
R. Zomorrodi, University of Toronto, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Temerty Centre for Therapeutic Brain Intervention, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Introduction
A manuscript is accepted with the understanding that the material has not been previously published, except in abstract form, and that it is not simultaneously under consideration by any other journal. The Editors reserve the prerogative of requiring the original data from the authors to compare with the supplied illustrations or results. If accepted, the manuscript shall not be published elsewhere in the same form, in either the same or another language, without the consent of the authors and Publisher.

Types of Article
The journal will consider full-length original articles, abstracts, announcement(s), editorials, and letters to the Editor. Review articles are generally by invitation, authored by acknowledged experts in the field, and require a comprehensive and up-to-date survey of a specific area of neurophysiology. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses are not categorised as authoritative Reviews which require Editorial approval before submission. We treat systematic reviews and meta-analyses as original research reports, and they should conform to the normal manuscript format, including a structured Abstract. Other review articles may be considered, but should be pre-approved by the Editor. For review articles, structuring the abstracts is not needed. Original articles are not limited in length, but authors are encouraged to write briefly, avoid repetitions, jargon, neologisms and abbreviations. All studies published in Clinical Neurophysiology must stand on their own and make a substantial contribution to the literature. The journal does not afford a high priority to 'pilot' or 'preliminary' studies or to negative studies that do not advance knowledge. Studies in animals are of low priority unless they provide significant new insights into neurophysiological mechanisms of human disease. Methodological/technical reports and studies reporting normative data on healthy subjects are of low priority.

Letters to the Editor are generally comments on papers published in this journal, but may present original research and case reports. There should be no abstract, and the text should be continuous text, with paragraphs but no subsections. Accordingly, subtitles should not be used. Any acknowledgments should be included in the body of the letter. Word count: less than 1000 words. Figures and Tables: 1 figure or 1 table. References: 5.

Opinion papers are invited by the Editor-in-Chief. They provide a brief opinion (3 pages or less, no Abstract, no Keywords, 20 references or less; Figures and Tables: 1 figure or 1 table) on an emergent topic in Clinical Neurophysiology, or on current limitations and/or particular future potential of an already existing field in Clinical Neurophysiology. Opinion papers are prospective papers: they critically examine current status and where the field will move in the next years. Authors must adhere to all relevant Journal guidelines on, e.g., authorship, ethics and disclosure of conflicts of interest.

All manuscripts submitted to Clinical Neurophysiology, including Letters and Editorials, are subject to peer review and acceptance is never guaranteed. When appropriate, additional review for statistical adequacy may also be obtained. Decisions of the Editors are final.

Submission checklist
You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:
• E-mail address
• Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:
Manuscript:
• Include keywords
• All figures (include relevant captions)
• All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
• Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
• Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print
Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)
Supplemental files (where applicable)
Further considerations
- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our Support Center.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing
Please see our information on Ethics in publishing.

Human and animal rights
If the work involves the use of animal or human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/; EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/legislation_en.htm; Uniform Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals http://www.icmje.org. Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for studies on human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

Informed Consent
Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and informed consent, which should be documented in the paper. Appropriate consents, permissions and releases must be obtained where an author wishes to include case details or other personal information or images of patients and any other individuals in an Elsevier publication. Written consents must be retained by the author and copies of the consents or evidence that such consents have been obtained must be provided to Elsevier on request. For more information, please review the Elsevier Policy on the Use of Images or Personal Information of Patients or other Individuals, https://www.elsevier.com/patient-consent-policy. Unless you have written permission from the patient (or, where applicable, the next of kin), the personal details of any patient included in any part of the article and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission.

Declaration of interest
All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential competing interests include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors must disclose any interests in two places: 1. A summary declaration of interest statement in the title page file (if double anonymized) or the manuscript file (if single anonymized). If there are no interests to declare then please state this: 'Declarations of interest: none'. 2. Detailed disclosures as part of a separate Declaration of Interest form, which forms part of the journal's official records. It is important for potential interests to be declared in both places and that the information matches. More information.

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing
The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier's AI policy for authors.
Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

**Disclosure instructions**
Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled ‘Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process’.

*Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.*

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

**Submission declaration and verification**
Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by Crossref Similarity Check and other originality or duplicate checking software.

**Use of inclusive language**
Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns (“clinicians, patients/clients”) as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

**Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses**
**Reporting guidance**
For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research's generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer (see Definitions section below). Authors can refer to the Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines and the SAGER guidelines checklist. These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

**Definitions**
Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features (e.g., chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy). A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth"), most often based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors, and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society. Sex and gender are often incorrectly portrayed as binary (female/male or woman/man) and unchanging whereas these constructs actually exist along a spectrum and include additional sex categorizations and gender identities such as people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD) or identify as non-binary. Moreover, the terms "sex" and "gender" can be ambiguous—thus it is important for authors to define the manner in which they are used. In addition to this definition guidance and the SAGER guidelines, the resources on this page offer further insight around sex and gender in research studies.

**Contributors**
Each author is required to declare their individual contribution to the article: all authors must have materially participated in the research and/or article preparation, so roles for all authors should be described. The statement that all authors have approved the final article should be true and included in the disclosure.

