

CASE STUDY

PharmaPendium®

Chris Penland, Novartis' Senior Expert Modeller
Model of Intelligence



SUMMARY

The high quality data found in PharmaPendium is used in modeling dose exposure and mechanism of drug action.



How Elsevier's ScienceDirect and PharmaPendium are helping experts stay ahead of the competitive curve.

Since completing his doctorate in biomedical engineering in 2002, Chris Penland - who also holds two degrees in nuclear engineering - has led a number of modelling initiatives from preclinical to clinical, leveraging the experience that he gained throughout his studies to help improve pharma decision making e.g., rational drug design, dose guidance, efficacy and safety trade-offs, and appropriate treatment regimens.

Chris explains, "For my doctorate, I focused on the computational simulation of cardiovascular electrophysiology; my objective was to better understand how characteristics from the subcellular to the whole organ integrate to form the preconditions of arrhythmia and how can we develop improved therapeutics and devices for abnormal heart rhythms. I decided to specialize in cardiovascular work because it is an area that affects so many people - certainly a huge number of Americans but also a growing worldwide population."

Simulation

Since 2008, Chris has worked with leading healthcare company Novartis as a senior expert modeller in the company's global modelling and simulation group.

The team serves the entire Novartis organization, including pharma development, research, generics, OTC, and animal care. Chris says of his department "We are deeply committed to the optimal development and use of drugs. Our global approach is to integrate the principles of biology, pharmacology, and statistics to explain and predict the quantitative consequences of decisions through the application of mathematical models."

Chris is responsible for supporting certain projects with integrated modelling of disease physiology, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and clinical outcomes. He is also the group lead for modelling mechanisms of drug induced cardiac and liver toxicity.

He explains, "As a group, we construct models of dose exposure and mechanisms of action, helping teams to plan

and analyse trials. We are also involved in making recommendations and supporting multifactorial decisions for actual doses, based on therapeutic window, risk benefit analyses and other criteria."

"Alongside the variety of information generated by the program through internal trials, we also work on metamodelling - drawing on, for instance, published trials of competitor compounds from a class or observational studies on the natural history of a disease and then constructing an overall model of disease progression and the various therapeutics involved."

"This is increasingly important for evidence-based medicine: when you are taking a medicine forward attempting to gain approval, acceptance and reimbursement. Where possible, we work with colleagues in Novartis' Health Economics & Outcomes Research group to show that a new therapy represents an improvement over the current care available and our competitors' products currently in development."



“As a group, we construct models of dose exposure and mechanisms of action, helping teams to plan and analyze trials.”

– **Chris Penland**,
Novartis’ Senior Expert Modeller

“In cases where there is a very strong medical endpoint, you have to demonstrate an adequate effect on that endpoint. Take the example of anti-diabetic drugs; their overarching purpose is to improve clinical outcomes by controlling blood sugar levels, measured by a reduction in the marker HbA1C, therefore regardless of mechanism, an effective therapy must lower HbA1C. In reality, there are a number of compounds out there that offer a rapid reduction in HbA1C, but do not lead to a greater overall reduction compared to slower-acting compounds. This is important when we start to compare trials of various lengths against one another.”

“Information in a short trial may favor the faster acting drugs, but in actual clinical practice – when people are on medication for six, nine or 12 months (or even years) – the overall benefit of the faster drug may not actually be any greater. So, when we compare our compound, we need to understand the time dynamics of the onset of the effect in conjunction with the extent of the effect in the future.”

“In diseases that do not have a strong marker correlated to actual clinical success, we try to capture this uncertainty in our quantitative models to help the teams as much as possible. There are many things that still have to be determined through the pivotal trials.”

Trends

Chris continues, “Obesity, hyperlipidemia, and metabolic dysfunction continue to be growing health concerns globally so we are looking in particular at ways to address this through novel mechanisms

and improved understanding of the disease, efforts in which modelling and simulation can play an important role.”

“Rather than trying to get rid of fatty acids after they have been absorbed - or preventing them from doing damage once they have been absorbed – why not prevent their absorption in the first place. To be fair, this has been tried before and there are agents out there that are not very tolerable making the use of such medications a fine balance. Our group focuses on integrative, quantitative methods for getting the right dose to balance efficacy and tolerability in particular patient populations.”

“I’m also particularly interested in targets for improving HDL cholesterol and whether or not they will prove to be a meaningful therapy.”

“The big surprise here was torcetrapib by Pfizer. It did what it was supposed to do, which is raise HDL however, the link between HDL and clinical improvement in the patient - prolonging their life, preventing them from ending up in a hospital with an infarction or a stroke is not so clear.”

“These compounds worked biochemically, but the biochemical to clinical linkage was insufficiently strong or not completely understood.”

“Symptomatic relief is very important and worthwhile in many diseases but, where possible, my goal is to work on compounds that delay disease progression and improve the survival curve.”

“I’m also particularly interested in targets for improving HDL cholesterol and whether or not they will prove to be a meaningful therapy.”

– **Chris Penland**,
Novartis’ Senior Expert Modeller

“In all of these areas however our main challenge is information; being able to efficiently identify, filter, and integrate internal and external data. We have to keep on top of everything that is happening competitively and in the literature.”

“We have the manpower to build and analyse models. Where we run into a bottleneck is in efficiently identifying and sifting through large amounts of data to determine what can and should go into the models to address the specific challenges the programs and organization faces.”

“We have a dedicated group of programmers, whose job it is to collect and format data from Novartis’ clinical trials, forming these into a dataset that is ready to be modelled.”

