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Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier’s AI policy for authors.

Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

**Disclosure instructions**

Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled ‘Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process’.

Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

**Submission declaration and verification**

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see ‘Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication’ for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by Crossref Similarity Check and other originality or duplicate checking software.
Preprints
Please note that preprints can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's sharing policy. Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information).
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Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses

Reporting guidance
For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research’s generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer (see Definitions section below). Authors can refer to the Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines and the SAGER guidelines checklist. These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

Definitions
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Changes to authorship
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Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors after the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Article transfer service
This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service to find the best home for your manuscript. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated Scientific Managing Editor, a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. If you agree, your manuscript will be transferred, though you will have the opportunity to make changes to the manuscript before the submission is complete. Please note that your manuscript will be independently reviewed by the new journal. More information.

Copyright
Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.
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Author rights
As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing
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Open access
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Elsevier Researcher Academy
Researcher Academy is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Submission
Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Submit your article
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PREPARATION

Peer review
All contributions will be initially assessed by the Guest Editor for suitability for the journal. The Editors in Chief are responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers which they have written themselves.
or have been written by family members or colleagues or which relate to products or services in which
the editor has an interest. Any such submission is subject to all of the journal's usual procedures, with
peer review handled independently of the relevant editor and their research groups. More information
on types of peer review.

**Manuscript preparation**

Length should be 7,000 words maximum, unless otherwise stated in your contract letter (check). Please
include a total of words used. The length given is inclusive of references and equivalent illustrative or
tabular space. As a guide for conversion, a half-page illustration or table is equivalent to 250 words of
text.

**The journal publishes only review articles (comprehensive reviews and systematic reviews).** The
presentation of articles will be standardized to make the information easily accessible and of practical
value. Please follow these guidelines carefully.

Articles should focus on practical problems and issues. In your review, where possible, please consider
reporting results for outcomes that are Core and offer conclusions based on these rather than on
non-core or surrogate outcomes. Where Core Outcomes have not been reported in existing literature,
please report this deficiency and the implications, if any. This is certainly not mandatory but we
encourage it.

Management should be described in practical terms, so that it can be translated to the individual
patient. Use appropriate examples to illustrate management problems, so that the reader actually
knows what to do, when to do it, how to do it and why. Recommendations should be evidence-based. The
quality of the information available and what remains unknown should be highlighted. Meta-analysis data and
systematic reviews should be used where available. Emphasis should be given to randomized controlled trials,
translating data from such trials to clinical practice wherever possible. If recommendations are not evidence-based, this should
be clearly stated.

Make clear what we know, what we think we know and what we do not know. Use **Practice Points**
and **Research Agenda** to emphasise these.

As one of the primary functions of this publication is educational, please ensure that your review is
well structured and clearly laid out, with level of headings clearly indicated and figures, diagrams,
tables and flow-charts used to explain points and reduce explanatory text.

The abstract should include the key issues which will be addressed in your chapter, emphasising what
we know, what we think we know and what we do not know.

The summary should focus on the conclusions reached in the chapter, indicating unanswered and
unanswerable questions.

**Permissions**

Authors who plan to reproduce (unaltered) illustrations, charts, tables or other material from
previously published sources must obtain written permission from the copyright holder (usually the
Publisher) and send the letter granting this permission to the Managing Editor at ybeog@elsevier.com.
Further information about permissions can be found under the Copyright section.

**Article structure**

Length should be 7,000 words maximum, unless otherwise stated. Please include a total of words
used. The length given is inclusive of references and equivalent illustrative or tabular space. As a
guide for conversion, a half-page illustration or table is equivalent to 250 words of text.

Manuscripts must be submitted in English and should be typed on one side of A4 paper only. All
sections should be typed double-spaced (i.e. a full line of space after every typed line). Margins of
at least 25 mm should be left on all sides.

**Essential title page information**

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid
abbreviations and formulae where possible.
• **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

• **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**

• **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

**Highlights**

Highlights are mandatory for this journal as they help increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the examples here: example Highlights.

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

**Abstract**

The second page should contain the abstract (which should not exceed 150 words) and the key words. The abstract should be comprehensible to readers before they have read the paper. References or illustrations should not be mentioned and abbreviations should be avoided. Ensure that the abstract focuses the reader on the key issues that will be addressed.

**Graphical abstract**

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view Example Graphical Abstracts on our information site.

**Keywords**

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

Key words should preferably be taken from the MESH index of Index Medicus.

**Main text**

**Spelling.** The publisher will ensure that this is consistent with the Concise Oxford Dictionary (eg, haemoglobin, oesophagus, organized).

**Headings.** Information should be carefully organized under headings that allow the reader to find practical information readily. Please indicate the level of headings (A, B, C or D) in your manuscript. Try to avoid using more than four levels of heading.

**Units.** The International System of Units (SI) should be applied (e.g. mm, kg etc.).

**Abbreviations.** For abbreviations, capitals without full stops are preferred. If uncommon abbreviations are used they should be defined at first mention.
Drugs. Generic names should be used; proprietary names may follow in parentheses (include both English and American names if different). Great care should be taken in describing the use of drugs and details of the regimen should be thoroughly checked.

Footnotes. These should be avoided where possible.

Genetics. All genes should be underlined to indicate italicization. Proteins should be left as Roman.

Text. Underline only the words or letters which are to appear in italics. Clearly identify unusual handwritten symbols and Greek letters. Differentiate between the letter O and zero and the letters I and L and the number 1.

Do not indent paragraphs in the text - use 2 hard returns to signify the end of paragraphs and headings.

Contributors must also provide four MCQs with answers and full explanations based on the content of their chapter.

Summary

A summary of approximately 250 words emphasising the key points in the chapter should be included at the end of the main text.

Acknowledgements

Only the help of those who have made substantial contributions to the study and/or preparation of the paper should be mentioned. The source of grant support, equipment and drugs may be included.

Role of the funding source
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Formatting of funding sources

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:
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Practice Points

Where appropriate, present the most important points to note in current clinical practice; these should be brief and set out as a bullet point list at the end of the main text.

Research Agenda

Please indicate points which you feel would repay further research, again presented as a bullet point list at the end of the text.

Artwork

Electronic artwork

General points

• Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
• Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
• Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
• Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
• Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
• Provide captions to illustrations separately.
• Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.
• Submit each illustration as a separate file.
• Ensure that color images are accessible to all, including those with impaired color vision.

A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available.

You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

Formats

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.

Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.
TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi.
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Please do not:

• Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
• Supply files that are too low in resolution;
• Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

Color artwork

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF) or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) in addition to color reproduction in print. Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.

Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (not on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

Tables

Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results.
described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells. The intended position of the Tables should be clearly indicated in the text. The tables should be typed in double lined spacing.
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