**Authorship**
The right to authorship should be based on substantial contribution to one or more of the following: conception and the design of the project, its execution, and/or the analysis and interpretation of data. Each author must have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content. All authors should be involved in drafting, writing or revising the research report and should have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. As a guide, being Head of Department, being in a position of authority, personal friendship or having provided access to patients used in the study is generally not sufficient warrant for authorship. Authorship requires intellectual input: the provision of technical assistance or routine assistance in some aspects only of the project may warrant an acknowledgement rather than inclusion as an author. The order in which names appear should be determined by the lead author in consultation with the co-authors, preferably before the research is completed. Assurance that all authors of the paper have fulfilled the criteria for authorship should be given in Author Concurrence Form which must be signed by all authors to confirm that they consent to take public responsibility for the content of the paper and that consent from patients has been obtained.

**Multiple Authorship**
Manuscripts submitted under multiple authorship are reviewed on the assumption that all listed authors concur with the submission and that a copy of the final manuscript has been approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities in the laboratories where the work was carried out. The submission should include the author concurrence form (see the Journal website) with signatures of all authors. This form may be scanned and uploaded with the submission or be faxed separately to the Editorial Office (FAX: +31 20 485 3881).

**Changes to authorship**
Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.
Copyright
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases.

Author rights
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing
Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

Role of the funding source
You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

Credits and Permission
Submit with the manuscript permissions to use non-original material (quotations not exceeding 100 words, any graph, table or figure). Permission from both author and Publisher of the original is required. No article can be finally accepted for publication in Clinical Neurophysiology without all required permissions. Credit the source in a text or table footnote, or at the end of a figure legend, as appropriate. Photographs of recognizable persons should be accompanied by a signed release from patient or legal guardian authorizing publication. Masking eyes to hide identity is not sufficient.

Open access
Please visit our Open Access page for more information.

Language (usage and editing services)
Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from Elsevier's Author Services.

Submission
Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article

PREPARATION
Statistical Analysis, Reporting and Interpretation
Appropriate statistical data analyses and presentation of these results are critically important to many of the papers submitted to Clinical Neurophysiology. A well designed statistical approach allows readers to more clearly understand the significance of group differences in neurophysiological measures and, hence, the clinical and scientific implications of that data. Authors are advised to check our Editorial on statistical guidelines and note that papers are peer reviewed by a professional statistician should the need arise.
During submission, authors of Clinical Neurophysiology will therefore be asked to confirm that they have read the Editorial and used its recommendations (provided they have used any statistical data analyses and presentation of their results).

**Peer review**
This journal operates a single anonymized review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of one independent expert reviewer to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers which they have written themselves or have been written by family members or colleagues or which relate to products or services in which the editor has an interest. Any such submission is subject to all of the journal's usual procedures, with peer review handled independently of the relevant editor and their research groups. More information on types of peer review.

**Use of word processing software**
It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

**Article structure**
**Subdivision - numbered sections**
Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

**Pagination**
Please add page numbers for your submission

**Introduction**
State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

**Methods**
Provide sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described.

**Results**
Results should be clear and concise.

**Discussion**
This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

**Conclusions**
The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of a Discussion or Results and Discussion section.

**Appendices**
If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.
**Essential title page information**

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.

- **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**

- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

Acknowledgements for personal and technical assistance should be indicated on the title page. This should include financial support and any conflict of interest. (See Conflict of Interest subsection.)

**Manuscript organisation**

Manuscripts should be organized as follows: Title page, Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, References, Legends, and Tables and Figures.

The title page should include the manuscript's title, the initials and names of the authors, and the place where the work was done. **Please do not use more than 135 characters, including spaces, in the title.** The phrase 'with the technical assistance of...' is not acceptable.

**Structured abstract**

A structured abstract, by means of appropriate headings, should provide the context or background for the research and should state its purpose, basic procedures (selection of study subjects or laboratory animals, observational and analytical methods), main findings (giving specific effect sizes and their statistical significance, if possible), and principal conclusions. It should emphasize new and important aspects of the study or observations.

Do not exceed 200 words. N.B. for Reviews an un-structured is required. Abstracts should adhere to the following format: **Objective, Methods, Results, Conclusions, Significance.** Use of an abstract should eliminate the need for a summary in the main text.

**Graphical abstract**

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view Example Graphical Abstracts on our information site.

**Highlights**

Highlights are mandatory for this journal. They consist of three (3) bullet points (one sentence each, with a maximum of 130 characters - including spaces) that convey the core findings of the article and should be submitted as part of the manuscript-file in the online submission system. See https://www.elsevier.com/highlights or recent publications in the journal for examples.

The highlights will help the Editors and Reviewers focus on the important issues in the paper – i.e., what is really important in the study. They will help the Editors identify suitable Reviewers. They will help attract readers to the paper.

**Keywords**

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.
**Abbreviations**

Avoid abbreviation unless they enhance readability. Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

**Formatting of funding sources**

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, it is recommended to include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Units**

Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI). If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.

**Footnotes**

Footnotes should be avoided. If they are necessary, number them consecutively throughout the article, using superscript Arabic numbers. Many wordprocessors build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Should this not be the case, indicate the position of footnotes in the text and present the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article. Do not include footnotes in the Reference list.

**Artwork**

**Cover Illustration**

Authors are encouraged to submit aesthetically interesting figures (preferably in colour) for possible publication on the front cover of an issue of Clinical Neurophysiology. The photograph should at least be related to the authors' accepted article, but need not be one of the figures appearing in that article. The ideal format of that figure should be 10 x 15 cm for 1:1 reproduction (or any multiples of the above).

**Electronic artwork**

**General points**

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.
- Ensure that color images are accessible to all, including those with impaired color vision.

A detailed [guide on electronic artwork](#) is available. You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

**Formats**

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.
Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

- EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.
- TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.
- TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi.
- TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/halftone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

Please do not:
- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
- Supply files that are too low in resolution;
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

Color artwork
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