“Even under standardized data management in a single organization, these trials are not exactly the same in terms of their data framework. So our programmers have to resolve these issues on a trial by trial basis, both within Novartis and within the industry.”

“Of course, many useful trials are over 10 years old; these will never be translated to a new format without significant investment. So, for the foreseeable future, integration of these types of trials will be an on-going issue.”

“Another problem is filtering information. If I search for, say, metformin - an extremely common diabetes medication - I am overwhelmed by the search results. Filtering that data down to the bellwether

compounds or manuscripts without accidentally throwing out important but less publicized findings can be time consuming.

“It’s a very different problem from keeping abreast of the small amount of literature that is emerging on brandnew compounds or new mechanisms. It needs a research and discovery entality. One big step forward that the group from Elsevier took recently is in enabling us to scan through pictures of papers.

“Previously, we’d have to go through the full texts to see if the paper had the information we were looking for and sometimes we would find that a particular article did not have new information, but rather cited another original source. Now I no longer have to read the full text: I can scan through the figures and tables to triage articles and find the information that I need.”

“There are some very interesting semantic searching and text processing developments in progress too, which will be beneficial for searching information: rather than simply looking for papers which contain search terms, semantic search engines will look for matching terms with the same contextual meaning.”

“This is making the searching process much more intelligent.”

“A number of companies are also developing search engines that will trawl the information that an organisation has access to. So the results will differ whether

“Symptomatic relief is very important and worthwhile in many diseases but, where possible, my goal is to work on compounds that delay disease progression and improve the survival curve.”

– **Chris Penland**,
Novartis’ Senior Expert Modeller

you are, say, an academic, a small firm, or a large pharmaceutical company that has a broad range of subscription licences.”

“A major boon for us will be the ability to store information in federated databases, or in search aggregator interfaces meaning I don’t have to spread my time over different interfaces.”

“Without this, information could be hidden because it was stored in very different ways. In some senses it is a bit like PharmaPendium; you’re drawing from a variety of sources with a common search term, and within the same interface.”

“In all, I probably devote around two hours per week to nothing but searching. I have a short list of bookmarks to internal Intranet sites and external sites. I use bibliographic citation manager software and I have a diary document that I cut and paste things into, helping me to keep track of the searching process.”

“Novartis intranet has a one-stop shop for all of our external databases, e-journals and subscriptions like PharmaPendium, Pink Sheet (from the FDA) and Thomson Reuters.”

“Elsevier and Nature Publishing Group are the two companies that I depend on most. I think that, in terms of usability and dynamic presentation of information, Elsevier is way ahead.”

“Elsevier provides more intelligent information. When I look at their website I am always amazed that there are so many more applications than the ones that I use.”

“Elsevier is an access point of valuable information. Over the past three-five years, they have provided more and more tools to make the information ‘come alive’ and be more dynamic.”

“Even if they did not have any of their own content – just an application that drew from everyone else’s - it would still be extremely useful. The fact that they also have their own content makes their service even more valuable.”

“Elsevier offers a huge amount of content, and they have some very good applications for dealing with it. They do not have to go ‘door-to-door’ to other publishers. I think this has given them a real competitive edge.”

“In particular, I use PharmaPendium to search for health authority review materials, labelling packages, adverse events and pharmacokinetic data.”

“It is very nice when you find citations or references from your search. As modellers we are always looking for data and parameters about phenomena in our systems, and with PharmaPendium, it gives you information about how it was measured, and a click will show you what source document it came from, all very important for building robust, well-founded models.”

“This is very useful, particularly when dealing with regulatory submission-packages for the FDA and the European medicines agency, to see the information on which their decisions were made.”

“In particular, I use PharmaPendium to search for health authority review materials, labeling packages, adverse events and pharmacokinetic data.”

– **Chris Penland**,
Novartis’ Senior Expert Modeller

“Of course, because it offers such rich data, it can take time for reports and newer compounds to be added to the PharmaPendium database. So there is an issue of timeliness. But I am not sure that can be helped – at least, without an army of content curators.”

“I also use ScienceDirect for literature searches, setting alerts and image searching. And I have to say, I cannot really think of a weak feature.”

“On its worst day, ScienceDirect is better than everything else I’ve used.”

Note: This interview was completed in 2012.

PharmaPendium® supports critical drug safety and development decisions and saves valuable research time with fully searchable FDA/EMA drug approval documents, FDA Adverse Events Reports (FAERS), FDA Advisory Committee meetings and comparative extracted data. Essential information and data critical to drug metabolism scientists, preclinical drug safety teams and clinical researches helps them proactively address drug safety assessments, drug candidate selection, risk management and regulatory review challenges.

To learn more visit

[elsevier.com/online-tools/pharmapendium](https://www.elsevier.com/online-tools/pharmapendium)

PharmaPendium

PharmaPendium informs critical drug development decisions on safety and efficacy, risk assessments and mitigation and study designs with fully searchable FDA and EMA drug approval documents and FAERS data, a drug-drug interaction risk calculator and comparative safety, pharmacokinetic, efficacy, and metabolizing enzyme and transporter data.

For more information about PharmaPendium,
visit elsevier.com/solutions/pharmapendium-clinical-data

Elsevier offices

ASIA AND AUSTRALIA

Tel: + 65 6349 0222

JAPAN

Tel: + 81 3 5561 5034

KOREA AND TAIWAN

Tel: +82 2 6714 3000

EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST AND AFRICA

Tel: +31 20 485 3767

NORTH AMERICA, CENTRAL AMERICA AND CANADA

Tel: +1 888 615 4500

SOUTH AMERICA

Tel: +55 21 3970 9300