Reviewing instructions:

MethodsX publishes small but important customizations of methods, with the intent to provide technical information useful to researchers working in the field. It should be clear what changes over the status quo are being presented, and how their validity has been tested. We ask authors and reviewers to focus on the technical aspects of the work.

We don`t ask for a lengthy review; please answer the following questions (you may copy and paste the questions in your online reviewer report).

It is journal policy to just allow text revisions. If additional experiments are required for a manuscript to become suitable for publication, we will reject it.

1. Are the technical steps logical and described with sufficient detail?
   • Yes
   • No

   Please specify what should be improved including which revisions are essential.

2. Can others reproduce this method based on the protocol(s) provided?
   • Yes
   • No

   Please specify what should be improved including which revisions are essential.

3. Will this information be useful to others working in the field/with this method?
   • Yes
   • No

   Please specify what should be improved including which revisions are essential.

4. Please assess the manuscript`s different sections:
   • Abstract (very good/acceptable/needs improvement)
   • Graphical Abstract (very good/acceptable/needs improvement/not included)
   • Methods (very good/acceptable/needs improvement)
   • References (very good/acceptable/needs improvement)
Please specify what should be improved including which revisions are essential.

5. **What is your recommendation?**
   - Accept as it is
   - Accept with text revision
   - Reject

6. **Please provide your comments (specify where the value of the paper lies).**

Your reviewing comments are a valuable contribution and we would like to acknowledge your efforts publicly, should the article be accepted.

Please indicate if you are happy for us to publish the following sentence: “MethodsX thanks the reviewers ([your name](#)) of this article for taking the time to provide valuable feedback.” If you prefer not to have your name mentioned we will publish an anonymous acknowledgement.

   - YES, I am happy for my name to be published.
   - NO, I prefer to remain anonymous.